

Chapter 10

Cultural Landscape: An Evaluation From Past to Present

Funda Varnaci Uzun
Aksaray University, Turkey

Mehmet Somuncu
Ankara University, Turkey

ABSTRACT

The “cultural landscape” has been a fundamental concept in geography and was first defined as “landscape modified by human activity” by the German geographer Friedrich Ratzel in 1890. It was introduced to American geography in the 1920s by Carl O. Sauer (American geographer). Since the 1960s, the concept has been widely used in human geography, anthropology, environmental management, and other related fields. One of the major factors that contributed to the recent popularity of its use, on a global scale, was the adoption of cultural landscapes in the International Convention for the World Heritage Convention by the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) in 1992. In this chapter, the basis of this concept, its emergence, and its relationships with other scientific disciplines, particularly geography, will be discussed. Moreover, the place of cultural landscapes within protected areas and UNESCO world heritage sites will be more specifically addressed.

INTRODUCTION

Cultural landscapes are a legacy of all mankind. Cultural landscape, a complex spatial phenomenon, is a result of the activity of all its inhabitants from a natural process and biological species to human. In this respect, cultural landscape covers highly comprehensive heritage with its memories and concrete – abstract components (Çöteli, 2012). The recognition of cultural landscapes is representative of the broadening of the definition and scope of cultural heritage. There is specific recognition of the potential natural resource values in cultural landscapes (Mitchell & Buggey, 2000). A cultural landscape perspective explicitly recognizes the history of a place and its cultural traditions in addition to its ecological value. A landscape perspective also recognizes the continuity between the past and with people living and working on the land today. It explores how the sense of place, the cultural identity, and the con-

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-8054-6.ch010

nections to the past can become touchstones for deepening and broadening the impact and relevance of conservation. Concurrently, the concept of protected landscapes has advanced the practice and thought for natural area conservation (Mitchell & Buggey, 2000).

The primary focus of conservation activities of cultural landscapes is to respect the past. Conservation of cultural landscapes is important for the continuity of sense of place and identity of future generations. The identification of multiple values of cultural landscapes is a key to their management planning. In other words, if values are identified correctly, the management plan should flow from that in a coherent way. The section on the significance and values of cultural landscapes addressed the role of the people who decide the values of cultural landscapes, which are closely linked to management planning. There are other significant factors that determine which cultural landscape deserves more protection and which one does not and who sets the objectives for the management of cultural landscapes (Dailoo, 2009; Weizenegger & Schenk, 2006). Understanding cultural landscapes means understanding the way of life in the past and the decisions made at that time. This is important to a better comprehension of the current situation and certainly crucial to better decision making for the future.

This section aims to discuss the emergence, development, change and the present situation of the concept of cultural landscape. For this purpose, the chapter firstly includes the development and change of the concept in the historical process and its definition by different disciplines. In the second stage, types of cultural landscapes created by different organizations are explained. In the next section, the conservation of cultural landscape areas is assessed within the framework of the approaches of the United Nations National Park Service (USNPS), the UNESCO World Convention and the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN). In this part of the book, the importance and management of cultural landscapes are also discussed.

The Concept of Cultural Landscape and Its Development in the Historical Process

The term landscape was first used by in China in 4 A.D. as a means of watching the environment like a picture (Lennon, 2006). According to Mikesell (1977), the term *landscape* was used in old English (landscape) to refer to a specific area with well-defined borders owned by a lord or inhabited by a certain group of people.

Landscape is a ubiquitous word in English and other European languages with origins in Anglo-German language dating back to c.500 A.D. in Europe. The words – *landskipe* or *landscaef* – and the notions implied were taken to Britain by Anglo-Saxon settlers (Jackson, 1984). The meaning was a clearing in the forest with animals, huts, fields, fences. It was essentially a peasant landscape carved out of the original forest or weald, i.e. out of the wilderness with interconnections to patterns of occupation and associated customs and ways of doing things. Landscape from its beginnings, therefore, has meant a man-made artifact with associated cultural process values (Taylor, 2008a). As early as the 16th century in Europe, the term landscape was associated with fine art, countryside scenery and rural lands with their special characters and identities (Dailoo, 2009). In this period, with the use of the term by Dutch *landschap* artists to mean country scenery, this meaning of the word became more popular, and it was used in general and in particular to refer to a scenery (Tümertekin & Özgüç, 2006). The idea of landscape art was further developed in the 17th, 18th and 19th centuries (Dailoo, 2009).

The concept of a cultural landscape had long been used by geographers, in particular, even though the terminology was not always the same (Aplin, 2007). The idea of cultural landscape is a century old

25 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/cultural-landscape/222900

Related Content

Provision of Web 2.0 Services by Interoperable GIS-Powered Local Administration Portal Systems

Anastasios Tsitsanis, Sotirios Koussouris and Rob Peters (2013). *Geographic Information Systems: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1243-1259).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/provision-web-services-interoperable-gis/70503

Online Flood Information System: REST-Based Web Service

Xiannian Chen, Xinyue Ye, Michael C. Carroll and Yingru Li (2014). *International Journal of Applied Geospatial Research* (pp. 1-10).

www.irma-international.org/article/online-flood-information-system/111097

Integrated Multi-Scalar Approach for 3D Cultural Heritage Acquisitions

Michele Russo and Anna Maria Manferdini (2019). *Geospatial Intelligence: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 443-468).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/integrated-multi-scalar-approach-for-3d-cultural-heritage-acquisitions/222911

Using Building Information Modeling to Evaluate the Costs and Benefits of Adopting Sustainable Universal Houses in Canada

Ahmad Jrade and Farzad Jalaei (2014). *International Journal of 3-D Information Modeling* (pp. 56-76).

www.irma-international.org/article/using-building-information-modeling-to-evaluate-the-costs-and-benefits-of-adopting-sustainable-universal-houses-in-canada/124974

Improving Accessibility Through VGI and Crowdsourcing

Igor Gomes Cruz and Claudio E.C. Campelo (2017). *Volunteered Geographic Information and the Future of Geospatial Data* (pp. 208-226).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/improving-accessibility-through-vgi-crowdsourcing/178806