

Chapter III

Ontologies in Higher Education

John Milam
HigherEd.org, Inc., USA

Abstract

This chapter provides an introduction to the use of ontologies and taxonomies in higher education. After a brief introduction to the nature of ontology, examples of ontology in higher education are reviewed. Issues in creating taxonomies, including their incorporation into search engines and concept maps, are then discussed. Software solutions for developing and utilizing taxonomies are presented next, along with problems and issues for implementation. Finally, future trends in the development of KM strategies for ontology are discussed.

Introduction

Knowledge Management (KM) is based in large part on systems that help users focus their attention on key information that is relevant, timely, and available on-demand. The preparation of this information requires processes for knowledge

acquisition, engineering, and representation because “knowledge and expertise are embedded within otherwise diverse and scattered information sources” (Convera, 2004a, p.1).

Necessary to KM strategies is the act of “imposing a structure on the knowledge acquired in order to manage it effectively” (Benjamins et al., 1999, p. 1). This is because most information is unstructured, doesn’t fit easily into database models, and is at best “difficult to manage.” “Leveraging unstructured information is a chronic challenge for companies competing in today’s economy,” explains Venkata (2002, p. S12). Ontologies or taxonomies which categorize information represent “the most promising approach to solving the growing problem of information overload” (Inxight, 2003, p. 2).

In her discussion of taxonomies in the marketplace, Gumpert explains that “Higher education often sees itself as an enterprise so unabashedly complex that it can’t be sorted, classified, or pigeonholed” (1997, p. 23). There is, however, a long history of grand classification schemes in higher education, including those of the National Center for Higher Education Management Systems (NCHEMS), the U.S. Department of Education, the National Science Foundation (NSF), and The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching.

This chapter provides an introduction to the use of ontologies and taxonomies in higher education. After a brief introduction to the nature of ontology, examples of ontology in higher education are reviewed. Issues in creating taxonomies, including their incorporation into search engines and concept maps, are then discussed. Software solutions for developing and utilizing taxonomies are presented next, along with problems and issues for implementation. Finally, future trends in the development of KM strategies for ontology are discussed.

The Nature of Ontology

An ontology is defined by Noy and McGuinness (2000, p. 1) as “a common vocabulary for researchers who need to share information in a domain. It includes machine-interpretable definitions of basic concepts in the domain and relations among them.” The domain is the subject area and ontologies are, basically, systems of categories (Sowa, 2004a). While there is an obvious

26 more pages are available in the full version of this document,
which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the
publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/ontologies-higher-education/24967

Related Content

Developing Technology-Based Education for Adult Learners in Micronesia: A Case Study for Learning Engagement in Diversity

Lucyann Kerry (2007). *Technology and Diversity in Higher Education: New Challenges* (pp. 42-64).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/developing-technology-based-education-adult/30141

A Statewide Transition from Campus to Centralized System: Challenges and Accomplishments

Joseph Barjis (2012). *Cases on Technologies for Educational Leadership and Administration in Higher Education* (pp. 213-229).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/statewide-transition-campus-centralized-system/65908

Mastering the Online Summative-Assessment Life Cycle

Simon Wilkinson and Heather Rai (2009). *Applied E-Learning and E-Teaching in Higher Education* (pp. 347-368).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/mastering-online-summative-assessment-life/5169

The Utilization of Online Boundaries: Facebook, Higher Education, and Social Capital

Lewis A. Luartz (2014). *Cutting-Edge Technologies and Social Media Use in Higher Education* (pp. 342-363).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-utilization-of-online-boundaries/101180

Fostering OER Communities of Practice with Teachers

Giovanni Fulantelli, Davide Taibi, Manuel Gentile and Mario Allegra (2012). *Collaborative Learning 2.0: Open Educational Resources* (pp. 51-71).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/fostering-oer-communities-practice-teachers/64400