

Chapter 1.38

Object–Process Methodology

Dov Dori

Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Israel, & Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA

INTRODUCTION

Capturing the knowledge about existing systems and analysis and design of conceived systems requires an adequate methodology, which should be both formal and intuitive. Formality is required to maintain a coherent representation of the system under study, while the requirement that the methodology be intuitive stems from the fact that humans are the ultimate consumers of the knowledge. Object-Process Methodology (OPM) is a vehicle for knowledge representation and management that perfectly meets the formality and intuition requirements through a unique combination of graphics and natural language.

Function, structure, and behavior are the three main aspects that systems exhibit. Function is the top-level utility that the system provides its beneficiaries who use it or are affected by it, either directly or indirectly. The system's function is enabled by its architecture—the combination of structure and behavior. The system's architecture is what enables it to function so as to benefit its users.

Most interesting, useful, and challenging systems are those in which structure and behavior are highly intertwined and hard to separate. For example, in a manufacturing system, the manufacturing process cannot be contemplated in isolation from its inputs—raw materials, model, machines, and operators—and its outputs—the resulting product. The inputs and the output are objects, some of which are transformed by the manufacturing process, while others just enable it.

Modeling of complex systems should conveniently combine structure and behavior in a single model. Motivated by this observation, OPM (Dori, 1995, 2002) is a comprehensive, holistic approach to modeling, study, development, engineering, evolution, and lifecycle support of systems. Employing a combination of graphics and a subset of English, the OPM paradigm integrates the object-oriented, process-oriented, and state transition approaches into a single frame of reference. Structure and behavior coexist in the same OPM model without highlighting one at the expense of suppressing the other to enhance the comprehension of the system as a whole.

Rather than requiring that the modeler views each of the system's aspects in isolation and struggle to mentally integrate the various views, OPM offers an approach that is orthogonal to customary practices. According to this approach, various system aspects can be inspected in tandem for better comprehension. Complexity is managed via the ability to create and navigate across possibly multiple detail levels, which are generated and traversed through by several abstraction/refinement mechanisms.

Due to its structure-behavior integration, OPM provides a solid basis for representing and managing knowledge about complex systems, regardless of their domain. This chapter provides an overview of OPM, its ontology, semantics, and symbols. It then describes applications of OPM in various domains.

THE OPM ONTOLOGY

The elements of the OPM ontology, shown in Figure 1, are divided into three groups: entities, structural relations, and procedural links.

Entities

Entities, the basic building blocks of any system modeled in OPM, are of three types: stateful objects, namely objects with states, and processes. As defined below, processes transform objects by (1) creating them, (2) destroying them, or (3) changing their state. The symbols for these three

entities are respectively shown as the first group of symbols at the left-hand side of Figure 1, which are the symbols in the toolset available as part of the GUI of OPCAT 2 (Dori, Reinhartz-Berger et al., 2003).

OPM Things: Objects and Processes

Objects are (physical or informatical) things that exist, while processes are things that transform (create, destroy, or change the state of) objects. Following is a set of basic definitions that build upon each other.

An object is a thing that exists.

Objects are the things that are being transformed in the system.

Transformation is generation (creation) or consumption (destruction) of an object, or a change of its state.

Processes are the things that transform objects in the system.

A process is a thing that represents a pattern of object transformation.

Table 1 shows the OPM things and their basic attributes. The third column on Table 1 contains a description of each thing or attribute and below it the syntax of the corresponding sentence in Object-Process Language (OPL)—a subset of English that

Figure 1. The three groups of OPM symbols in the toolset of OPCAT 2



12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/object-process-methodology/25109

Related Content

A Practitioner Based Evaluation of Project Management Knowledge and Education: The Australian Case

Ziauddin A. Durrani and Bassam Baroudi (2015). *International Journal of Knowledge-Based Organizations* (pp. 19-36).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-practitioner-based-evaluation-of-project-management-knowledge-and-education/133149

A Workflow Management System for Ontology Engineering

Alessandra Carcagnì, Angelo Corallo, Antonio Zilli, Nunzio Ingraffia and Silvio Sorace (2009). *Semantic Knowledge Management: An Ontology-Based Framework* (pp. 172-200).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/workflow-management-system-ontology-engineering/28816

Advances in Knowledge Management: Mapping Ideas that Shape Practice

Andrea Hornett and Eric W. Stein (2009). *Knowledge Management, Organizational Memory and Transfer Behavior: Global Approaches and Advancements* (pp. 42-67).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/advances-knowledge-management/25054

Effective Integration of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning into Knowledge Management Structures: A Model and an Evaluation Framework

Francisco M.M. Neto and Francisco V. Brasileiro (2004). *Innovations of Knowledge Management* (pp. 215-251).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/effective-integration-computer-supported-collaborative/23806

Multi-Organizational Networks: Three Antecedents of Knowledge Transfer

Jennifer Lewis Priestley and Subhashish Samaddar (2007). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 86-99).

www.irma-international.org/article/multi-organizational-networks/2698