Chapter 3.12 Coopetition

Claudia Loebbecke

Department of Media Management, University of Cologne, Germany

Albert Angehrn

Centre for Advanced Learning Technologies (CALT), INSEAD, France

INTRODUCTION

Behind the emerging digital façade, companies have started to operate in a distributed fashion. The intricate connectivity among these firms implies the exchange of valuable resources like knowledge and information. Such cooperation or collaboration is what enables organizations and individuals to make decisions collectively, learn from one another, communicate effectively, and thus create knowledge (Brown & Duguid, 1991; Huber, 1991; McDonald, 1995; von Krogh & Roos, 1995).

However, cooperating organizations often simultaneously compete (coopetition). While reciprocal knowledge sharing may enhance the total and individual added value, inter-firm knowledge sharing may also affect the uniqueness and thus competitive contribution of a firm's knowledge repository. Opportunistic behavior of counterparts may erode anticipated benefits of cooperation and result in unevenly distributed value. The inherent balancing act between cooperation and competition requires designing and implementing specific management processes to enable economic value maximization for participating individuals and firms. The value-driven balancing act is becoming increasingly relevant in business practice.

This article introduces the scientific literature on Knowledge Management Under Coopetition and then describes the concept of Coopetitive Learning and Knowledge Exchange Networks (CoLKENs), their components, and their generic structure. It reviews CoLKEN fundamentals and components, and suggests a CoLKEN taxonomy. Key research questions are followed by generalized key insights from studying CoLKENs as the setting for Knowledge Management Under Coopetition. The article then examines the levers for managing CoLKENs, and closes with future trends and brief conclusions.

BACKGROUND

The following literature review provides broad definitions and discussions relevant to knowledge management under coopetition.

Fundamental Components of Knowledge Management Under Coopetition

Knowledge is a complex concept and difficult to define, and when seen from a management perspective, it exhibits unique properties that are distinctly different from the ones of traditional corporate resources, such as land, labor, and capital. Intellectual resources are not naturally scarce (Suchmann, 1989); knowledge may increase in value the more it is used, with investment in knowledge and knowledge-creating capabilities characterized by increasing returns (Teece, 1998). These properties tend to make knowledge less amenable to management (Polanyi, 1966; Hedlund, 1994; Nonaka, 1994; Boisot, 1995).

Who are appropriate knowledge agents for Knowledge Management Under Coopetition? Who is intellectually capable, the organization or its individual employees? Does knowledge reside at individual and organizational levels? Among others, Drucker (1993) and Grant (1996) stress the predominant importance of individuals. Others (Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995; Spender, 1996; Boisot, 1998; Lane & Lubatkin, 1998; Matusik & Hill, 1998; Crossan, Lane, & White, 1999; Inkpen, 2000) consider organizational cognition or organizations as cognitive entities a suitable unit of analysis. In the organization science literature, organizational learning is a central tenet (Huber, 1991; Simon, 1991; Argyris & Schön, 1996) and is believed to lead to competitive advantage (Senge, 1990; Moingeon & Edmondson, 1996). It is closely intertwined with inter-organizational learning (e.g., Larsson, Bengtsson, Henriksson, & Sparks, 1998), as the learning entities in both concepts positively affect each other (Doz & Hamel, 1998; Child, 2001; Holmquist, 2003).

Knowledge networks are commonly defined as formally set up mechanisms, structures, and behavioral patterns that connect knowledge agents who were not previously connected because of functional, hierarchical, or legal boundaries between organizations. Inter-organizational knowledge networks (e.g., Mowery, Oxley, & Silverman, 1996; Klein, 1996) provide the setting for Knowledge Management Under Coopetition.

Theoretical Underpinnings of Knowledge Management Under Coopetition

The "resource-based view of the firm," along with its conceptual predecessor, the "industrial organization view," and its extension, the "knowledge-based view of the firm," have shed light on the question of why firms cooperate to learn from one another, share capabilities and knowledge, while—at the same time—manage knowledge as a valuable resource in the competitive environment.

Until the 1980s, competitive thinking-reflected in the "industrial organization view"-has generally been seen focusing on companies' environments (e.g., Porter, 1980; Spender, 1996; Teece, Pisano, & Shuen, 1997). As such, it stands for an outward focus. Since the mid-1980s, the so-called "resource-based approach" (Wernerfelt, 1984; Rumelt, 1987; Prahalad & Hamel, 1990) has partially built on Penrose's conception of the firm as a "collection of productive resources, both human and material" (Penrose, 1959, p. 31). The resource-based approach builds on two basic assumptions: (a) the firm's ultimate objective is to achieve sustained, above normal returns; and (b) a set of resources and their combination transformed into competencies and capabilities are a precondition for sustained superior returns (Rugman & Verbeke, 2002). These resources are

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igiglobal.com/chapter/coopetition/25168

Related Content

Using Knowledge Management and Machine Learning to Identify Victims of Human Sex Trafficking Jessica Whitney, Marisa Hultgren, Murray Eugene Jennex, Aaron Elkinsand Eric Frost (2020). *Knowledge Management, Innovation, and Entrepreneurship in a Changing World (pp. 360-389).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/using-knowledge-management-and-machine-learning-to-identify-victims-of-human-sextrafficking/250981

Knowledge Management Governance

Suzanne Zyngier (2008). Knowledge Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 2276-2284).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-management-governance/25258

Study on the Optimization of Urban Traffic and Spatial Structure in the Environment of Low-Carbon Eco-Cities

Wenting Cheng (2024). International Journal of Knowledge Management (pp. 1-14). www.irma-international.org/article/study-on-the-optimization-of-urban-traffic-and-spatial-structure-in-the-environment-of-lowcarbon-eco-cities/357086

An Expanded Model of the Effects of Organizational Culture upon the Acceptance of Knowledge Management

Nancy C. Shawand Francis D. Tuggle (2003). *Knowledge and Information Technology Management: Human and Social Perspectives (pp. 72-88).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/expanded-model-effects-organizational-culture/24852

Information Systems for the Management of the Organizations: Conceptual Model for Its Application in Organizations

Antonio Juan Briones Penalver (2018). Handbook of Research on Knowledge Management for Contemporary Business Environments (pp. 313-346).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/information-systems-for-the-management-of-the-organizations/205081