Chapter 1.25 Human-Computer Interaction and Security

Kai Richter

Computer Graphics Centre (ZGDV), Germany

Volker Roth

OGM Laboratory LLC, USA

INTRODUCTION

Historically, computer security has its roots in the military domain with its hierarchical structures and clear and normative rules that are expected to be obeyed (Adams & Sasse, 1999). The technical expertise necessary to administer most security tools stems back to the time where security was the matter of trained system administrators and expert users. A considerable amount of money and expertise is invested by companies and institutions to set up and maintain powerful security infrastructures. However, in many cases, it is the user's behavior that enables security breaches rather than shortcomings of the technology. This has led to the notion of the user as the weakest link in the chain (Schneier, 2000), implying that the user was to blame instead of technology. The engineer's attitude toward the fallible human and the ignorance of the fact that technology's primary goal was to serve human turned out to be hard to overcome (Sasse, Brostoff, & Weirich, 2001).

BACKGROUND

With the spreading of online work and networked collaboration, the economic damage caused by security-related problems has increased considerably (Sacha, Brostoff, & Sasse, 2000). Also, the increasing application of personal computers, personal networks, and mobile devices with their support of individual security configuration can be seen as one reason for the increasing problems with security (e.g., virus attacks from personal notebooks, leaks in the network due to personal wireless LANs, etc.) (Kent, 1997). During the past decade, the security research community has begun to acknowledge the importance of the human factor and has started to take research on

human-computer interaction into consideration. The attitude has changed from blaming the user as a source of error toward a more user-centered approach trying to persuade and convince the user that security is worth the effort (Ackerman, Cranor, & Reagle, 1999; Adams & Sasse, 1999; Markotten, 2002; Smetters & Grinter, 2002; Whitten & Tygar, 1999; Yee, 2002).

In the following section, current research results concerning the implications of user attitude and compliance toward security systems are introduced and discussed. In the subsequent three sections, security-related issues from the main application areas, such as authentication, email security, and system security, are discussed. Before the concluding remarks, an outlook on future challenges in the security of distributed contextaware computing environments is given.

USER ATTITUDE

The security of a system cannot be determined only by its technical aspects but also by the attitude of the users of such a system. Dourish et al. (2003) distinguish between theoretical security (e.g., what is technologically possible) and effective security (e.g., what is practically achievable). Theoretical security to their terms can be considered as the upper bound of effective security. In order to improve effective security, the everyday usage of security has to be improved. In two field studies, Weirich and Sasse (2001) and Dourish et al. (2003) explored users' attitudes to security in working practice. The findings of both studies can be summarized under the following categories: perception of security, perception of threat, attitude toward security-related issues, and the social context of security.

Perception of security frequently is very inaccurate. Security mechanisms often are perceived as holistic tools that provide protection against threats, without any detailed knowledge about the actual scope. Therefore, specialized tools often are considered as insufficient, as they do not offer general protection. On the other hand, people might feel protected by a tool that does not address the relevant issue and thus remain unprotected (e.g., firewall protects against e-mail virus).

Perception of threats also reveals clear misconceptions. None of the users asked considered themselves as really endangered by attacks. As potential victims, other persons in their organization or other organizations were identified, such as leading personnel, people with important information, or high-profile institutions. Only a few of them realized the fact that they, even though not being the target, could be used as a stepping stone for an attack. The general attitude was that no one could do anything with the information on my computer or with my e-mails.

Potential attackers mainly were expected to be hackers or computer kids, with no explicit malevolent intentions but rather seeking fun. Notorious and disturbing but not really dangerous offenders, such as vandals, spammers, and marketers, were perceived as a frequent threat, while on the other hand, substantially dangerous attackers such as criminals were expected mainly in the context of online banking.

The attitude toward security technology was rather reserved. Generally, several studies reported three major types of attitudes toward security: privacy fundamentalists, privacy pragmatists, and privacy unconcerned (Ackerman et al., 1999). Users' experiences played a considerable role in their attitude, as experienced users more often considered security as a hindrance and tried to circumvent it in a pragmatic fashion in order to reach their work objectives. Weirich and Sasse (2001) report that none of the users absolutely obeyed the prescribed rules, but all were convinced that they would do the best they could for security.

Additionally, users' individual practices are often in disagreement with security technology.

8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/human-computer-interaction-security/22259

Related Content

Empowering Digital Citizenship Through Distance Education: A Technology-Driven Education Action Plan

Seda Adgüzeland Selami Erylmaz (2023). *Critical Roles of Digital Citizenship and Digital Ethics (pp. 49-60)*. www.irma-international.org/chapter/empowering-digital-citizenship-through-distance-education/331933

Autonomous Robots: Transformative and Disruptive

Robert Finkelstein (2021). *Technological Breakthroughs and Future Business Opportunities in Education, Health, and Outer Space (pp. 147-162).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/autonomous-robots/276258

A Pairing-based Homomorphic Encryption Scheme for Multi-User Settings

Zhang Wei (2016). *International Journal of Technology and Human Interaction (pp. 72-82)*. www.irma-international.org/article/a-pairing-based-homomorphic-encryption-scheme-for-multi-user-settings/152148

Developing a Framework for Next Generation Integrated Agro Food-Advisory Systems in Developing Countries

Alcardo Alex Barakabitze, Kadeghe Goodluck Fue, Edvin Jonathan Kitindiand Camilius Aloyce Sanga (2016). *International Journal of Information Communication Technologies and Human Development (pp. 13-31).*

www.irma-international.org/article/developing-a-framework-for-next-generation-integrated-agro-food-advisory-systems-in-developing-countries/163422

SpeakRite: Monitoring Speaking Rate in Real Time on a Mobile Phone

Ahmed Imran, Meghna Pandharipandeand Sunil Kumar Kopparapu (2013). *International Journal of Mobile Human Computer Interaction (pp. 62-69).*

www.irma-international.org/article/speakrite-monitoring-speaking-rate-real/76335