# Chapter 4.37
# Knowledge Exchange in Networks of Practice

**Robin Teigland**
*Stockholm School of Economics, Sweden*

**Molly McLure Wasko**
*Florida State University, USA*

## INTRODUCTION

The concept of a community of practice is emerging as an essential building block of the knowledge economy. A community of practice consists of a relatively tightly knit group of members who know each other, work together face to face, and continually negotiate, communicate, and coordinate with each other directly. The demands of direct communication and coordination limit the size of the community, enhance the formation of strong interpersonal ties, and create strong norms of direct reciprocity between members (Brown & Duguid, 2000). These communities develop through the mutual engagement of individuals as they participate in shared work practices, supporting the exchange of ideas between people, which results in learning and innovation within the community (Brown & Duguid, 2000). However, typically not all of an organization's relevant knowledge resides within its formal boundaries or within its communities of practice. To remain competitive, organizations need to ensure that new knowledge found in the external environment is integrated with knowledge that is found within the firm (Cohen & Levinthal, 1990). Organizations must rely on linkages to outside organizations and individuals to acquire knowledge, especially in dynamic fields where innovation results from inter-organizational knowledge exchange and learning (Cohen & Levinthal, 1990).

## BACKGROUND

Current research has focused on the role of communities of practice for encouraging knowledge exchange and innovation within organizations; however, we know much less about the role that members of communities of practice play in

creating linkages to external knowledge sources. Previous research has found that organizational members may simultaneously be members of a community of practice as well as members of broader occupational communities (Van Maanen & Barley, 1984). These individuals perform the dual roles of generating local knowledge within an organizational community of practice while providing linkages to knowledge and innovations outside of the organization. These inter-organizational networks have been referred to as networks of practice. Networks of practice are social structures linking similar individuals across organizations who are engaged in a shared practice, but who do not necessarily know one another (Brown & Duguid, 2000). Although individuals connected through a network of practice may never meet one another face-to-face, they are capable of sharing a great deal of knowledge and may play a vital role in a firm's ability to acquire new knowledge.

While the participation of individuals in networks of practice is not a new phenomenon, the ability to access these networks has increased due to recent advances in information and communication technologies. Previous efforts to interact with others outside an organization's legal boundaries were often fruitless since they could be time-consuming or cumbersome, and individuals may not even have known whom to contact or how to find a relevant person. Furthermore, if management did not provide the resources to attend external conferences or other events, finding other like-minded individuals with whom to discuss work-related problems often proved difficult. However, communication technologies, such as cell phones, e-mail, IRC, chat rooms, bulletin boards, and so forth, have reduced the costs of informal inter-organizational communication. As a result, individuals may now easily access and discuss their work tasks with others outside their organization. These informal interactions are valued and sustained over time because the sharing of knowledge is an important aspect of

being a member of a technological community or network of practice (Bouty, 2000).

Sharing knowledge across external organizational boundaries poses significant challenges to organizations attempting to manage their knowledge resources (Pickering & King, 1995). Through external sources, individuals gain access to knowledge not available locally and can interact informally, free from the constraints of hierarchy and local rules. However, accessing knowledge from external sources usually involves a high degree of knowledge trading and reciprocity. In order to receive help, individuals must be willing to give advice and know-how as well, some of which company management may consider proprietary (von Hippel, 1987). Of special interest to management is that individuals generally participate in networks of practice based on their own personal biases and preferences for others as opposed to what the formal organization dictates, and as a result, they may be exchanging knowledge with others who are working for direct competitors (Schrader, 1991). This makes the study of networks of practice of prime interest for researchers and practitioners.

## PREVIOUS RESEARCH RELATED TO NETWORKS OF PRACTICE

Networks of practice are not a new phenomenon. They have existed for hundreds of years and have played an important role in the diffusion of knowledge through society. For example, the well-known term, invisible colleges, dates back to the 1640s when a group of 10 men who were well-educated within one field would meet informally in the taverns of London. These meetings later developed in 1660 into the Royal Society, the oldest scientific society in Great Britain (Price, 1963; Tuire & Erno, 2001). While there is considerable previous research on inter-organizational informal networks under a variety of names—such as scientific communities (Knorr-Cetina, 1981;

## Related Content

Ontology Frames for IT Courseware Representation

Witold Abramowicz, Marek Kowalkiewiczand Piotr Zawadzki (2003). *Knowledge Management: Current Issues and Challenges  (pp. 1-11).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/ontology-frames-courseware-representation/25354

Agile Business Process and Practice Alignment Methodology: A Case-Study-Based Analysis

Marielba Zacariasand Paula Ventura Martins (2018). *Innovative Applications of Knowledge Discovery and Information Resources Management (pp. 118-142).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/agile-business-process-and-practice-alignment-methodology/205401

Leadership Social Power as a Component of KMS Success

Vincent Scovettaand Timothy J. Ellis (2015). *International Journal of Knowledge Management (pp. 1-14).*

www.irma-international.org/article/leadership-social-power-as-a-component-of-kms-success/142974

Creating and Delivering a Successful Knowledge Management Strategy

Jiming Wu, Hongwei Du, Xun Liand Pengtao Li (2010). *Knowledge Management Strategies for Business Development (pp. 261-276).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/creating-delivering-successful-knowledge-management/38471

Towards a New Approach for Measuring Innovation: The Innovation-Value Path

Josune Sáenzand Nekane Aramburu (2011). *Identifying, Measuring, and Valuing Knowledge-Based Intangible Assets: New Perspectives  (pp. 87-111).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/towards-new-approach-measuring-innovation/48940