



This paper appears in the publication, Intelligent Complex Adaptive Systems edited by A. Yang and Y. Shan © 2008, IGI Global

Chapter X

Ontological Reflections on Peace and War¹

Hayward R. Alker, University of Southern California & Watson Institute, Brown University, USA

Abstract

Responding to a provocative question by Hiroharu Seki about Hiroshima ontologies, this chapter reviews related thinking about the ontological primitives appropriate for event-data making, accessing high-performance knowledge bases, and modeling intelligent complex adaptive systems of use to researchers on war and peace. It cautions against "Cliocide," defined as of the "silencing" or symbolic killing of collective historical-political or historical-disciplinary identities and identifying practices by historical or discipline deficient "scientific" coding practices. It proposes that more intelligent multi-agent models in the "complex, adaptive systems" tradition of the Santa Fe Institute should include the socially shared memories of nations and international societies, including their identity-redefining traumas

Copyright © 2008, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

and their relational/migrational/ecological histories of community-building success and failure. Historicity in an ontologically distinctive sense of the "time ordered self-understandings of a continuing human society" is still a challenge for the computationally oriented literature on war and peace.

Introduction

Faced with the challenge to honor the late Hiroharu Seki, whom I knew and have admired for several decades, I was at first not sure what would be an appropriate appreciation of his significant, wide-ranging career. The theme of ontologies relevant to researchers interested in peace and war finally crystalized in my mind followed hearing him speak from the audience at a recent International Studies Association meeting. Politely, but insistently, he asked a distinguished panel of scholars (I paraphrase): how can you put Hiroshima ontology into your approach to international relations? At a panel where epistemological and ontological pluralism was a central issue, and before an inter-disciplinary professional group where socially constructed international relationships have been a hot topic², his remark was both highly appropriate and particularly challenging.

Seki's difficult but powerful insistence deserves sustained reflection. I see his injunction as closely related to the development of a collective sense of history as a basis for both an international society of states and a global society of individuals having the capacity to direct themselves towards a better future, away from horrifying pasts, toward a world where Hiroshimas, Auschwitzs, and Chernobyls never reoccur. In other words, Seki appeared to be trying to discover how can a powerful, shared sense of history and tradition, of prohibitions and morally preferable possibilities, be developed, shared, and deeply transmitted to future generations. I think he had in mind their culturally transmitted, phenomenological, and ontological ways of seeing and encoding reality, as well as their more consciously reflected upon norms and practices.

To pay homage to Professor Seki, I have decided to make some earlier thoughts along similar lines more widely available. First, I shall recall briefly the international relations simulation world of the 1960s, which Hiroharu

Copyright © 2008, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

29 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/ontologicalreflections-peace-war/24191

Related Content

Multi-Criterion Decision Making for Wireless Communication Technologies Adoption in IoT

Abhinav Juneja, Sapna Juneja, Vikram Baliand Sudhir Mahajan (2021). *International Journal of System Dynamics Applications (pp. 1-15).*

www.irma-international.org/article/multi-criterion-decision-making-for-wireless-communication-technologies-adoption-in-iot/267915

A Generic Adaptation Framework for Mobile Communication

Hong Sun, Ning Guiand Chris Blondia (2013). *Innovations and Approaches for Resilient and Adaptive Systems (pp. 196-207).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/generic-adaptation-framework-mobile-communication/68951

Cellular Automata

Terry Bossomaier (2008). *Applications of Complex Adaptive Systems (pp. 57-84).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/cellular-automata/5134

Survey of WSN Routing Protocols

Devika G., Asha Gowda Karegowdaand Ramesh D. (2020). *International Journal of Applied Evolutionary Computation (pp. 34-51).* www.irma-international.org/article/survey-of-wsn-routing-protocols/243458

Buffer Management in Cellular IP Networks using Evolutionary Algorithms

Mohammad Anbarand Deo P. Vidyarthi (2012). *Principal Concepts in Applied Evolutionary Computation: Emerging Trends (pp. 254-275).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/buffer-management-cellular-networks-using/66824