Chapter 47 Collaborative Video-Based Action Research Studies to Assess Classroom Teaching Performances and Improve Educator Programs: A Model Research Approach

Linda A. Catelli City University of New York (CUNY), USA

Joan Carlino North Babylon School District, USA

GinaMarie Petraglia North Babylon School District, USA

Patricia Godek North Babylon School District, USA

Valerie Jackson North Babylon School District, USA

ABSTRACT

Race to the Top (RttT) reforms in States around the nation have initiated changes in the way teachers are evaluated and in the way new teachers are certified. The focus of this chapter is on sets of videobased action research studies aimed at analyzing and assessing classroom teaching performances and evaluating program effectiveness in a Professional Development School (PDS) partnership setting. The studies were part of a larger longitudinal research project begun in 1998. Authors present selected sets of studies as exemplars of a model research approach for continually changing and improving classroom teaching and the PDS's integrative pre-and inservice teacher education program. Readers are provided with the research and inquiry questions of the studies, key findings, and how findings were used to provide evidence of program effectiveness.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7305-0.ch047

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Race to the Top (RttT) reforms in States around the nation have initiated changes in the way teachers are evaluated, certified, and in the way effective teaching is defined. In August of 2010, it was announced that New York State was one of nine States to have won the second round of the Race to the Top (RttT) competition (U.S. Department of Education, December 2010).¹ An important part of New York's application, as well as other State-applications, was the passage of legislation that required the establishment of a new and comprehensive evaluation system for teachers (U.S. Department of Education, January 2010 and March 2010).

For the most part, the new teacher evaluation systems that reside in the 18 States and the District of Columbia receiving RttT monies included multiple measures of evaluating teaching with many of those measures connected to student test scores and student learning. Seen as a reform measure along with other reforms in teacher education, the new, state systems for evaluating teachers and for certifying new teachers are intended to do the following:

- 1. Customize and align pre-and inservice professional development;
- 2. Recognize outstanding teaching;
- 3. Assure the public of quality professionals and quality teacher education programs;
- 4. Improve student learning and achievement.

Without a doubt, teacher preparation and teacher evaluation along with individual performance assessments of teaching effectiveness are now being connected to student learning. The focus of these reforms is on having pre-and inservice teachers demonstrate their performance ability to effect student learning, growth and achievement.

With the implementation of new state evaluation systems, teachers receive a "composite effectiveness score." A composite effectiveness score represents one of four or five progressive levels of performance or ratings. For example, in New York State such terms as "highly effective," "effective," "developing" or "ineffective" are applied as ratings to an individual's performance. Selected frameworks of teaching that include rubric-narratives and ratings are used as major assessments to reflect a teacher's level of effectiveness along with his or her ability to foster student growth as measured by increases in test scores.

Such frameworks as Charlotte Danielson's *Framework for Teaching* (The Danielson Group, 2011) may be selected by a school district and then used by the district's administrators to assess a teacher's professional and classroom teaching performances. A teacher is assessed in four major domains:

- Planning and preparation
- Classroom environment
- Instruction
- Professional responsibilities

The Danielson Framework for Teaching (The Danielson Group, 2011), as well as other frameworks and rubric systems such as the New York State United Teacher's Teacher Practice Rubrics (NYSUT, 2012) are currently used by schools districts in New York as part of a teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR). Signed by the State's Governor in 2010 as a new section or amendment to an education law, the amendment establishes a comprehensive evaluation system for classroom teachers

14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-global.com/chapter/collaborative-video-based-action-research-</u> <u>studies-to-assess-classroom-teaching-performances-and-improve-educator-</u>

programs/215604

Related Content

Common Scenario for an Efficient Use of Online Learning: Some Guidelines for Pedagogical Digital Device Development

Walter Nuninger (2019). Pre-Service and In-Service Teacher Education: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 891-925).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/common-scenario-for-an-efficient-use-of-online-learning/215600

Cognitive Apprenticeship for Dissertation Writing

Karen Weller Swanson, Jane West, Sherah Carrand Sharon Augustine (2022). *Research Anthology on Doctoral Student Professional Development (pp. 431-453).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/cognitive-apprenticeship-for-dissertation-writing/300727

TAP (Teacher Learning and Application to Pedagogy) Through Digital Video-Mediated Reflections

Poonam Arya, Tanya Christand Ming Ming Chiu (2019). *Pre-Service and In-Service Teacher Education: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1171-1195).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/tap-teacher-learning-and-application-to-pedagogy-through-digital-video-mediatedreflections/215613

Supporting Sustained Faculty Engagement in Blended Learning

Catherine Villanueva Gardner, Joannah Portman-Daley, Jeannette E. Rileyand Kathleen M. Torrens (2019). *Handbook of Research on Faculty Development for Digital Teaching and Learning (pp. 17-35).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/supporting-sustained-faculty-engagement-in-blended-learning/228363

Process Considerations for the Development and Assessment of Virtual Education Doctorates

Erika Prager, Barbara M. Hall, Laurie Wellner, B. Andrew Riggleand Robin Throne (2022). *Research Anthology on Doctoral Student Professional Development (pp. 69-87).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/process-considerations-for-the-development-and-assessment-of-virtual-educationdoctorates/300702