Chapter 3
Intergenerational Learning Styles, Instructional Design Strategy, and Learning Efficacy

Michael G. Strawser
Bellarmine University, USA

Renee Kaufmann
University of Kentucky, USA

ABSTRACT

Instructional designers must appeal to a variety of audience members both in terms of competency and preferred learning style. Though many factors may influence learning style, generational preferences may provide instructional designers a broad base of understanding undergirding strategic educational design choices. While it would be naive, and even inaccurate, to assume that Millennials constitute the only unique generational challenge for instructional designers, their sheer presence in organizations and their education expectations have changed the game—so to speak. Thus, in an attempt to clarify generational uniqueness, this chapter will explore general generational instructional trends while positioning instructional design as a necessary answer to 21st century learning efficacy challenges.

A GENERATIONAL NARRATIVE

The instructional design landscape, specifically instructional audiences, are becoming more diverse. While diversity may present itself in a variety of forms in an institution (e.g., ethnicity, gender, socioeconomic, etc.), one particularly fascinating trend is the

generational diversity many institutions are experiencing (Schullery, 2013). While it would be naive, and even inaccurate, to assume that Millennials constitute the only unique generational challenge for instructional designers, their sheer presence in organizations and their education expectations have changed the game-so to speak. Thus, in an attempt to clarify generational uniqueness, this chapter will explore general generational instructional trends while positioning instructional design as a necessary answer to 21st century learning efficacy challenges.

First, it is important for instructional designers to know that Millennials, one oft-discussed generation, now represent a significant portion of the workforce. In 2013, Millennials constituted roughly ⅓ of the U.S. workforce and by 2030 Millennials will comprise up to 75% of the total U.S. workforce (Pew Research Center, 2010). Millennials, those born between 1982-2004, have a reputation for being seen as lazy, entitled, and high maintenance (Morreale & Staley, 2016), yet, they are also are highly networked, appreciate experiences, and are tech-savvy. In a recent *Time* article, Joel Stein (2013) said this about Millennials:

They are the most threatening and exciting generation since the baby boomers brought about social revolution, not because they're trying to take over the Establishment but because they're growing up without one. The Industrial Revolution made individuals far more powerful–they could move to a city, start a business, read and form organizations. The information revolution has further empowered individuals by handing them the technology to compete against huge organizations: hackers vs. corporations, bloggers vs. newspapers, terrorists vs. nation-states, YouTube directors vs. studios, app-makers vs. entire industries. Millennials don't need us. That's why we're scared of them. (para. 4)

In terms of education and training, Millennials desire flexibility, promotion opportunities, and an environment that highlights professional coaching and instruction. It is important to remember that before developing 21st century training initiatives, trainers must have a generational awareness, especially in relation to Millennial workers.

Instructional designers must appeal to a variety of audience members both in terms of competency and preferred learning style. Though many factors may influence learning style, generational preferences may provide instructional designers a broad base of understanding undergirding strategic educational design choices. For example, Millennials, compared to previous generations, prefer active and engaged learning (Nimon, 2007). This preference should inform choices regarding which opportunities are included for educational participation and involvement. The evolving "learning landscape mandates that we engage students as co-consumers/ owners of ideas and approaches in the classroom [and we know] that millennials

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/intergenerational-learning-styles-instructional-design-strategy-and-learning-efficacy/236773

Related Content

Critical Pedagogy and Place: Indigenous Austronesian Seafaring, Communication, and Education in Oceania
Hunter Fine (2022). *Learning and Reconciliation Through Indigenous Education in Oceania (pp. 118-136).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/critical-pedagogy-and-place/291308

Integration of Civic Engagement Pedagogies in the STEM Disciplines
Gwen Cohen Brownand Laina Karthikeyan (2013). *Cases on Interdisciplinary Research Trends in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics: Studies on Urban Classrooms (pp. 295-319).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/integration-civic-engagement-pedagogies-stem/70343

Multiple Scaffolds Used to Support Self-Regulated Learning in Elementary Mathematics Classrooms
Chiu-Pin Linand Su-Jian Yang (2021). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 1-19).*
www.irma-international.org/article/multiple-scaffolds-used-to-support-self-regulated-learning-in-elementary-mathematics-classrooms/287533

Cyber Charter Schools
Shellie Hipsky (2008). *Encyclopedia of Information Technology Curriculum Integration (pp. 162-166).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/cyber-charter-schools/16697

Achievement Emotions in Paper-Based Exams vs. Computer-Based Exams: The Case of a Private Saudi University
Reem AlSufayanand Dina Abdel Salam El-Dakhs (2023). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 1-21).*
www.irma-international.org/article/achievement-emotions-in-paper-based-exams-vs-computer-based-exams/322084