

Chapter 12

Relationships between Models of Genetic Regulatory Networks with Emphasis on Discrete State Stochastic Models

Randip Pal

Texas Tech University, USA

ABSTRACT

Genetic Regulatory Networks (GRNs) represent the interconnections between genomic entities that govern the regulation of gene expression. GRNs have been represented by various types of mathematical models that capture different aspects of the biological system. This chapter discusses the relationships among the most commonly used GRN models that can enable effective integration of diverse types of sub-models. A detailed model in the form of stochastic master equation is described, followed by its coarse-scale and deterministic approximations in the form of Probabilistic Boolean Networks and Ordinary Differential Equation models respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Genetic Regulatory Networks (GRNs) represent the interconnections between genomic entities that govern the regulation of gene expression. Since biological regulatory networks are extremely detailed with numerous interactions, a single mathematical model to represent the whole biological regulatory system is generally not feasible. Depending on the purpose of modeling, the mathematical model representing the GRN brings in a level of abstraction. The focus of the modeling can be capturing interactions between RNA expressions, protein-protein interactions or interactions between metabolites. Usually, only parts of the regulome (i.e. genes, proteins and metabolites involved in gene regulation) such as transcription factors, enhancers, microRNA etc. are made explicit in a mathematical model of a GRN.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-1204-3.ch012

To provide further background on genetic regulatory network modeling, we present broad classifications of the models that are commonly used to capture the relationships between genomic entities. GRN models usually belong to either static or dynamical models. Static models are concerned with providing the topology of the network (such as the connectivity of various genomic entities) without attempting to model the dynamics of the interactions. Dynamic models on the other hand not only capture the connectivity of the network entities but also provide the time dependent functional relationships that govern the interactions. Commonly used pathway models as presented in databases such as KEGG and StringDB belong to the category of static models as they primarily capture the connectivity structure without the network dynamics. Examples of dynamic models include ordinary differential equation models to model the average concentration of mRNA expressions (Kampen, 1991) or Dynamic Bayesian Network (Murphy, 2002) models. Based on the model complexity involving inference of connectivity and time dependent relationships, the experimental data requirements for elucidating dynamic models are significantly higher as compared to static models.

To further explore various levels of time dependent behavior of genes or proteins, dynamic network models can be essentially categorized as discrete where the amount of genomic entity is modeled as discrete values or continuous where the genomic entities are modeled as continuous variables. Since the dynamics of biological entities consist of discrete changes based on the number of molecules created or destroyed, a fine-scale discrete model can potentially faithfully capture the dynamics of the genomic entities. Note that we have categorized the dynamic models as discrete or continuous based on the representation of the genomic entity but a similar categorization can be applied based on discrete or continuous representation of time. Some models such as stochastic master equations (also commonly known as Chemical Master Equations) incorporate time as a continuous quantity whereas models like Boolean Networks update states at discrete time intervals. The next level of characterizations is based on whether the models considered are stochastic or deterministic. A number of studies have shown that the generation of mRNA or protein expression is stochastic in nature (Arkin, Ross, & McAdams, 1998) and thus a stochastic model is suitable to capture the true change in state probability distribution with time. However, available experimental data may limit the inference of the parameters of a stochastic model. For instance, common genomic characterizations using microarrays provide an average observation of the mRNA expressions and are not suitable for inferring the parameters of a detailed stochastic model.

Thus, deterministic models such as differential equation models are often used to represent the average behavior of the biological system (De Jong, 2001) or in other words modeling moments of the distribution rather than the detailed distribution. Dynamic models can be further classified as detailed fine-scale models or coarse-scale models where the detail refers to the level of discretization involved in modeling the gene or protein expression. For instance, our interest may be in modeling the up or down regulation of genes and thus a coarse-scale Boolean Network (Kauffman S. A., 1993; Kauffman S., 1969) with ON and OFF states for each gene will be adequate. However, if our interest is in capturing the individual mRNA molecule generation or degradation, a detailed fine-scale model such as stochastic master equations (Gillespie D. T., 1977; Pal & Bhattacharya, 2010) with an enormous state space will be required. The above categorizations are presented in a graphical form in Figure 1.

Based on the above discussion, we observe that fine-scale stochastic discrete dynamical models such as stochastic master equations can provide a detailed representation of the dynamic interactions but maybe limited by the enormous computational complexity involved in its simulation and huge data requirements for estimation of its parameters. This chapter will present a review of stochastic master equation modeling followed by some of its low complexity approximations with focus on reduced state

21 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/relationships-between-models-of-genetic-regulatory-networks-with-emphasis-on-discrete-state-stochastic-models/243113

Related Content

Social Media, Crowdsourcing, and Marketing

Shivani Inder (2021). *Big Data Analytics for Improved Accuracy, Efficiency, and Decision Making in Digital Marketing* (pp. 64-73).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/social-media-crowdsourcing-and-marketing/280643

Big Data, 3D Printing Technology, and Industry of the Future

Micheal Omotayo Alabi (2017). *International Journal of Big Data and Analytics in Healthcare* (pp. 1-20).

www.irma-international.org/article/big-data-3d-printing-technology-and-industry-of-the-future/204445

Web 2.0 Mash-Up System for Real Time Data Visualisation and Analysis Using OSS

Wajid Khan, Fiaz Hussain and Edmond C. Prakash (2015). *Handbook of Research on Trends and Future Directions in Big Data and Web Intelligence* (pp. 208-231).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/web-20-mash-up-system-for-real-time-data-visualisation-and-analysis-using-oss/137026

Integrated Approach for Automatic Crackle Detection Based on Fractal Dimension and Box Filtering

Cátia Pinho, Ana Oliveira, Cristina Jácome, João Manuel Rodrigues and Alda Marques (2020). *Data Analytics in Medicine: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 815-832).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/integrated-approach-for-automatic-crackle-detection-based-on-fractal-dimension-and-box-filtering/243145

A Novel Framework of Health Monitoring Systems

Sonam Gupta, Lipika Goel and Abhay Kumar Agarwal (2021). *International Journal of Big Data and Analytics in Healthcare* (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-novel-framework-of-health-monitoring-systems/268414