

INFORMATION SCIENCE PUBLISHING

701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com

This chapter appears in the book, *Knowledge Management and Higher Education: A Critical Analysis* edited by Amy Scott Metcalfe © 2006, Idea Group Inc.

Chapter V

We've Got a Job to Do-Eventually:

A Study of Knowledge Management Fatigue Syndrome

Richard L. Wagoner The University of Arizona, USA

Abstract

The implementation of knowledge management systems at universities can be tremendously costly in terms of both human and capital resources. One reason for this cost is the extended time period, generally measured in years, not months, over which they are implemented. This qualitative study presents data on the implementation of one such project at a Research I university in the southwestern United States. The analysis focuses on the concept of knowledge management fatigue syndrome and the increase of technological bloat and academic technocracy as a result of the project.

Copyright © 2006, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

Introduction

Unforeseen costs and consequences of knowledge management projects at universities frequently are cited in the press. For example, the California State University system began a \$400 million overhaul of its administrative information system in 1998. By 2003, there were many questions about the appropriateness and efficiency of the system, and it was clear that it has caused numerous unintended consequences to numerous administrative functions from accounting to student advising (Olsen, 2003). Similarly, an unforeseen problem with a management software upgrade at the University of Florida led to a delay in the processing of paychecks of more than 400 hundred graduate teaching assistants for nearly a month (Carnevale, 2004). These are just two examples of the problems universities face when implementing knowledge management systems. Given such problems, one wonders why a university would choose to implement these large-scale "enterprise" systems and what that process entails. This study illuminates one such implementation demonstrating knowledge management fatigue syndrome (Hakken, 2003). Further, the case study shows how knowledge management implementation can lead to technological bloat and academic technocracy (see Chapter IV).

This chapter is concerned with how such a long term project has affected the units of the university that have been directly involved in the first rounds of implementation, how users have responded to the system, and how the overall structure of units have changed. I will explore these questions by presenting data from e-mails, informal interviews and participant observation in one of the units that have been directly involved with the first round of the system's implementation. Before presenting data, I will discuss the conceptual framework that guided my inquiry.

Conceptual Framework

Many organizations, including universities, in the 1990s chose to use knowledge management systems to improve the efficiency and service quality of their operations. As indicated in Chapter IV, these intended gains in efficiency and quality have remained elusive at best. Why, then, have organizations continued to pursue such goals? The concept of an academic technocracy presented is

Copyright © 2006, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/got-jobeventually/24969

Related Content

Creating an Authentic Learning Environment in Economics for MBA Studies

Greg Parryand Clive Reynoldson (2006). *Authentic Learning Environments in Higher Education* (pp. 76-87).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/creating-authentic-learning-environment-economics/5424

The UNESCO OER Community 2005-2009: From Collective Interaction to Collaborative Action

Susan D'Antoni (2012). *Collaborative Learning 2.0: Open Educational Resources (pp. 16-37).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/unesco-oer-community-2005-2009/64398

The Role of Social Constructivist Instructional Approaches in Facilitating Cross-Cultural Online Learning in Higher Education

Janella Melius (2014). Cross-Cultural Online Learning in Higher Education and Corporate Training (pp. 253-270).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-role-of-social-constructivist-instructional-approaches-in-facilitatingcross-cultural-online-learning-in-higher-education/92450

Applying Technology in a Classroom Setting, where Procedural Learning is the Focus

Elliott Currie (2013). *Cases on Quality Teaching Practices in Higher Education (pp. 96-105).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/applying-technology-classroom-setting-procedural/75491

The Role of Technology in Improving Quality of Teaching in Higher Education: An International Perspective

Harriet Thindwa (2015). Handbook of Research on Innovative Technology Integration in Higher Education (pp. 54-73).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-role-of-technology-in-improving-quality-of-teaching-in-highereducation/125108