Chapter 27
Intelligent Design of the Post–Listening Tasks in Interactive Multimedia Listening Environments

Vehbi Turel
The University of Bingol, Turkey

ABSTRACT

The objective of this chapter is to clearly demonstrate through concrete examples how affective and efficient post-listening tasks for autonomous intermediate and upper-intermediate (intermediate) language learners can be designed and created in interactive multimedia listening environments (IMLEs) as a part of foreign/second language learning (FLL/SLL) process. Thus, in this chapter, firstly the definition of autonomy is slightly touched on. Secondly, the categorisation of autonomy is briefly explained. Thirdly, a separate part on multimedia listening environments and the nature of the listening stages and tasks in such environments is presented. Mainly and finally, what need to be taken into account in order to be able to design and create pedagogically and psychologically effective and useful post-listening tasks for autonomous intermediate language learners in IMLEs is accounted for in detail.

BACKGROUND

In terms of intelligent design of interactive multimedia listening environments (IMLEs), the intrinsic and functional combination, presentation and design of all digital elements play a vital role, and these elements are: tasks – the pre-listening tasks, the while-listening tasks and the post-listening tasks -, reading texts, audio, video, still or motion visuals – images, graphics, animations, drawings -, captions, subtitles, instructions, rubric, activities, activity types, language learners' responses, feedback, glossary, help, icons, colour, layout, optimum combinations of the elements and so on. The design of the pre-listening and the while-listening tasks for autonomous intermediate language learners in IMLEs are discussed thoroughly in other studies (Turel, 2014a; Turel, 2014b). Here, only the design of the post-

listening tasks for autonomous intermediate and upper-intermediate (intermediate) language learners in IMLEs is focused on.

Definition of Autonomy

Cotterall (1995, p. 195) expresses autonomy as "the extent to which learners demonstrate the ability to use a set of tactics for taking control of their learning" while Dickinson (1995, p. 167) says that "… autonomy can be seen as an attitude towards learning in which the learner is prepared to take, or does take, responsibility for his own learning". Carver and Dickenson (1987, p. 15) also describe an autonomous learner as "a self-directed learner … who retains the responsibility for his own learning …" Thanasoulas (2000, p. 1), referring to Benson & Voller, (1997, p. 2) says that the term autonomy has come to be used in at least five ways. These are (a) "for situations in which learners study entirely on their own", (b) "for a set of skills which can be learned and applied in self-directed learning", (c) "for an inborn capacity which is suppressed by institutional education", (d) "for the exercise of learners' responsibility for their own learning" and (e) "for the right of learners to determine the direction of their own learning". These definitions clearly show that in autonomy language learners take or at least are supposed to take the control of their learning and accept full responsibility. With respect to accepting responsibility, Little (1995, p. 175) says that the main characteristic of the learner autonomy is that learners accept full responsibility for their own learning.

Categorisation of Autonomy

In terms of learning, as also emphasised in the previous studies (Turel, 2014a; Turel, 2014b), autonomy can be categorised into two groups. The first type of autonomy is that learners access self-access centres at institutions/schools and study by themselves. When learners have difficulty they generally consult their tutors or teachers. It might not be wrong to claim that this type of autonomy can be called 'semi-autonomy'. The other type of autonomy, which is the focus of this chapter, is that learners study by themselves and do not have any tutors or teachers to consult when they need to, and therefore, resort to autonomous materials. This kind of study is mostly carried out at home, in libraries and the like. In this mode of learning, learners have to take the full control of their learning and accept their full responsibility. It might not be wrong to claim that this type of autonomy is called 'full-autonomy'. Therefore, 'learners themselves' and 'autonomous materials' are the two most outstanding variables that play an important role in this mode of learning, as autonomous materials are the only resources that the learners can consult to during autonomous study. Regarding the responsibility of learners, Frankel (1987, p. 52) says "… the responsibility for the quality and quantity of learning achieved in a self-study course lies principally with the learner". Little (1995, p. 176) also notes that "learners who accept responsibility for their learning are more likely to achieve their learning targets; and if they achieve their learning targets, they are more likely to maintain a positive attitude to learning in the future". These responsibilities of autonomous learners are also emphasised in other studies (Dickinson, 1995, p. 166; Cotterall, 1995, p. 198; Ho & Crookall, 1995, p. 242). From the point of view of material writers, in addition to learners' full responsibility, it should also be emphasised that the responsibility for the quality and quantity of learning achieved during autonomous study equally lies principally with the learning materials whether they are conventional materials or multimedia environments.

25 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/intelligent-design-of-the-post-listening-tasks-in-interactive-multimedia-listening-environments/219689

Related Content

Fueling Her Fire: Developing Inner Strength and Voice With Middle School Girls
Kenia Ramirez (2023). *Using Innovative Literacies to Develop Leadership and Agency: Inspiring Transformation and Hope (pp. 143-156).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/fueling-her-fire/320928

Point of View in Translator's Style: A Corpus-Based Analysis of Two Chinese Translations of Moment in Peking
Yang Liu (2022). *International Journal of Translation, Interpretation, and Applied Linguistics (pp. 1-13).*
www.irma-international.org/article/point-of-view-in-translators-style/313921

Discursive Construction of News Values in the Headline: A Case Study of BBC News Reports on Zimbabwe Crisis
Pingyan Li, Mengxiao Chenand Jianxin Yang (2019). *International Journal of Translation, Interpretation, and Applied Linguistics (pp. 1-14).*
www.irma-international.org/article/discursive-construction-of-news-values-in-the-headline/222824

Performance-Based Assessment in CLIL: Competences at the Core of Learning
Ana Otto (2020). *Handbook of Research on Bilingual and Intercultural Education (pp. 447-468).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/performance-based-assessment-in-clil/251403

Observations From Word Roots About the Functionality of the Human Cognitive System
 (2019). *Deep Semantics and the Evolution of New Scientific Theories and Discoveries (pp. 153-203).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/observations-from-word-roots-about-the-functionality-of-the-human-cognitive-system/227114