

Chapter 22

Project Management in Government

Shauneen Furlong

University of Ottawa, Canada & John Moores Liverpool University, UK

ABSTRACT

The case for 21st century government success continues unabated; impatient stakeholders are more demanding; people, process, and content are profoundly impacted; opportunity is rampant, but so is risk and complexity; still, transformational e-government looks to leadership, integration, change, and innovation through enhanced project management. This chapter builds upon previous publications. There is another dimension to the transformational e-government navigation toolkit that coalesces with enhanced project management and creates a multi-dimensional approach to transformation; it is existential change leadership that focuses on human mindset behavior along with critical leadership, integration, change, and innovation.

INTRODUCTION

The case for 21st century government success continues unabated; impatient stakeholders are more demanding; people, process, and content are profoundly impacted; opportunity is rampant - but so is risk and complexity; still, transformational eGovernment looks to leadership, integration, change, and innovation through enhanced project management.

Enhanced project management has made progress in advancing eGovernment through a holistic manner so that project activities are fully integrated with on-going operational activities: all with an emphasis on measurable results and outcomes.

This paper builds upon previous publications that there is another dimension to the transformational eGovernment navigation tool-kit that coalesces with enhanced project management and creates a multi-dimensional approach to transformation; it is existential change leadership that focuses on human mindset behaviour along with critical leadership, integration, change, and innovation.

eGovernment, and especially transformational eGovernment, progress remains slow and halting and shackled to time-honoured approaches to project management, especially in the information commu-

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7661-7.ch022

nication technology (ICT) domain. eGovernment is the traditional, transactional, and service focused improvement on Government operations through the application of ICTs, whereas transformational eGovernment encompasses the reform and modernization of the business process reengineering opportunities and enterprise-wide reform, as well as what and how the government achieves its mandate. Ineffective project management is one of most significant reasons for transformational eGovernment failure (Aikens, 2012b; Misuraca, 2009).

There are a number of reasons and examples for transformational eGovernment project failures, including the lack of capacity to manage unanticipated transparent and concealed organizational opposition; the inability to effectively and precisely identify current, changing, disparate, and conflicting key information requirements; and lack of insight into the obstacles in obtaining parochially coveted information. These are in addition to a review of literature (developed and developing countries) that outlines the most common issues and problems that cause eGovernment failure to be cultural barriers, infrastructure, resources, socio-economic barriers, security and privacy, and e-integration (Zhao, 2012). Previously, in 2007, a list representing 99 retrospectives in 74 organizations revealed the 36 most common mistakes in information technology (IT) management, with poor estimation/scheduling, ineffective stakeholder management, and insufficient risk and planning leading the list (Nelson, 2007).

Enhanced project management focuses on project integration and change leadership to respond to these mistakes and to specific eGovernment uniqueness because of the broad and disparate goal requirements, the multidimensional and conflicting policy and delivery responsibilities, the dearth of knowledge transfer due to the lack of a “whole of Government” culture, and the complex and competing interest and security concerns of disparate stakeholders (Sarantis, Smithson, Charalabidis, & Askounis, 2010).

BACKGROUND

The eGovernment literature introduces a paradigm that examines the digital government evolution and includes a discussion whether digitization, when applied to internal structures and external relationships, changes them or not (Janowski, 2015). This is critical in determining expectations for change and the degree and impact from the application of technology. This paper builds upon previous research and is concerned with the transformational outcome and thereby attempts to assess the effectiveness of the digitization to transform structures and relationships.

eGovernment studies note that stakeholder disappointment is reported as a root problem that causes many unsuccessful projects (Eskerod, 2016). Other authors and papers as recent as 2016 also state that the management literature falls short in analyzing the impact of project management. Specifically the literature does not make the linkages and connections between stakeholders and the information needed to assist project managers in analyzing and prioritizing the challenges that confront them (Van Offenbeek, 2016).

In addition to this author’s research about a compendium of ten eGovernment synergistic challenges and barriers that could be mitigated through enhanced project management, this paper builds upon other research, including the following ten principal challenges concerning eGovernment project management: human resources, work milieu, relations within and across organizational boundaries, project failure impact, goals definition, project dimensions, planning horizon, best practices, legal and regulatory issues, and politics driven nature (Sarantis, Smithson, Charalabidis, & Askounis, 2010).

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/project-management-in-government/215868

Related Content

Earthquake and Fukushima Nuclear Crisis in 2011 with Gender View

Yoiko Andô (2013). *Healthcare Management and Economics: Perspectives on Public and Private Administration* (pp. 190-202).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/earthquake-fukushima-nuclear-crisis-2011/75018

Governing the Innovation Process: Tools of Meta-Governance—The Kotitori Model in Tampere Finland

Kari Hakari and Liina-Kaisa Tynkkynen (2013). *International Journal of Public and Private Healthcare Management and Economics* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/governing-the-innovation-process/79240

Assessing Jordan's e-Government Maturity Level: Citizen's Perspective on Awareness, Acceptability and Usage of e-Government Services

Hussein Al-Yaseen, Anas Ratib Al-Soud and Saheer Al-Jaghoub (2015). *Public Affairs and Administration: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1596-1613).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/assessing-jordans-e-government-maturity-level/127926

Exploring the Challenges and Possibilities of Pan African International Police Cooperation

Gerald D. Gyamfi (2019). *International Journal of Public Administration in the Digital Age* (pp. 43-53).

www.irma-international.org/article/exploring-the-challenges-and-possibilities-of-pan-african-international-police-cooperation/241266

Institutional Capital on Trade-Marketing and Environmentally Sustainable Development Policy Making: A Research Model Based on Critical Analysis of NAFTA

José G. Vargas-Hernández (2019). *International Journal of Public and Private Perspectives on Healthcare, Culture, and the Environment* (pp. 1-21).

www.irma-international.org/article/institutional-capital-on-trade-marketing-and-environmentally-sustainable-development-policy-making/231616