# Chapter 4 Addressing Security Issues of the Internet of Things Using Physically Unclonable Functions

Ishfaq Sultan University of Kashmir, India

Mohammad Tariq Banday University of Kashmir, India

## ABSTRACT

The spatial ubiquity and the huge number of employed nodes monitoring the surroundings, individuals, and devices makes security a key challenge in IoT. Serious security apprehensions are evolving in terms of data authenticity, integrity, and confidentiality. Consequently, IoT requires security to be assured down to the hardware level, as the authenticity and the integrity need to be guaranteed in terms of the hardware implementation of each IoT node. Physically unclonable functions recreate the keys only while the chip is being powered on, replacing the conventional key storage which requires storing information. Compared to extrinsic key storage, they are able to generate intrinsic keys and are far less susceptible against physical attacks. Physically unclonable functions have drawn considerable attention due to their ability to economically introduce hardware-level security into individual silicon dice. This chapter introduces the notion of physically unclonable functions, their scenarios for hardware security in IoT devices, and their interaction with traditional cryptography.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-5742-5.ch004

Copyright © 2019, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

### INTRODUCTION

The Internet of Things (IoT) exemplifies the interconnection of a vast number of 'Things' (uniquely identifiable physical objects) through the Internet, with sensing, communication and actuation capabilities (Dragomir et al., 2016). Internet of Things (IoT) domain is an appealing target of numerous cyber-attacks because IoT devices generate, process, and exchange massive sums of privacy-sensitive information, and security-critical data (Dorri et al., 2017). There are many constraints and restrictions in IoT devices in terms of power and computational resources, and the heterogeneous and ubiquitous nature of IoT initiate additional apprehensions concerning security establishment (Sain et al., 2017). IoT security needs to be part of the design at physical, network, and application levels. The IoT device itself needs to be designed using security principles. This covers the sensors that capture data, the data storage mechanism, and the micro-controller or actuator capable of controlling the device behavior, processing data and establishing a network connection (Wurm et al., 2016). Traditional security structures, such as public key cryptography, are not viable in IoT devices due to strict cost and power requirements. Physical and network attacks are common in the IoT domain due to backdoors created by a large number of IoT devices and the ensuing scale of IoT network. Software attacks, device cloning, eavesdropping, and data-stealing are also possible in IoT devices due to their always-connected feature (Mahalle & Railkar, 2015). The limited amount of energy accessibility of IoT devices can make them susceptible to resource enervation and denial of service attacks. Firmware and Software updates are inevitable due to the long life of IoT devices and hence, requires robust authentication procedures to evaluate the reliability and authenticity of any updates and patches, considering the tight power budget of IoT devices.

IoT needs security at the hardware level to ensure authenticity and integrity of hardware implementation of each node. Physically unclonable functions (PUFs) have been developed in the recent past as a potentially lightweight and secure solution for assuring security down to the hardware level. PUFs sometimes denoted as silicon biometrics (unique for each chip) are functions that map an *input digital challenge* with an *output digital response* repeatedly in an unpredictable manner, taking benefit from random process variations of the chip. In PUFs the key is naturally generated and embedded into the chip at the time of manufacturing, eliminating the need to store the key. PUFs are primarily utilized for device identification and authentication (Alvarez et al., 2015), lightweight encryption and secure key storage (Mathew et al., 2014), hardware entangled cryptography (Sadeghi & Naccache, 2010) and detection of malicious hardware (Maes, 2013). PUFs are very favorable primitives because of their randomness and unclonable feature, and hence, are extremely difficult to compute without the possession of PUF hardware. Although PUFs are established

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igiglobal.com/chapter/addressing-security-issues-of-theinternet-of-things-using-physically-unclonablefunctions/222273

## **Related Content**

#### Secure Multiparty Computation

Kannan Balasubramanianand M. Rajakani (2018). *Algorithmic Strategies for Solving Complex Problems in Cryptography (pp. 154-166).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/secure-multiparty-computation/188521

#### Cyber Risk: A Big Challenge in Developed and Emerging Markets

Maria Cristina Arcuri, Marina Brogiand Gino Gandolfi (2016). *Handbook of Research on Modern Cryptographic Solutions for Computer and Cyber Security (pp. 80-95).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/cyber-risk/153072

## Enhancing IoT Security RPL Attack Detection Using Sine Cosine Algorithm With XGBoost

Qais Al-Na'amneh, Rahaf Hazaymih, Tasnim Al-Harasis, Mohammed Amin Almaiah, Mahmoud Aljawarneh, Braa Qadoumiand Shahid Munir Shah (2025). *Cryptography, Biometrics, and Anonymity in Cybersecurity Management (pp. 1-28).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/enhancing-iot-security-rpl-attack-detection-using-sinecosine-algorithm-with-xgboost/378743

#### Advanced Topics in Blockchains

(2017). Decentralized Computing Using Blockchain Technologies and Smart Contracts: Emerging Research and Opportunities (pp. 28-43). www.irma-international.org/chapter/advanced-topics-in-blockchains/176867

## Cryptographic Techniques for Securing Blockchain-Based Cryptocurrency Transactions Against Botnet Attacks

Ammar Almomani, Ahmad Al-Qerem, Mohammad Al Khaldy, Mohammad Alauthman, Amjad Aldweeshand Khalid M. O. Nahar (2024). *Innovations in Modern Cryptography* (*pp. 315-340*).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/cryptographic-techniques-for-securing-blockchain-basedcryptocurrency-transactions-against-botnet-attacks/354045