

Chapter 17

Are Teachers Prepared to Educate in Citizenship?

Some Conclusions From Research in Andalusia, Spain

Francisco F. García-Pérez
University of Seville, Spain

ABSTRACT

Education for active citizenship is a fundamental dimension of education, especially in the context of today's world. This is proclaimed by educational institutions and authorities, and has been incorporated into official curricula. But the reality of school is frequently incoherent with those statements. Indeed, the predominant school culture is a factor that conditions the activity of teachers as educators for citizenship. Research carried out in Andalusia (Spain) shows the difficulties teachers have in incorporating any education for citizenship into their subjects. Likewise, projects and initiatives coming from non-formal education are insufficiently exploited. These investigations also indicate that educational proposals organized around social and environmental problems facilitate the integration of education for citizenship into the school curriculum and the training of teachers as educators of citizens by getting them involved in these innovative experiences.

EDUCATION FOR ACTIVE CITIZENSHIP: POLITICAL DECLARATION OR EDUCATIONAL REALITY?

Education for citizenship is a fundamental axis of education, especially in the compulsory stages. It was a basic reference point for the great educational currents of the 20th century, and continues to be so in the 21st century having consolidated its presence in the official curricula of most countries. This has been echoed in the official statements made by different international authorities (Eurydice, 2005). International institutions have an evident interest in promoting an education for citizenship that prepares young citizens to become integrated in today's society (Torney-Purta & Barber, 2005; Ross, 2006; Audigier, 2007; Benton et al., 2008; Daly, Schugurensky & Lopes, 2009; UNESCO, 2015; Pausch, 2016; Haeberli,

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7110-0.ch017

Pagoni & Maulini, 2017). There are various reasons for this. Two examples are the need to face the new and serious problems of our world, and the attempt to counteract the disaffection a large percentage of the population feel about the usual functioning of many of the representative political systems (Guérin, Ploeg & Sins, 2013; Biesta, 2016).

There occurred a key conjuncture during the first decade of this century with such notable actions as the celebration of the “European Year of Citizenship through Education” in 2005 promoted by the Council of Europe,¹ the UN proposals related to the “Millennium Development Goals”,² and the “United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development”.³ The European Union has been particularly interested in this area. For the European Commission, promotion of social cohesion and more active citizen participation in social and political life has become a key issue. Its 2004 communication “Building our common future: Policy challenges and budgetary means of the enlarged Union 2007-2013” identified the development of European citizenship as one of its main priorities. And it later linked the “Europe for Citizens (2007-2013)” action program⁴ with the objective of fostering citizen participation and strengthening the sense of active citizenship. In this regard, programmes and activities have also been developed, such as those promoted by *CiCea* (*Children’s Identity & Citizenship European Association*).⁵ All this has been reflected in the European educational information disseminated through the Eurydice network in its various reports (European Commission, 2005 & 2012), as well as in international reports such as “The International Civic and Citizenship Education Study 2016” (ICCS 2016), from IEA (Schulz, Ainley, Fraillon, Losito, Agrusti & Friedman, 2018).

In this way the use of the term “active citizenship” has become generalized, although sometimes the concept is interpreted in different ways (Geboers, Geijsel, Admiraal & Dam, 2013). Education for a truly “active” citizenship should have as basic components a real participation and commitment with world problems (Hahn, 2001; Dam & Volman, 2004; Delval, 2006; Trilla & Novella, 2011; Pagès & Santisteban, 2010; Bolívar, 2016; Oraisón, 2016; García Pérez, 2016), because such committed participation establishes a link between the knowledge acquired and intervention in society. Education for citizen participation should therefore be incorporated into school programs, integrating both cross-cutting curricular contributions and other relevant contributions coming from non-formal education.

However, analysis of the realities of school and research on the topic have shown that, while it is easy to state that participation in education for citizenship needs to be integrated into the education system, it is not so easy to effectively put this into practice. Participation implies action that is developed from certain attitudes and guided by certain values. This conflicts with the characteristics of school structures which are aligned to obeying instructions and to receiving pre-established knowledge that is in a finished form, suitable to be collected together in textbooks, and to be measured in examinations. In sum, the world of attitudes and values does not fit well into conventional school culture, despite the statements of official documents to the contrary.

Even accepting the difficulties, one has to maintain and defend the need for the 21st century’s children and teenagers to receive an education in active citizenship (García Pérez & De Alba Fernández, 2008; Merchán & García Pérez, 2008) beyond the traditional school civic education which is basically aimed at the education of pupils to become citizens of their home country as was conceived of at the establishment of nation states in the 19th century. This old conception of patriotic citizenship is called into question nowadays. Instead, the idea of a global or world citizenship is advocated (Davies, 2006; García Pérez, Moreno-Fernández y Rodríguez-Marín, 2015), because the realities of a globalized world demand global responses from citizens, surpassing the narrow borders of each individual state. But in no way is this incompatible with action at a local scale.

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/are-teachers-prepared-to-educate-in-citizenship/217228

Related Content

Curriculum for Compassion

Rucha Ambikar, Lukas Szrot, Colleen Greer and Debra Peterson (2021). *Handbook of Research on Leading Higher Education Transformation With Social Justice, Equity, and Inclusion* (pp. 198-217).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/curriculum-for-compassion/282834

Bridging Marketing and STEM Education: Cross-Functional Teamwork for New Product Development

Kellilynn M. Frias and Deidre Popovich (2020). *Preparing Students for Community-Engaged Scholarship in Higher Education* (pp. 284-305).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/bridging-marketing-and-stem-education/248191

Fostering Civic Engagement in Smart Cities: An Opportunity for Public Libraries in India

Mariam Bhanu and V. K. Dhanyasree (2022). *Handbook of Research on the Role of Libraries, Archives, and Museums in Achieving Civic Engagement and Social Justice in Smart Cities* (pp. 396-410).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/fostering-civic-engagement-in-smart-cities/291410

Finnish Education: An Ambiguous Utopia?

Tuija Itkonen, Fred Dervin and Mirja-Tytti Talib (2021). *Research Anthology on Instilling Social Justice in the Classroom* (pp. 694-711).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/finnish-education/270119

Major Issues Affecting Government Data and Information in Developing Countries

Saleem Zoughbi (2020). *Open Government: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 862-871).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/major-issues-affecting-government-data-and-information-in-developing-countries/235211