

Chapter 8

Lean Model of Quality Management in Higher Education

Mukund Deshpande
Independent Researcher, India

ABSTRACT

With the advent of management, technological, and quality-related developments in the 20th century, corporate firms in the manufacturing sector gradually shifted their focus toward lean philosophy to eliminate wasteful steps in business process, and create quality in their products and services. This move spurred demand for candidates with skills in implementing lean models. Universities and colleges had rarely introduced such skills in their programs due to diverse challenges and thus corporate firms faced deficiency of trained manpower. Researchers exposed that the colleges and universities needed to maintain good culture in making greater impact over the learners and corporate firms. Diverse challenges have been cited by researchers due to the concern of dimensional and complexity. However, lean model of quality management, known for its efficiency and ease of handling issues, is now emerging as a best practice even in higher education.

INTRODUCTION

Researchers exposed that the colleges and universities needed to maintain good culture in making greater impact over the learners and corporate firms. Diverse challenges have been cited by researchers owing to the concern of dimensional variety since that very often results into complexity. Lean model of quality management that is known for its efficiency and ease of handling issues came up as a best practice. The principle underlying this approach is based on eliminating wasteful process steps and keeping them bear minimum with the result of improvement in value and efficiency. The chapter is based on a review of literature. The principles of a systematic review as recommended by Jesson, Matheson, and Lacy (2011) have been adopted. First, a research plan is developed comprising the research questions that are of interest in answering, the keywords, and a set of inclusion and exclusion criteria. Research-

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-9829-9.ch008

ers, while examining the quality of education, revealed the significance of balancing approach (Dorrell G. Floyd, 2017) in higher education to creating quality matching the requirements of corporate firms. Observation further revealed (Don Martin, 2012) that worthy culture creates Graduates to find global acceptance. Implication therefore lays in the approach to evolving quality education since that helps the Institution creating sustainability, growth and reputation. Focus is directed on the status of research into Higher Education benefits in employments in order to identify promising areas for future study. Public viewpoints undoubtedly indicate that, higher education leads to empowerment, and that is a process of strengthening individuals, organizations and communities (VVOB, 2017) so they get more control over their own situations and environments. Quality education is also believed to be a crucial factor in combating poverty and inequality in society. Often said is the other fact that, peaceful environment promotes national development (Clark, 2013) and highly educated people more often have a peaceful attitude in life than their lower counterparts (Komatsu, 2017) do. Maintaining harmony (Narendran, 2012) and perpetually pursuing to enhance economy (Berger & Fisher, 2013) is the attitude exhibited by highly educated class of people. Higher education fosters focused knowledge of subject matter and Institutions look for its utility at workplace. Buller (2014) has cited that higher education should be regarded as neither a purely public nor a purely private good but as a benefit, that combines features of both. On the academic side, people speak often about how college improves the quality of life for its graduates at the same time that it benefits the larger community. He further states that a new bimodal view of higher education is becoming increasingly important at the start of the twenty-first century: one that sees the goal of universities as developing “the whole person” and another that sees it as largely or even exclusively in terms of job training. Institutions (Stephenson, 1992) have reported challenges with realization that the rapid expansion of knowledge particularly in business, engineering and science cannot be accommodated within the confines of conventional timetables. Higher student / staff ratios, which are encouraging many institutions to rethink their teaching strategies, larger numbers of what used to be called ‘non-standard students’, causing institutions to introduce more flexible ways of responding to different student needs. Bok (2017) cites that colleges and universities need to reconfigure graduate programs to better prepare aspiring professors for teaching. As late as two or three generations ago, majorities of new Ph.D.’s, at least in the better graduate programs, found positions where research was primary, either in major universities, industry or government. Today, however, many Ph.D.’s find employment in colleges that are chiefly devoted to teaching or work as adjunct instructors and are not expected to do research. Many improvement opportunities justify Lean implementation in universities. Problems may appear in understanding the need of Lean, as humans in general are reluctant to change, but good follow-up in establishing an adequate strategy can contribute to the success of Lean implementation. This chapter reveals the advantages of lean model and the strategies used in designing and implementing quality management for higher education.

Higher Education is known to have High-Grade/Level, Knowledge, Skills and capabilities. The quality of higher education on the other hand is a set of dimensions, which are essentially required to improve curriculum for training the learners during the period they attend the Institution. As new dimensions keep emerging, it is essential to continue researching them to capture the changes and advances in business environment. Implementation of those changes in the curriculum then follows so that learners remain updated and create matching performance with the requirements of corporate firms. Alteration of these

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/lean-model-of-quality-management-in-higher-education/236034

Related Content

The Art of Team Science: Exploring Team Dynamics and Implications for Leadership Practice

Ralph A. Gigliotti, Alexis M. Rotondo, Daniel B. Horton and Nancy E. Reichman (2023). *Transformational Leadership Styles, Management Strategies, and Communication for Global Leaders* (pp. 402-418).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-art-of-team-science/330008

Globalization and Media's Impact on Cross Cultural Communication: Managing Organizational Change

Doris E. Cross (2016). *Handbook of Research on Effective Communication, Leadership, and Conflict Resolution* (pp. 21-41).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/globalization-and-medias-impact-on-cross-cultural-communication/146644

REA-Semantic Teaching in the Accounting Information Systems (AIS) Courses

Husam A. Abu-Khadra and Shamsul I. Chowdhury (2021). *Encyclopedia of Organizational Knowledge, Administration, and Technology* (pp. 1075-1090).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/rea-semantic-teaching-in-the-accounting-information-systems-ais-courses/263601

Executive Coaching for Organizational Success: A Critical Review

Fehmina Khaliq, Nusrat Khan and Kartikay Saini (2024). *Navigating the Coaching and Leadership Landscape: Strategies and Insights for Success* (pp. 78-95).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/executive-coaching-for-organizational-success/341735

Perspectives on Socio-Ecological Innovation Management Models

José G. Vargas-Hernandez, Teresa I. Salazar-Echeagaray and Omar C. Vargas-González (2023). *Change Management During Unprecedented Times* (pp. 303-322).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/perspectives-on-socio-ecological-innovation-management-models/322668