

Chapter 7

Professional Development to Promote Online Communication, Collaboration and Learning Among Faculty: A Community of Practice Approach

Mayela Coto

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4558-3671>

Universidad Nacional, Costa Rica

Lone Dirckinck-Holmfeld

Aalborg University, Denmark

ABSTRACT

Based on a critical re-reading of a study of a community of practice approach to professional development, this chapter uses Engeström's activity theory model to highlight the tensions that arise in a professional development program oriented to change teaching practice through the introduction of ICT and a student-centered pedagogical approach. Despite the community of practice potential, there are many tensions that inhibit this type of professional learning. These tensions can be summarized in four broad categories: institutional structures (division of work), the institutional culture (rules), levels of engagement (differentiations within the community), and faculty readiness (in the appropriation of tools and new pedagogy). By analyzing, in greater depth, the tensions, our goal is to reflect again in the design principles and to further elaborate on developing a professional development strategy based on a community of practice approach that can be used in broader contexts.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-9814-5.ch007

INTRODUCTION

To contribute to today's innovation-driven economy, universities must integrate new teaching and learning approaches that allow students to develop skills such as collaboration, problem solving, and critical and creative thinking (Adams et al., 2018). These challenges, together with the principles of long-life learning, online learning and blended learning, imply new demands for faculty, who must be prepared to face the growing expectations of being competent, both in terms of promoting the development of these new skills in the students as in the integration of content, pedagogy and technology (Crawford, 2008; Wall, 2013).

In general, the task of preparing faculty to meet these challenges relies in faculty professional development programs. Literature stresses that these programs must address changes in beliefs, knowledge, and habits of practice, in order to achieve changes in the quality of teaching and learning (Gibbs & Coffey, 2004; Light & Calkins, 2008; Ödalen, Brommesson, Erlingsson, Schaffer, & Fogelgren, 2019). Literature also shows that traditional professional development (event-based, one-hit training workshops) has been shown ineffective in supporting change in teaching practices. These shortcomings have provoked an interest towards community inspired models.

In the last decade, research has shown that communities of practice (Wenger, 1998) can be a catalyst to improving faculty' professional practice (Coto, 2010, 2014; Lantz-Andersson, Lundin, & Selwyn, 2018; Patton & Parker, 2017; Schlager & Fusco, 2004; Sherer, Shea, & Kristensen, 2003). The notion of building learning communities has become a very valuable mean for engaging faculty in meaningful and effective professional learning (Barab, Kling, & Gray, 2004; Macià & García, 2016; MacPhail, Patton, Parker, & Tannehill, 2014; Patton & Parker, 2017; Wing Lai, Pratt, Anderson, & Stigter, 2006). In addition, the technological infrastructure currently available in many higher education institutions has the potential to create online and distributed learning environments that can facilitate and extend the professional development of teachers (Lock, 2006).

Despite its potential, there are many obstacles that inhibit this type of professional learning. Many of the faculty members do not have the knowledge, experience and skills necessary to work as productive members of a community of practice. They are very pressured by time, with the growing demand for teaching and research, and do not always receive incentives or support from the institution to participate in professional development activities (Coto, 2010, 2014; Powell & Bodur, 2019; Tsiotakis & Jimoyianis, 2016). Further in a resource constraint setting, there may be additional challenges with respect to access to IT, Wi-Fi and Internet, and fewer experiences with the use of IT tools for teaching and learning.

This chapter is based on previous studies (Coto, 2010, 2014) that has its roots in the interlacing of two main theoretical areas: professional development (PD) in higher education and learning in communities of practice (CoP). In this chapter, and responding to a fifth phase of the design-based research methodology - Dissemination and adoption in broader contexts- (Coto, 2010; Reeves, 2006), we will revisit the data but this time we will use activity theory (Engeström, 1987; Engeström, 2001) to analyze the tensions and contradictions that have been identified in the first analysis of the data, in order to broaden the application of the design principles. As such we will be looking into "secondary contradictions emerging between constitutive components of an activity system" (Sannino & Engeström, 2018, p. 49).

32 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/professional-development-to-promote-online-communication-collaboration-and-learning-among-faculty/234597

Related Content

Combating the Pandemic With ICT-Based Learning Tools and Applications: A Case of Indian Higher Education Platforms

Jitendra Singh, Vikas Kumar and Darvinder Kumar (2022). *International Journal of Virtual and Personal Learning Environments* (pp. 1-21).

www.irma-international.org/article/combating-pandemic-ict-based-learning/295302

eLearning: Institutional Provision and Student Expectations

Barbara Newland and Maria-Christiana Papaefthimiou (2010). *Technology-Supported Environments for Personalized Learning: Methods and Case Studies* (pp. 74-90).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/elearning-institutional-provision-student-expectations/39688

The Dynamics of Online Collaboration: Team Task, Team Development, Peer Relationship, and Communication Media

Ke Zhang and Xun Ge (2006). *Managing Learning in Virtual Settings: The Role of Context* (pp. 98-116).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/dynamics-online-collaboration/25954

eLearning in the Cloud

Niall Sclater (2010). *International Journal of Virtual and Personal Learning Environments* (pp. 10-19).

www.irma-international.org/article/elearning-cloud/39127

Mobile App to Support Teaching in Distance Mode at Fiji National University: Design and Evaluation

Bimal Aklesh Kumar and Sailesh Chand (2018). *International Journal of Virtual and Personal Learning Environments* (pp. 25-37).

www.irma-international.org/article/mobile-app-to-support-teaching-in-distance-mode-at-fiji-national-university/210433