

Chapter 2

Neo-Tribes or Subcultures?

The Nature of Subcultures in Large Complex Organizations

Nick Chandler

Budapest Business School, Hungary

ABSTRACT

Two contrasting views exist regarding subcultures: the classical view and the post-subcultural perspective of subcultures as “neo-tribes.” However, there is scarcity of empirical studies of organizational studies with the latter perspective. This study aims to examine whether there is sufficient evidence for subcultures to be considered as “neo-tribes.” To answer this question, empirical studies are examined alongside data from a recent quantitative study of staff in a higher educational institution. It is found that organizational subcultures have a floating membership, heterogeneity between members within subcultures, the absence of distinct boundaries, and changing values over time. It is concluded that, for practitioners, change in organizations will require managers to accept the continuous change, reassessment, and adaptation of subcultures, as well as a lack of cultural predictability and stability. For researchers, this study heightens the need to reassess studies opting for a suitable approach in identifying and examining organizational subcultures.

INTRODUCTION

In society we see the emergence of a range of subcultures and if we take on the assumption of Gregory (1983) that large complex organisations are to resemble the society around us, there is also a strong likelihood of the emergence of subcultures. From a practitioner’s perspective, subcultures can severely impede or hasten change (Boisnier and Chapman, 2002). From a theoretical perspective, the underlying question is what form these subcultures may take in terms of the characteristics and basis for formation. To fully understand subcultures in organisations at the present time, it is necessary to consider the roots from which current subcultural theory has grown.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-1013-1.ch002

Neo-Tribes or Subcultures?

Much of subcultural theory is based on the Centre for Contemporary Cultural Studies (CCCS) approach, which maintains the view that subcultures are static, class-based formations. However, this approach has been criticised as too rigid (Weiner, 2015) and is in stark contrast to a post-subcultural approach that entertains the concepts of ‘neo-tribes’, ‘scenes’ and ‘lifestyles’ (Bennet, 2011). From a theoretical perspective, sociological studies have questioned a classical concept of subcultures (e.g. Bennet, 2011; Weiner, 2015) and suggested a fresh approach: a post-modernist view of subcultures as ‘post-subcultures’ or ‘neo-tribes’ (see Hesmondhalgh, 2005).

Whilst debate continues regarding these perspectives (Williams, 2019), few empirical studies have been undertaken in organisational culture studies from the perspective of neo-tribes. Much of the theory is based on a general societal context, and specifically based upon youth cultures and criminal cultures. In an organisational context, there are a limited number of studies of subcultures, and few, if any, consider the necessity for a post-subcultural approach, despite this debate between approaches being ongoing for well over a decade. One study that dwells upon the possibility of using post-subcultural theory in a business or organisational context is that of Hardy and Robards (2015), who reflect on the application of the concept of neo-tribes to tourism. Their findings indicate a need for understanding consumer tribes that were once referred to as subcultures, through adopting a ‘neo-tribal approach’. Nonetheless, there is an evident research gap in studies using a fresh approach to organisational culture studies in the form of a ‘post-subcultural approach’.

Considering one example, Becher (1989) referred to ‘tribes with their territories’ and entertained the idea of emergent sub-subcultures, based upon specialisations in higher education, yet this increased complexity of subcultures has been overlooked in organisational research with the only studies focussing on sub-subcultures originating in the fields of criminology and society (e.g. Alkemade, 2014). The concept of building and transferring between organisational subcultures (Wilkinson & Bruch, 2014) further indicate the flexibility and fluidity of subcultures and heighten the need to consider a post-subcultural approach. In essence, a rigid view of subcultures needs to be reassessed as a means to deepening our understanding of the complexity and dynamic nature of subcultures (Boisnier & Chatman, 2002) in organisations.

This study aims to answer the questions: ‘Is there sufficient evidence of the nature of subcultures in large complex organisations to be considered as ‘neo-tribes’? – and if so, what are the implications for researchers and practitioners. After a review of the operational definitions used in this study, the empirical and theoretical underpinnings of both a classical and ‘neo-tribal’ perspective are presented as means of distinguishing these two perspectives. Following this, we examine the evidence from existing studies into organisational subcultures for these two perspectives. Finally, we investigate the findings of a quantitative study to examine if the nature of subcultures in a large complex organisation could be considered as neo-tribes or classical subcultures, followed by a discussion of the findings, conclusions and implications of the study.

16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/neo-tribes-or-subcultures/235669

Related Content

Customer Value Perceptions: Testing of a Conceptual Model in the Frame of Own-Country Geographic Indication Foods

Toula Perrea, Katerina Melfou, Spiros Mamalis and Panoraia Papanagiotou (2016). *International Journal of Food and Beverage Manufacturing and Business Models* (pp. 1-11).

www.irma-international.org/article/customer-value-perceptions/145321

Online Learning: A Collaborative Perspective

Barbara K. Searight and Timothy Spannaus (2018). *Information Technology as a Facilitator of Social Processes in Project Management and Collaborative Work* (pp. 83-98).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/online-learning/207144

Firm Competitiveness: Theories, Evidence, and Measurement

Aspasia Vlachvei and Ourania Notta (2017). *Factors Affecting Firm Competitiveness and Performance in the Modern Business World* (pp. 1-42).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/firm-competitiveness/166908

The Competitiveness of Polish Apples on International Markets

Pawe Jakub Kraciski (2017). *International Journal of Food and Beverage Manufacturing and Business Models* (pp. 31-43).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-competitiveness-of-polish-apples-on-international-markets/185529

Reconfiguring Household Management in Times of Discontinuity as an Open System: The Case of Agro-food Chains

Maurizio Sajeve, Andrew Mitchell and Mark Lemon (2019). *International Journal of Food and Beverage Manufacturing and Business Models* (pp. 1-19).

www.irma-international.org/article/reconfiguring-household-management-in-times-of-discontinuity-as-an-open-system/223928