

Chapter 18

Using edTPA Data to Improve Programs

Kristen C. Cuthrell

East Carolina University, USA

Diana B. Lys

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, USA

Elizabeth A. Fogarty

East Carolina University, USA

Ellen E. Dobson

East Carolina University, USA

ABSTRACT

This chapter will share a model for teacher preparation programs to consider when attempting program improvement through the use of edTPA data. This model, regardless of the edTPA context, mandated or voluntary, provides a frame in which teacher education programs can begin using edTPA data for program improvement and can advance their data use towards transformative, institutional improvements. This additive model takes time, commitment, and vision in order to systematically create programmatic improvements. Performance assessment data provides the structure and information needed for units and programs to make these purposeful changes. The increasingly explicit culture of assessment in teacher education, in conjunction with the promise of valid and reliable performance assessments, invites teacher education programs to engage in third spaces with renewed focus.

INTRODUCTION

As performance assessments are considered in teacher education, some experts have advocated the use of performance assessments not only to measure the skills of individual teacher candidates, but also to evaluate the quality of the teacher preparation program (TPP) (Darling-Hammond, 2010; Pecheone & Chung, 2006). Since that time, demand for valid and reliable teacher candidate performance assessments has increased (CCSSO, 2012; Darling-Hammond, 2012) while the Council for Accreditation of Educator

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7305-0.ch018

Preparation (CAEP) advocates drawing on quality data to monitor progress and demonstrate that teacher candidates have positively impacted P-12 student learning (CAEP, 2013).

Existing systems for evaluating TPPs use a variety of evidence and methodologies. Some evaluation systems focus primarily on program “inputs” such as faculty qualifications, candidate admission requirements and grade point averages (Feuer, Floden, Chudowsky & Ahn, 2013). Others focus on “process” elements such as student credit hours, time spent in field work, and length of teaching internship. “Output” measures are also used to evaluate TPP effectiveness. One measure of TPP effectiveness is the pass rate of its candidates on state licensure examinations. Each state is responsible for selecting its own licensure criteria. Many licensure examinations are “pencil and paper” tests with no performance component. According to the National Research Council (2008), more than 600 different teacher tests are currently in use. The lack of a common assessment makes it difficult to compare one TPP to another.

Together with the American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education (AACTE), the Stanford Center for Assessment, Learning and Equity (SCALE) recently developed a performance assessment that could be implemented across states and across varying institutional contexts. Known as edTPA, the performance assessment was developed to measure teacher candidate readiness and can be used for licensure decisions as well as to support TPP accreditation. EdTPA was nationally validated in 2013 and is currently in use in 35 states (edTPA, 2015a).

edTPA Assessment

edTPA is a subject area specific, performance-based assessment designed to measure a candidate’s readiness to teach. edTPA includes a review of a teacher candidate’s authentic materials as the culmination of a teaching and learning process that documents each candidate’s ability to effectively teach his/her subject matter to all students (edTPA, 2015b).

The foundation of edTPA is the *learning segment*. The learning segment is a 3-5 hour unit of instruction taught to the same students over a period of 3-5 days. The lessons must be connected and build upon one another. The learning segment may be part of a larger unit of instruction. During the learning segment, the teacher candidate demonstrates his/her abilities to perform three distinct tasks: planning, instruction, and assessment. Within all three tasks, teacher candidates are expected to consider the academic language demands of their discipline and plan to develop their students’ academic language proficiency. During the learning segment, teacher candidates construct an electronic portfolio of their evidence of proficiency in all three tasks. In the following section, a description of each task is presented (edTPA, 2015b).

Task 1: *Planning instruction and assessment.* The teacher candidate conducts an analysis of his/her students’ learning needs; creates 3-5 lesson plans based on state and/or national curriculum standards; and designs formative and summative assessments to measure student learning.

Task 2: *Instruction and engaging students in learning.* In this task, the teacher candidate teaches the learning segment while being video recorded. From these recordings, the candidate selects one or two clips that best represent his/her ability to engage students in instruction. The teacher candidate completes a reflection on his/her performance during the learning segment.

Task 3: *Assessing student learning.* At the conclusion of the learning segment, the teacher candidate analyzes student performance on one assessment from the learning segment. Student work samples are included as evidence of student comprehension and teacher feedback.

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/using-edtpa-data-to-improve-programs/215574

Related Content

Publish or Perish: Librarians Collaborating to Support Junior Faculty to Publish Within the Academic Environment

Emy Nelson Decker and Rosaline Y. Odom (2018). *Teacher Training and Professional Development: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 193-211).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/publish-or-perish/203178

Creating Sustainable Project-Based Learning Through Teacher Professional Development

Virginia McCormack (2019). *Handbook of Research on Educator Preparation and Professional Learning* (pp. 378-389).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/creating-sustainable-project-based-learning-through-teacher-professional-development/228016

Maturity Assessment Model for Evaluation of EdTech Tools (MAMET) Adoption in Higher Educational Institutions

Indrajit Bhattacharya, Atul Bengeri and Anandhi Ramachandran (2022). *Development of Employability Skills Through Pragmatic Assessment of Student Learning Outcomes* (pp. 96-121).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/maturity-assessment-model-for-evaluation-of-edtech-tools-mamet-adoption-in-higher-educational-institutions/306047

Perceptions of Teacher Education Candidates to Digital and Learning Technologies

Prince Hycy Bull, Gerrelyn Chunn Patterson, Mahmud A. Mansaray and Yolanda L. Dunston (2019). *Pre-Service and In-Service Teacher Education: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 797-817).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/perceptions-of-teacher-education-candidates-to-digital-and-learning-technologies/215596

International Business Staffing Challenges and the Growing Global Skills Gap in the New Post-COVID-19 Environment: A Latin American and Caribbean Perspective

B. Anthony Brown and Keri L. Heitner (2024). *Advancing Student Employability Through Higher Education* (pp. 199-219).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/international-business-staffing-challenges-and-the-growing-global-skills-gap-in-the-new-post-covid-19-environment/338020