

Chapter 14

A Systematic Evaluation of a Soccer Club's College Advisory Program

Seung Youn (Yonnie) Chyung
Boise State University, USA

Stacey E. Olachea
Boise State University, USA

Colleen Olson
Boise State University, USA

Ben Davis
Boise State University, USA

ABSTRACT

The College Advisory Program offered by Total Vision Soccer Club aims at providing young players with the opportunity to learn how to navigate the collegiate recruiting process, market themselves to college coaches, and increase their exposure to potential colleges and universities. A team of external evaluators (authors of this chapter) conducted a formative evaluation to determine what the program needs to do to reach its goal. By following a systemic evaluation process, the evaluation team investigated five dimensions of the program and collected data by reviewing various program materials and conducting surveys and interviews with players and their parents, upstream stakeholders, and downstream impactees. By triangulating the multiple sources of data, the team drew a conclusion that most program dimensions were rated as mediocre although the program had several strengths. The team provided evidence-based recommendations for improving the quality of the program.

ORGANIZATIONAL BACKGROUND

Human performance improvement (HPI) involves the use of systematic and systemic approaches to closing gaps in organizational outcomes by employing cost-effective solutions (Chyung, 2008; Van Tiem, Moseley, & Dessinger, 2012). The International Society for Performance Improvement (ISPI) is a leading organization that provides professional standards, principles, and ethical guidelines to the community of practitioners involved in various types of HPI processes. For example, HPI practitioners are expected to: 1) focus on results, 2) take a systemic view, 3) add value, 4) establish partnerships with clients and stakeholders, 5) determine needs or opportunities for improvement, 6) determine causal factors for performance gaps, 7) design solutions to close the performance gaps, 8) ensure solutions' conformity and feasibility, 9) successfully implement recommended solutions, and 10) evaluate results and impact of the implemented solutions (ISPI, n.d.). Among the 10 items, HPI practitioners often encounter barriers to conducting evaluations of implemented solutions or interventions, and thus evaluation is rather infrequently performed by HPI practitioners (Gordon, 2003; Guerra, 2003).

Evaluations conducted in the context of HPI involve investigations of the quality, value, and significance of the interventions that have been implemented to make improvements to current performance levels. The implemented solutions can be instructional programs such as educational or training courses, workshops, and e-learning programs, or non-instructional programs such as incentive programs, employee engagement programs, and electronic performance support systems. They can also be a combination of both. By referring to the solutions or interventions as programs, evaluations conducted in the HPI context can be characterized as *program evaluations*. The most popular evaluation model that HPI practitioners are aware of is undoubtedly Kirkpatrick's 4-level model of evaluation (1996), which is designed to evaluate training programs. However, since training programs are required only about 10% of the time (Dean, 1997), HPI practitioners also need to be equipped with knowledge of conducting evaluations on programs that are not training programs.

When conducting program evaluations, whether they are training or non-training programs, HPI practitioners need to follow a systematic process involving steps such as: 1) identify the program to be evaluated (a.k.a., evaluand), 2) identify the overall purpose and type of conducting the evaluation (e.g., formative vs. summative, and goal-based vs. goal-free), 3) identify stakeholders of the program, 4) review an existing, or develop a new, program logic model, 5) identify dimensions of the program to be investigated, 6) determine data collection methods, 7) identify or develop data collection instruments, 8) collect data, 9) analyze data against rubrics, and 10) synthesize dimensional results, draw conclusions, and make recommendations (Chyung, Wisniewski, Inderbitzen, & Campbell, 2013; Davidson, 2005; Scriven, 2007). This chapter describes a case evaluation study on a youth soccer club's college advisory program using those 10 steps. This 10-step procedure was established based on Key Evaluation Checklist (KEC) developed by Michael Scriven (2007), whose approach to evaluation is recognized as a consumer-oriented and needs-oriented approach. Other approaches to program evaluations include David Fetterman's (2001) empowerment evaluation, Robert Stake's (2004) responsive evaluation, and Michael Patton's (2012) utilization-focused evaluation [see Stufflebeam and Shinkfield (2007) for other program evaluation approaches and comparisons among them]. The evaluators of this chapter chose to use the KEC framework for its needs-oriented approach (i.e., assessing if the program is meeting the actual consumers' needs) and its explicit guidance for conducting comprehensive and systematic evaluations (Davidson, 2005).

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/a-systematic-evaluation-of-a-soccer-clubs-college-advisory-program/226566

Related Content

An Information Systems Design Framework for Facilitating TQM Implementation

Nazim U. Ahmed and Ramarathnam Ravichandran (2002). *Human Computer Interaction Development & Management* (pp. 174-193).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/information-systems-design-framework-facilitating/22212

The Influences of Employees' Emotions and Cognition on IT Adoption: Some Perspectives from Iran

Armin Kashefi, Pamela Abbott and David Bell (2012). *International Journal of Social and Organizational Dynamics in IT* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/influences-employees-emotions-cognition-adoption/72886

Narcissism as a Predictor of Facebook Users' Privacy Concern, Vigilance, and Exposure to Risk

Karen Smith, Francis Mendez and Garry L. White (2014). *International Journal of Technology and Human Interaction* (pp. 78-95).

www.irma-international.org/article/narcissism-as-a-predictor-of-facebook-users-privacy-concern-vigilance-and-exposure-to-risk/115217

A Product System for Meaningful Work, Rehabilitation, and Social Well-Being in Correctional Contexts

Anton Nemme, Berto Pandolfo, Roderick Walden and Stefan Lie (2020). *Maintaining Social Well-Being and Meaningful Work in a Highly Automated Job Market* (pp. 25-56).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-product-system-for-meaningful-work-rehabilitation-and-social-well-being-in-correctional-contexts/253106

Textualizing the HIV/AIDS Motif in Theater-Against-AIDS Performances in Kenya

Mahiri Mwita (2011). *Cultural Identity and New Communication Technologies: Political, Ethnic and Ideological Implications* (pp. 164-196).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/textualizing-hiv-aids-motif-theater/53772