



Chapter 3

Key Issues in IS Management in Norway: An Empirical Study Based on Q Methodology

Petter Gottschalk

Norwegian School of Management, Norway

Information systems (IS) departments face many challenges in today's rapidly changing environment. One approach to understanding these challenges is to survey IS managers to elicit what they consider are key issues. Studies of key IS management issues have been conducted for some years in many nations and regions. However, most of these surveys lack a theoretical basis for the selection of key issues. Furthermore, most studies have used a single-round or a multi-round Delphi method. This chapter provides an overview of research approaches to key issues studies combined with key issues results from previous research. The chapter presents methodological issues and choices for a survey on key issues in IS management which was conducted in Norway. A three step procedure for key issues selection is introduced, and a Q-sort analysis is adopted. The chapter presents results from the Q-sort survey and analysis. The highest ranked key issue in Norway, according to the survey, is concerned with improving links between information systems strategy and business strategy.

INTRODUCTION

Information systems (IS) departments face many challenges in today's rapidly changing environment. One approach to understanding these challenges is to survey IS managers to elicit what they consider are key issues.

Previously Published in the *Information Resource Management Journal*, vol.14, no.2, Copyright ©

2001, Idea Group Publishing.

This chapter appears in the book, *Information Systems Evaluation Management* by Wim van Grembergen.

Copyright © 2002, IRM Press, an imprint of Idea Group Inc.

According to Niederman et al. (1991), the primary purpose of such studies is to determine the IS management issues expected to be most important over the next three to five years and thus most deserving of time and resource investment.

This chapter provides an overview of research approaches to key issues studies and presents methodological issues and choices for a survey on key issues in IS management which was conducted in Norway in 1998. A three step procedure for key issues selection is introduced, and a Q-method analysis is adopted. Finally, the chapter presents results from the Q-sort survey and analysis.

LITERATURE REVIEW

This research is concerned with key issues selection procedure and key issues survey approach: it is assumed that the ranking results of the studies presented above were influenced by selection procedure and survey approach. The most common selection procedure is to start with an old key issues list and let it be revised in multiple survey rounds as shown in Table 1. Some studies start from scratch by asking respondents to specify issues that they think will be key issues. The most common survey approach is the Delphi technique as shown in Table 1. Some studies apply other methods. This research applies Q-sort that already has been used in Brazil by Morgado et al. (1995, 1999).

KEY ISSUES SELECTION

Some key issues appear to emerge quickly. The sudden prominence of business process redesign in many recent studies (e.g., Brancheau et al., 1996), indicates that IS managers may be too willing to respond to a current hot topic, and their attention may be too easily diverted from fundamental, long-term issues. If asked in 1998, many Norwegian IS managers would probably rank “Year 2000” as a key issue. The Year 2000 issue was, however, both a short-term problem and an issue that is part of the larger problem of maintaining software. Hence, the selection of key issues for survey research is associated with several problems as listed in Table 2.

The lack of theory is a major concern. Watson et al. (1997) suggest that a sufficiently relevant theoretical model, on which to base a new key issues framework, should be identified. They discuss role theory, managerial IS competencies and general management practices as “redesign” approaches to potential new key issues frameworks (Watson et al., 1997, p. 111):

Advantages of the “redesign” approach include the possibility that the framework be complete, consistent, parsimonious, and both regionally and

17 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/key-issues-management-norway/23426

Related Content

Decision Making and Support Tools for Design of Machining Systems

A. Dolgui, O. Guschinskaya, N. Guschinsky and G. Levin (2010). *Strategic Information Systems: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 591-603).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/decision-making-support-tools-design/36713

Dynamics in IS Development: A Multi-Method Experiment to Measure the Effects of Disruptions during the Development Process

Peter Otto and Salvatore Belardo (2010). *Strategic Information Systems: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 471-489).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/dynamics-development-multi-method-experiment/36706

Choosing Technologies for Handheld and Ubiquitous Decision Support

Darren Woollatt, Paul Koop, Sara Jones and Jim Warren (2010). *Strategic Information Systems: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1067-1076).
www.irma-international.org/chapter/choosing-technologies-handheld-ubiquitous-decision/36743

Digital Innovation to Transform the Customer Experience

Evangelos Katsamakas and Aditya Saharia (2019). *International Journal of Strategic Information Technology and Applications* (pp. 38-52).
www.irma-international.org/article/digital-innovation-to-transform-the-customer-experience/243723

Using Geospatial Information Systems for Strategic Planning and Institutional Research for Higher Education Institutions

Nicolas A. Valcik (2012). *International Journal of Strategic Information Technology and Applications* (pp. 31-47).
www.irma-international.org/article/using-geospatial-information-systems-strategic/75110