

Chapter 6

Formative Assessment in Higher Education: Particularities of Appreciative Intelligence of University Teachers in Formative Assessment Process

Birnaz Nina

State University of Moldova, Moldova

Valeria Botezatu

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9034-8825>

State University of Moldova, Moldova

ABSTRACT

This chapter describes the particularities of the appreciative intelligence of university teachers in the formative assessment. Appreciative intelligence is the ability to identify the qualities and positive aspects of a person, and to harness them. In the educational field, the appreciative intelligence of the teacher consists of essential components: appreciative inquiry (research), appreciative advising, and appreciative mindset. The chapter describes the concept of appreciative intelligence, being presented different acceptances and opinions of the researchers. The second part of the chapter highlights the problems and contradictions regarding the appreciative intelligence in the educational field and analyzes the appreciative intelligence of university teachers in the formative assessment process. This chapter proposes an evaluation and training program of the appreciative intelligence of university teachers based on its systemic context. The chapter ends with solutions and recommendations, a conclusion, and future research directions.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-2314-8.ch006

INTRODUCTION

At the current stage, formative assessment is the core of the priorities in managerial decisions of inter-correlation of learning processes with competences as learning products. In higher education, formative assessment is a process of measuring and improving learning outcomes, as well as a process of developing proactive behavior of students, metacognitive skills, self-reflection and self-regulation skills of their own learning. Therefore, the formative assessment consists not only in providing information about learning outcomes, but in the process that uses this information to influence and improve student learning in the future.

The formative assessment competence of university teachers includes the intersection of the didactic triangle, consisting of components - content, student, teacher, with the assessment triangle, consisting of components - measurement, feedback, appreciation (Birnaz & Botezatu, 2019). Appreciation is an essential process in the formative assessment, through its function of issuing of value judgments about the student's potential. Starting from the ideas presented, we consider that an essential skill of the teachers, which is built in the formative assessment process, is the appreciative intelligence. In this sense, the teacher has the role of focusing his thinking on the positive aspects and recognizing the student's potential, guiding them towards achieving the preset objectives.

This chapter presents the concept of appreciative intelligence of university teachers, which involves a system consisting of three essential interconnected components: appreciative inquiry (research), appreciative advising and appreciative mindset. Appreciative research is a philosophy of change and involves a process focused on harness on the positive experiences of the actors involved in the educational process to produce change. Appreciative advising is a multi-phase process, based on the interrelation between teacher and students, in order to harness student's potential. Appreciative mindset is the system of capacities centered on the appreciation of the positive aspects of the student and their development in the context of initial vocational training. The chapter aims to identify and analyze the particularities of the appreciative intelligence of university teachers in the formative assessment process. This chapter proposes an evaluation and training program of the appreciative intelligence of university teachers based on its systemic context.

BACKGROUND

In the 21st century, the intelligence is considered to be a very complex construct. The interest areas of researchers focuses on the concept of „*intelligent intelligences*”, which includes practical, social and emotional intelligence. In the first half of the 1900s, E. Thorndike, an American psychologist, uses the term social intelligence as the ability to understand and to act wisely in human relations. In the same research direction, in 1983, H. Gardner (1983) identified 7 types of intelligence, including interpersonal intelligence, which represents the abilities to evaluate the states of others, to understand them, to know what motivates people and makes them cooperate and intrapersonal intelligence, consisting of the ability to have a proper representation about one's self, good self-assessment, self-knowledge and emotional regulation. According to H. Goleman (2007), social intelligence represents both the ability of the human being to perceive others in a certain way, and the willful actions that he / she subsequently does to influence others in a certain sense. Thus, the author differentiates two components of social intelligence:

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/formative-assessment-in-higher-education/248978

Related Content

Teaching Accompaniment: A Learning Journey Together

Steve Reifenberg (2023). *International Journal of Innovative Teaching and Learning in Higher Education* (pp. 1-10).

www.irma-international.org/article/teaching-accompaniment/335497

Writing Self-Efficacy and Performance Among Students in an Online Doctoral Program

Erin Breitenbach, Katherine Adler and Vanessa Pazdernik (2022). *International Journal of Innovative Teaching and Learning in Higher Education* (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/writing-self-efficacy-performance-among/304080

Shared Governance and Collective Bargaining: Can It Advance Faculty Satisfaction, Governance and Accountability at HBCUs?

Elizabeth K. Davenport (2016). *Administrative Challenges and Organizational Leadership in Historically Black Colleges and Universities* (pp. 129-141).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/shared-governance-and-collective-bargaining/156856

Policy Challenges and Opportunities for Postsecondary Alternative Credentials

Ryan J. Specht-Boardman (2022). *New Models of Higher Education: Unbundled, Rebundled, Customized, and DIY* (pp. 38-59).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/policy-challenges-and-opportunities-for-postsecondary-alternative-credentials/314837

Service Industry Companies

(2019). *University-Industry Technology Transfer in the UK: Emerging Research and Opportunities* (pp. 67-94).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/service-industry-companies/218761