## Identifying Accident Factors in Military Aviation: Applying HFACS to Accident and Incident Reports of the German Armed Forces

Marco M Nitzschner, German Air Force Centre of Aerospace Medicine, Köln, Germany Ursa K J Nagler, Bundeswehr Institute for Preventive Medicine, Andernach, Germany Michael Stein, German Armed Forces Office - Applied Military Psychology and Research Group, Bonn, Germany

### ABSTRACT

Investigating accidents is an important method to enhance safety in aviation. Nevertheless, it is equally important to examine trends and factors across different accidents to adapt accordingly. Therefore, in the first study, 48 accidents and incidents occurring to manned military aircraft of the German Armed Forces between the years 2004 and 2014 were analyzed using the HFACS framework. Results show that preconditions for unsafe acts (37.7%) was observed most often, followed by unsafe acts (36.2%) and organizational influences (17.9%). Unsafe supervision was observed least often (8.2%). Thus, operators on the front line contribute the major part to manned aircraft incurrences in the German Armed Forces while higher levels of HFACS seem to play a smaller part. In the second study, 33 accidents and incidents occurring to unmanned military aircraft of the German Armed Forces between 2004 and 2014 were analyzed, also using the HFACS framework. Results show that technical issues were mentioned most often and human factors were identified considerably less than in manned aircraft.

#### KEYWORDS

Accident Analysis, Accident Investigation, Content Analysis, German Armed Forces, HFACS, Human Factors Analysis and Classification System, Human Factors, UAV

#### DOI: 10.4018/IJDREM.2019010104

Copyright © 2019, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

### INTRODUCTION

Analyzing accidents and incidents clearly is an important method for maintaining and improving safety and is done frequently (e.g. De Voogt, 2011; Goh & Wiegmann, 2002; Li, Harris, & Yu, 2008; Patterson & Shapell, 2010; Schröder-Hinrichs, Baldauf, & Ghirxi, 2011; Van Doorn, 2014; Van Doorn & de Voogt, 2007, 2011), especially in the aviation domain. Analyses of accident reports are mainly used either for identifying potential relationships and trends (e.g. Jenkins, Salmon, Stanton, & Walker, 2010; Lenné, Salmon, Liu, & Trotter, 2012; Patterson & Shapell, 2010) or for validating, adapting and comparing specific accident models (e.g. Celik & Cebi, 2009; Reinach & Viale, 2006; Underwood & Waterson, 2014).

It is necessary to publish such post-hoc analyses of aircraft accident/incident reports to make the results accessible for as much accident and safety researchers as possible. Since the German Armed Forces has never published such results for an international audience, the aim of the current study was to do so.

### The Human Factors Analysis and Classification System

The Human Factors Analysis and Classification System (HFACS; Shappell & Wiegmann, 1997, 1998, 2000, 2001; Wiegmann & Shapell, 2001, 2004) is an accident investigation tool for analyzing human error in aviation. It was originally created for military purposes and is by now also a well-known tool for accident investigators in civil aviation and other domains. HFACS distinguishes human error by means of four different levels: 1) unsafe acts, 2) preconditions for unsafe acts, 3) unsafe supervision, and 4) organizational influences (see Figure 1).

At the first level, failures are classified into two categories: errors and violations, at the second level, failures are classified into three categories: environmental factors,



#### Figure 1. The HFACS framework (Shappell et al., 2007, p. 229)

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/article/identifying-accident-factors-in-military-</u> aviation/233881

#### **Related Content**

# Insight Into Postdoctoral Position in Tunisia: Situation, Challenges, and Opportunities

Nourhene Boudhrioua, Manel Ben M'hadheb, Fethia Harzallah-Skhiri, Pedro Xavier, Malek Ben Zid, Cristina L. M. Silvaand Jawhar Gharbi (2024). *Building Resiliency in Higher Education: Globalization, Digital Skills, and Student Wellness (pp. 306-331).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/insight-into-postdoctoral-position-in-tunisia/345230

# Tweeting the Spill: Online Informal Communications, Social Networks, and Conversational Microstructures during the Deepwater Horizon Oilspill

Jeannette Sutton, Emma Spiro, Carter Butts, Sean Fitzhugh, Britta Johnsonand Matt Greczek (2013). *International Journal of Information Systems for Crisis Response and Management (pp. 58-76).* 

www.irma-international.org/article/tweeting-spill-online-informal-communications/77322

# Management of Unanticipated Extreme Flood: A Case Study on Flooding in NW Bangladesh during 2017

Partho Dasand Rezaur Rahman (2018). *International Journal of Disaster Response and Emergency Management (pp. 22-37).* 

www.irma-international.org/article/management-of-unanticipated-extreme-flood/212684

#### How Individuals Hypothetically and Realistically Respond to Media Messages About Severe Weather

Cory L. Armstrongand Nathan A. Towery (2021). *International Journal of Disaster Response and Emergency Management (pp. 47-62).* 

www.irma-international.org/article/how-individuals-hypothetically-and-realistically-respond-tomedia-messages-about-severe-weather/273003

### Between a Rock and a Cell Phone: Communication and Information Technology Use during the 2011 Uprisings in Tunisia and Egypt

Andrea Kavanaugh, Steven D. Sheetz, Riham Hassan, Seungwon Yang, Hicham G. Elmongui, Edward A. Fox, Mohamed Magdyand Donald J. Shoemaker (2013). *International Journal of Information Systems for Crisis Response and Management (pp. 1-21).* 

www.irma-international.org/article/between-rock-cell-phone/77319