

# Case Study Analysis of a Junior/High School Service Delivery Model

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

*This chapter presents a case study analysis of a junior/high school service delivery model that services identified students with a variety of disability categories under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. This model is unique because it is rare for a school to have such a variety of different placement options for students with exceptionalities. Typically, school districts have several options, but not to the extent presented here. This chapter explains the different support options within the service delivery model. The chapter concludes with a discussion about future trends for service delivery models.*

## INTRODUCTION

Under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA, 2004), school districts are required to have some type of service delivery model to satisfy federal mandates in order to receive federal funding. In recent years, the trend has been to include as many students with exceptionalities into the general education classroom as possible. For some students, this type of learning environment is conducive to success, although others struggle with it. Consequently, attempting to find a learning environment that benefits all students with disabilities can be a challenge, not only for the students but for

staff as well since many schools do not have the faculty resources for anything but a resource room or a co-teaching scenario. However, one school district appears to have risen to the challenge of meeting the needs of every student with an identified disability within its junior/high school, Grades 8-12. This chapter presents a case study of that school, which utilizes a special education service delivery model with a variety of students who are diagnosed with an exceptionality under IDEA.

## **CASE ANALYSIS**

As discussed in Chapter 3, the continuum of service for students identified under IDEA with a disability is vast. Some can find academic success in a general education classroom with only the general education teacher working with them. Others need to be in a co-teaching situation where the special and general education teacher are instructing together or side by side. Still others need to be in a self-contained classroom with a small number of students and a teacher and paraprofessional who work one-on-one with each student. Despite the need of the student with an exceptionality, the Ever-Ready school district has a service delivery model to fit each scenario.

The Ever-Ready school district is small and rural. In the 2017-2018 school year, there were only approximately 1,700 students in Grades 8-12, which includes the combined population of their junior and high schools. The school has hired 15 teachers who are certified with a special educator's license, and 77.4% of these teachers are considered *accomplished* under the state teacher evaluation. The school also has hired 10 paraprofessionals who serve as one-on-one aides as well as assistants within the classrooms. There are 186 identified students with an exceptionality, which is about 11.1% of the total student population. Of these students, 93.4% attend school daily, and 62.2% graduated during the 2017-2018 school year. Most of the students who attend the Ever-Ready school are Caucasian (86.3%), whereas 6% are Hispanic, 3% are Black, and 3.4% are multiracial (Ohio Department of Education, n.d.).

The Ever-Ready school incorporates most of the services on the special education service delivery model continuum (see Chapter 3). The school has a specialty reading class for those who are not reading on grade level. There are co-taught classes in the content areas of math, language arts, sciences, and social studies. Self-contained classrooms and resource rooms are also part of this school's repertoire. Each type of class is described below.

6 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/case-study-analysis-junior-high/221643](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/case-study-analysis-junior-high/221643)

## Related Content

---

### Homeland Security Data Mining and Link Analysis

Bhavani Thuraisingham (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 982-986).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/homeland-security-data-mining-link/10940](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/homeland-security-data-mining-link/10940)

### Locally Adaptive Techniques for Pattern Classification

Carlotta Domeniconi and Dimitrios Gunopulos (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 1170-1175).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/locally-adaptive-techniques-pattern-classification/10970](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/locally-adaptive-techniques-pattern-classification/10970)

### A Bayesian Based Machine Learning Application to Task Analysis

Shu-Chiang Lin (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 133-139).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/bayesian-based-machine-learning-application/10810](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/bayesian-based-machine-learning-application/10810)

### Mining Group Differences

Shane M. Butler (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 1282-1286).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/mining-group-differences/10987](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/mining-group-differences/10987)

### Data Preparation for Data Mining

Magdi Kamel (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 538-543).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/data-preparation-data-mining/10872](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/data-preparation-data-mining/10872)