

Chapter 2

ICT and Education: A Review

ABSTRACT

This chapter reviewed the literature on the trends and paradigm shifts in engineering education. Through the literature, the problems in mechanical engineering specifically for the learning of mechanics dynamics were identified. The importance of information and communication technologies (ICT) and the application of computer-aided learning (CAL) in engineering education was discussed. In the last section of this chapter, the theory of learning styles associated with engineering education was studied.

ENGINEERING EDUCATION

Engineering education is regarded as one of the important educational domains in the tertiary education. As defined by Cheshier (1998);

“Engineering education for the professional focuses primarily on the conceptual and theoretical aspects of science and engineering aimed at preparing graduates for the practice of engineering closest to the research, development, and conceptual design functions” (p. 36).

In the earlier days, when the engineering education was introduced, it followed the apprenticeship form with an emphasis on hands-on practical education (ASEE, 1987). Later, engineering education was formalized into

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-0465-9.ch002

the academic studies and followed a general pattern of teaching and learning. In general, engineering education involves two distinct learning environments which are the classroom teaching on theoretical knowledge for conceptual understanding and the laboratory sessions to obtain the practical knowledge (Balamuralithara & Woods, 2009).

Recently, the paradigm shift in engineering education raised the attention of the engineering communities and is actively discussed in numerous reports (Augustine, 2005; Froyd et al., 2012; National Science Board, 2007; Prados, 1998; Wince-Smith, 2005). As discussed by Duderstadt (2008), one of the characteristics for new paradigms of engineering education is the change of pedagogical style that shifted from classroom based pedagogy to active learning approaches that engaged problem-solving skills and team building, by which it is more focused on discovery oriented, interactive and collaborative learning experiences. Table 2.1 summarizes the characteristics for old and new paradigms of engineering education as discussed in details by Duderstadt (2008).

Froyd et al. (2012) identified the five major shifts which have reshaped (the first and second shifts) or currently reshaping (the third, fourth and fifth shifts) the engineering education for the past 100 years. The details are summarized in Table 2.

In response to the aforementioned paradigm shift in engineering education, the engineering communities from academic, research and industry have contributed invaluable efforts. One of the efforts that the engineering community focused on is the utilization of information and communication technology (ICT) to foster the teaching and learning in engineering education (Manjit, 2006; Froyd et al., 2012). Although educational technology is not an ultimate solution for the paradigm change in engineering education, but at least, educational technology supports some of the attributes in the new engineering education paradigm such as support for educational methods that stress active learning; communication, team work, group problem-solving skills; cater to different learning styles and foster more effective learning for engineering education. The issues related to ICT and its impact on education are discussed in section “Computer-Aided Learning (CAL) for Engineering Education.”

49 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/ict-and-education/239819

Related Content

Look Up: Life Without Technology

Greg Duckworth and Andrew Krouse (2020). *Disruptive and Emerging Technology Trends Across Education and the Workplace* (pp. 269-293).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/look-up/252321

Inverted Constructivism to Leverage Mobile-Technology-Based Active Learning

Doo Hum Lim and Kristie Tschopp-Harris (2018). *Handbook of Research on Mobile Technology, Constructivism, and Meaningful Learning* (pp. 240-258).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/inverted-constructivism-to-leverage-mobile-technology-based-active-learning/191016

Supporting Faculty and Students During Pandemic Conditions: An Online Department Chair's Perspective

Michelle Dennis (2021). *Handbook of Research on Developing a Post-Pandemic Paradigm for Virtual Technologies in Higher Education* (pp. 329-346).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/supporting-faculty-and-students-during-pandemic-conditions/286014

Moving From Theory to Practice: Integrating Mobile Devices in Elementary Reading Instruction

Lisa-Marie Bald, Judith A. Orland, Kathleen M. Hargiss (2019). *TPACK: Breakthroughs in Research and Practice* (pp. 439-456).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/moving-from-theory-to-practice/220857

Investigating the Experiences of Mathematics Teacher Technology Integration in the Selected Rural Primary Schools in Namibia

Clement Simuza and Hilya Shikesho (2024). *International Journal of Technology-Enhanced Education* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/article/investigating-the-experiences-of-mathematics-teacher-technology-integration-in-the-selected-rural-primary-schools-in-namibia/340028