

Chapter 86

Development Administration in Contemporary Africa: An Explorative Analysis

Gbenga Emmanuel Afolayan
Murdoch University, Australia

Olusegun Abayomi Ogunsanwo
The Federal Polytechnic Ilaro, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

This chapter explores the definitional and conceptual issues in the academic field of development administration and highlights the views about Western models within the grain of African traditional society. It identifies a major set of socio-cultural and economic parameters that strongly characterise or otherwise influence development administration in contemporary Africa. It finds that, in general, Western-oriented approaches remain incongruent with African traditional conventions. The chapter concludes that if a growing emphasis on the socio-cultural components within development administration discourse is a direct response to historical and environmental situations in contemporary Africa, then it is an essential consideration that must shape the field.

1. BACKGROUND

Since the emergence of development administration in the early 1960s, it has become a distinct enterprise of academic discipline and practice. It has become a field of study that pronounces the ideology and capacity of the government, non-governmental entities and political leaders of developing countries towards the achievement of social transformation. There was a consensus among academics and political leaders alike (Turner & Hulme, 1997; Luke, 1986) that development administration was created and promoted to play a crucial role in creating “stable and orderly change” (Dwivedi & Nef, 1982, p. 62).

The dominance of modernisation paradigm characterised the practice of development administration. It was a Western-oriented socio-economic mechanism, parallel to the fundamentals of Keynesian welfare economics (rational scientific principles). Radiating from the established technological advancement of

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-9860-2.ch086

the West, it was believed that with appropriate instruments—financial, technological and organisational—modernisation would automatically convey to developing countries the same levels of benefits, just as it happened in Europe and North America. In particular, foreign aid and transfer of eco-technical expertise through an overhauling administrative system were thought to be necessary to bring instant prosperity miracle (see Esman, 1988; Luke, 1986; Dwivedi & Nef, 1982). In addition, development administration was also meant to act as a veritable means for nation-building by transferring the eco-technical expertise (inputs) received into developmental outputs (Ibid.). Essentially, this was to provide balanced social, economic and political transformation of a nation through administrative development which became a necessity for institutional building and modernisation of the local bureaucratic machinery to achieve development outcomes. However, these developmental outcomes were modernisation-induced and predictably based on Western-induced prescriptions of social change without paying cognisant attention to socio-cultural context of each country in developing world.

As a leading light of modernisation, bureaucracy was used as a managerial-style of administration to plan, coordinate and control the process of national development. Development administrators were considered to act as useful links with modern world whose interests and policies were assumed to be in tandem with those of the developing world. The Marshal Plan was the source of inspiration for this developmental philosophy, as it was evident that their success at “reconstruction was taken to be a guarantee of success for development” (Dwivedi & Nef, 1982, p. 60). However, the idea of development administration as a means for managing economic aid or providing technical assistance to developing countries began to be questioned throughout the 1970s and 1980s.

Indeed, one of the modern criticisms of development administration as an idea and a practice was that it represented a modern version of Western economic, administrative, organisational, cultural and ideological imperialism (Dwivedi, Khator & Nef, 2007; Jabbara & Dwivedi, 2005; Esman, 1988). As a result, intellectual crisis of purpose and confidence in idea and practice of development administration set in. There was a proliferation of disorder and fragmentation in Africa, Asia, Latin America and Middle East. With the emergence of the Cold War, the support of interests and Western generosity drastically reduced. In addition, there were issues of urban crises, energy crises, unemployment, breakdown of public institutions and service delivery. Added to the intellectual crisis, which confronted the idea and practice of development administration, was the dilemma of making, maintaining and strengthening the organizational capacity to facilitate efficiency, effectiveness, responsiveness, accountability and equity.

The purpose of this present chapter is to survey some of the debatable themes and approaches in the understanding of development administration, as they characterise contemporary Africa. This chapter does not seek to provide a detailed archaeology of ideas or practices of development administration, as offered variously in the magisterial accounts by Luke (1986), Esman (1988), Dwivedi and Nef (1982), and Turner and Hulme (1997). Rather, it is hoped that this chapter will help to establish that there is a need to interrogate the cultural relevance of the dominant development administration ideals in order to gauge their suitability vis-à-vis African context.

2. DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION: DEFINITIONAL AND CONCEPTUAL ISSUES

Development administration emerged in the mid-1950s. To some extent, development administration has a matching part with ‘development economics’, which came into fresh usage with the growing impact of

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/development-administration-in-contemporary-africa/235259

Related Content

Voice and Accountability: Rural Women's Associations as Platforms for Civic Engagement in the Primary Health Sector in Nigeria

Idongesit Eshiet (2022). *Research Anthology on Citizen Engagement and Activism for Social Change* (pp. 18-27).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/voice-and-accountability/294983

Enhancing Community-Based Learning in Social Work Education Through a Social Marketing Approach

Carlo Mari (2020). *Preparing Students for Community-Engaged Scholarship in Higher Education* (pp. 306-321).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/enhancing-community-based-learning-in-social-work-education-through-a-social-marketing-approach/248192

Displacement and Trauma: Exploring the Lost Childhood of Rohingya Children in the Refugee Camps in Bangladesh

M. Mahruf C. Shohel, Md. Ashrafuzzaman, Farhan Azim, Tahmina Akter and Shamima Ferdous Tanny (2022). *Social Justice Research Methods for Doctoral Research* (pp. 244-272).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/displacement-and-trauma/293626

Civic Knowledge, Engagement, and Attitudes Among Lower-Secondary Students in 24 Countries: Results From ICCS 2016

Wolfram Schulz (2019). *Handbook of Research on Education for Participative Citizenship and Global Prosperity* (pp. 1-44).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/civic-knowledge-engagement-and-attitudes-among-lower-secondary-students-in-24-countries/217210

College Student Activism on Campus: Renewed Interest or Managed Learning?

Michael T. Miller and Daniel P. Nadler (2019). *Exploring the Technological, Societal, and Institutional Dimensions of College Student Activism* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/college-student-activism-on-campus/217115