

# Chapter I

## Commodity, Firmness, and Delight: *Four Modes of Instructional Design Practice*

**Brad Hokanson**

*University of Minnesota, USA*

**Charles Miller**

*University of Minnesota, USA*

**Simon Hooper**

*Penn State University, USA*

*This chapter is interactive, with surveys and reflective examinations of the reader's own work in instructional design. It examines instructional design using four professional models: manufacturer, engineer, architect and artist to help develop a broader understanding of the process of design. The values of the instructional design are also challenged, with the chapter examining the balance between utility and aesthetics, function and form. It concludes with a call for the instructional designer to work more as an artist, and offers tactics to encourage that change.*

### INTRODUCTION

How do *you* solve an instructional design problem? Do you attempt to craft a solution based on the unique demands of each problem and the application of well researched instructional strategies? Or do you build upon an existing model, one that has worked many times before, selecting from solutions developed for a range of previous projects?

Your work is directly connected to your conceptualization of your role within the field of instructional design. And that conception includes assumptions and biases about processes, theories, and products. In the course of this chapter we will ask you to re-conceptualize your professional practice as an instructional designer and to recognize the roles of instructional manufacturer, instructional engineer, instructional architect, and instructional artist. We will describe how the working ethos of each shapes their practice.

What then, would happen if you were an *instructional artist*? As an instructional artist, you might be encouraged to create fundamentally different designs and work in a completely different manner. You might begin from an idea, engaging and desirable, but unconnected with learning, only later to apply it to instruction. It might work; it might not; but the application would be entirely different. We can see that the perspective through which we view ourselves biases how we understand and address problems.

### Your Balance in Design

The following survey is intended to stimulate personal reflection and discussion of the ideas included in this chapter. Participating in the survey will help you to engage with the article, to stimulate understanding of the concepts presented, and to reflect on your personal practice as an instructional designer. The survey was built from the characteristics which will be explored in this chapter, and will focus on the Vitruvian

Table 1. Survey

Q1	Are you a teacher or an artist?	Teacher <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> Artist
Q2	Which is more important: a functionally useful product or a stable product?	Functionally useful <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> Stable
Q3	Should media be used as tools or content providers?	Content providers <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> Tools
Q4	Which is more important: pedagogical soundness or innovation?	Pedagogically sound <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> Innovative
Q5	Which is more important: software usability or utility?	Usability <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> Utility
Q6	Which is more important: software stability or visual richness?	Stability <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> Visual richness
Q7	Should designs be easy to use or motivating to the learner?	Easy to use <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> Motivating
Q8	Which is more important: functional capability or learner motivation?	Functional capability <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> Motivation
Q9	Should people or design experiences be more central in ID?	People <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> Design Experiences
Q10	Which is more important: pedagogical soundness or efficiency?	Pedagogical soundness <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> Efficiency
Q11	Should products or experiences be more central in ID?	ID Products <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> — <input type="checkbox"/> ID Experiences

Continued on following page

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/commodity-firmness-delight/22085](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/commodity-firmness-delight/22085)

## Related Content

---

### Computer Application Software Training via E-Learning

Jun Hu (2008). *Handbook of Research on Instructional Systems and Technology* (pp. 571-581).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/computer-application-software-training-via/20814](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/computer-application-software-training-via/20814)

### Multicultural Considerations for Curriculum Developers of Online Courses

Beth Sargent, Cynthia Gautreau and Kristin Stang (2014). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 31-43).

[www.irma-international.org/article/multicultural-considerations-for-curriculum-developers-of-online-courses/119668](http://www.irma-international.org/article/multicultural-considerations-for-curriculum-developers-of-online-courses/119668)

### Student and Faculty Satisfaction with Enterprise CMS

Sunil Hazari and Kristin Caverly (2008). *Handbook of Research on Instructional Systems and Technology* (pp. 547-559).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/student-faculty-satisfaction-enterprise-cms/20812](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/student-faculty-satisfaction-enterprise-cms/20812)

### Instructional Design to Elicit Meaningful Learning in Students

José Luis Gómez Ramos, Esther Portal Martínez and Natalia Hipólito Ruiz (2022). *Design and Measurement Strategies for Meaningful Learning* (pp. 1-17).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/instructional-design-to-elicite-meaningful-learning-in-students/301001](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/instructional-design-to-elicite-meaningful-learning-in-students/301001)

### The Neuroscience of Student Engagement: Case Studies in Narrative Pedagogies in Mathematics, Science, and Technology

Stavroula Kalogeras, Sami Mejri and Faidonas Efthimiou (2022). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 1-19).

[www.irma-international.org/article/the-neuroscience-of-student-engagement/311440](http://www.irma-international.org/article/the-neuroscience-of-student-engagement/311440)