

# Chapter 63

## Evaluating Psychological Aircraft Accident Reports for Differences in the Investigation of Human Factors

**Marco Michael Nitzschner**

*German Air Force Centre of Aerospace Medicine, Germany*

**Michael Stein**

*German Armed Forces Office-Applied Military Psychology and Research Group, Germany*

### **ABSTRACT**

*Analyzing accidents clearly is an important method for maintaining and improving safety in aviation. Nevertheless, evaluating these accident reports is equally important. Still, such evaluations seem to be generally neglected, especially in the military domain. The aim of the current study was to shed light on this fact by analyzing investigated human factors in military aircraft accident reports of aviation psychologists. Therefore, the authors conducted a content analysis of 42 reports of the German Armed Forces from the years 1994-2014. Confidence intervals and effect sizes indicated various differences in human factors throughout the psychological aircraft accident reports. Further, confidence intervals and effect sizes indicated differences in the corresponding areas. Thus, differences concerning human factors exist in the investigated accident reports.*

### **INTRODUCTION**

Analyzing aircraft accidents clearly is an important method for maintaining and improving safety in the aviation domain and is done frequently (e.g. Branford, 2011; De Voogt, 2011; Endsley, 1995a; Goh & Wiegmann, 2002; Van Doorn, 2014; Van Doorn & de Voogt, 2007, 2011). Nevertheless, evaluating these accident reports is equally important. Knowing how research is applied in practice and which methods find application is ultimately essential for improving the expertise of accident investigators and thus flight safety. However, such evaluations seem to be generally neglected, especially in the military

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-8356-1.ch063

## ***Evaluating Psychological Aircraft Accident Reports***

aviation domain. Since such military reports are often classified, this is hardly surprising. Yet, we managed to obtain permission for analyzing aircraft accident reports issued by aviation psychologists of the German Armed Forces and aim on bridging that gap.

The aviation psychologist is one member of the aircraft accident investigation board and has the task to investigate the human factors contributing to the accident. Therefore, the aviation psychologist issues an accident report that provides one basis for the work of the accident investigation board.

Our leading question was which human factors for explaining aircraft accidents were applied in those psychological aircraft accident reports and if differences in the identified human factors exist. Therefore, we analyzed their content for established human factors known to be involved in accidents. As a first step, we examined, if guidelines on behalf of aviation-related organizations exist that suggest which human factors should be considered during an accident investigation. Indeed, such guidelines exist for example on behalf of the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) or the International Society of Air Safety Investigators (ISASI). Nevertheless, these were not suitable as a basis for a category system. Therefore, as a second step, we conducted a literature research based on the recommendations by ICAO (1993). We focused on a) identifying particular human factors with relevance for accident incurrence and b) on aircraft accident models/taxonomies. As a result, we decided to focus on the following factors (for a detailed overview of the factors, please refer to the respective literature): 1) Mental Workload (e.g. Kahneman, Beatty, & Pollack, 1967; Ruffel Smith, 1979; Young & Stanton, 2001), 2) Situation Awareness (e.g. Endsley, 1988, 1995a, 1995b; Sarter & Woods, 1991, 1995), 3) Decision making (e.g. Billings & Reynard, 1984; Flin, Salas, Strub, & Martin, 1997; Flin et al., 2003; Klein, Orasanu, Calderwood, & Zsombok, 1993; Shappell et al., 2007), 4) Cooperation (e.g. Flin et al., 2003), 5) Leadership and Management (e.g. Flin et al., 2003; Sumwalt & Lemos, 2010), 6) Fatigue (e.g. Caldwell & Caldwell, 2003; Rosekind, Co, Gregory, & Miller, 2000), 7) Stress (e.g. Harris, 2011; Lazarus & Folkman, 1984; NTSB, 2001; Salas, Driskell, & Hughes, 2016), 8) Spatial Disorientation (e.g. Cheung, Money, Wright, & Bateman 1995; Lyons, Ercoline, O'Toole, & Grayson, 2006; Singh & Navathe, 1994), 9) Human Machine Interaction/Design (e.g. Baxter, Besnard, & Riley, 2007; Billings, 1997; Sarter & Woods, 1995; Sarter, Woods, & Billings, 1997; Sherry, Polson, & Feary, 2002; Rudisill, 1995). Additionally, we decided to focus on the following aircraft accident models: 1) The Human Factors Analysis and Classification System (HFACS; e.g. Shappell & Wiegmann, 1997, 1998, 2000, 2001; Wiegmann & Shappell, 2001, 2004) and 2) the AcciMap approach (e.g. Rasmussen, 1997; Rasmussen & Svedung, 2000; Svedung & Rasmussen, 2002; Vicente & Christoffersen, 2006).

## **THE CURRENT STUDY**

The current study aimed at examining how established human factors are being applied in the actual investigation process. Therefore, we conducted a content analysis (see Janis, 1965) on aircraft accident reports issued by aviation psychologists. In particular, as a first step, we analyzed if there are differences regarding the investigated human factors. As a second step, we analyzed if there are differences concerning the areas of the human factors. As such, individual/crew factors, supervision factors, organizational factors, over-organizational factors, and environmental factors were investigated. Meant by over-organizational factors are factors that go beyond the influence of a single organization as described in the AcciMap approach from Rasmussen (1997), Rasmussen and Svedung (2000), and Svedung and Rasmussen (2002).

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/evaluating-psychological-aircraft-accident-reports-for-differences-in-the-investigation-of-human-factors/226618](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/evaluating-psychological-aircraft-accident-reports-for-differences-in-the-investigation-of-human-factors/226618)

## Related Content

---

### **Innovation or Imitation: Some Economic Performance and Social Welfare Policy Perspectives**

Soheil Ghili, Hengameh Shamsand Madjid Tavana (2013). *Information Systems and Modern Society: Social Change and Global Development* (pp. 204-224).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/innovation-imitation-some-economic-performance/73602](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/innovation-imitation-some-economic-performance/73602)

### **Religious Use of Mobile Phones**

Kyong James Cho and Heidi A. Campbell (2015). *Encyclopedia of Mobile Phone Behavior* (pp. 308-321).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/religious-use-of-mobile-phones/130151](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/religious-use-of-mobile-phones/130151)

### **Bringing the Social and Organisational Issues into Information Systems Development: The Story of Multiview**

David Avison and Trevor Wood-Harper (2003). *Socio-Technical and Human Cognition Elements of Information Systems* (pp. 5-21).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/bringing-social-organisational-issues-into/29319](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/bringing-social-organisational-issues-into/29319)

### **High Performance Reversible Data Hiding for Mobile Applications and Human Interaction**

Fong-Hao Liu, Hsiang-Fu Lo, Chun-Te Su, Der-Chyuan Lou and Wei-Tsong Lee (2013). *International Journal of Technology and Human Interaction* (pp. 41-57).

[www.irma-international.org/article/high-performance-reversible-data-hiding-for-mobile-applications-and-human-interaction/101305](http://www.irma-international.org/article/high-performance-reversible-data-hiding-for-mobile-applications-and-human-interaction/101305)

### **Socio-Technical SIEM (ST-SIEM): Towards Bridging the Gap in Security Incident Response**

Bilal AISabbaghand Stewart Kowalski (2017). *International Journal of Systems and Society* (pp. 8-21).

[www.irma-international.org/article/socio-technical-siem-st-siem/193639](http://www.irma-international.org/article/socio-technical-siem-st-siem/193639)