



Chapter VI

CoPs for Cops: Managing and Creating Knowledge through Networked Expertise

Maarten de Laat

University of Nijmegen and Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Wim Broer

Police Education and Knowledge Center, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

Managing knowledge in large organizations is a challenge in itself. Modern views on Knowledge Management (KM) focus not only on finding ways to capture and distribute corporate knowledge but also provide ways through which knowledge can be shared, discussed and created. Different types of organizations have different approaches to KM. From general descriptions of these approaches, parallels to the Dutch police will be presented. This chapter discusses how KM within the Dutch police is an integral part of the organization and how explicit and tacit knowledge is shared to create new corporate knowledge. The authors present examples of how Communities of Practice (CoPs) within the Dutch police play a role in both sustaining and developing their own practice, and how these communities are crucial to the learning organization.

KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT IN A LEARNING CONTEXT

Organizations are increasingly confronted with the problem of managing and creating knowledge in order to respond flexibly to changes in their working environment. They realise that sharing and creating knowledge brings a competitive advantage. Organizations are transforming into learning organizations and expect their workers to become lifelong learners. According to Marsick and Watkins (1999, p. 12), learning is “the process that makes the creation and use of knowledge meaningful”. Huysman (in press) observed that learning and working become interrelated when the practice of knowledge sharing helps workers to do their work better and more efficiently. Providing space in the organization for workers to establish networks can therefore be a powerful way to facilitate workplace learning. Workers tend to form networks of expertise spontaneously: to facilitate individual learning, collaboration and to discuss work related problems together. Sometimes these networks transform into a Community of Practice (CoP). In a CoP, employees who share a common interest for the field they work in, come together on a regular basis to help each other, solve problems and to share and create knowledge collaboratively (Wenger, 1998). Knowledge sharing and meaning making are two of the core activities of CoPs. It is within this social community structure that workers learn from and develop their practice in a natural way and integrate it with their day-to-day work. Nursing and managing this process is one of the crucial conditions for fostering a learning organization.

The notion of CoPs was first proposed by Lave and Wenger (1991) who described them as groups where learning takes place through a process of Legitimate Peripheral Participation. The central issue in learning is about becoming a practitioner, not about learning about practice. According to Brown and Duguid (1991), workplace learning can best be understood in terms of communities being formed and personal identities being changed. This approach draws attention away from abstract knowledge and situates it into the practices of the communities in which knowledge takes on significance. A CoP defines itself along three characteristics (see Wenger, 1999):

- *What it is about* - A joint enterprise as understood and continually renegotiated by its members
- *How it functions* - Mutual engagement that binds members together into a social entity
- *What capability it produces* - The shared repertoire of communal resources (routines, sensibilities, artefacts, vocabulary and styles) that the members develop over time

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/cops-cops-managing-creating-knowledge/25423

Related Content

The Impact of Supporting Organizational Knowledge Management through a Corporate Portal on Employees and Business Processes

Kamla Ali Al-Busaidi (2010). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 44-64).

www.irma-international.org/article/impact-supporting-organizational-knowledge-management/45168

Creating a Knowledge Supply Chain for e-Tourism Curriculum Design: Integrating Knowledge Management and Supply Chain Management

Fu Jing, Nopasit Chakpitak, Paul Goldsmith, Pradorn Sureephongand Taksina Kunarucks (2012). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 71-94).

www.irma-international.org/article/creating-knowledge-supply-chain-tourism/75167

Knowledge-Based Assessment Applied to Lean Brazilian Toyota Plants: Employees' Perceptions

Jorge Muniz Jr., Vagner Batista Ribeiroand Ninad Pradhan (2021). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 1-22).

www.irma-international.org/article/knowledge-based-assessment-applied-to-lean-brazilian-toyota-plants/273186

Leadership and Management Implications

(2014). *Harnessing Dynamic Knowledge Principles in the Technology-Driven World* (pp. 228-241).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/leadership-and-management-implications/83683

Measuring Organizational Readiness for Knowledge Management

W. A. Taylorand M. A. Schellenberg (2008). *Knowledge Management and Business Strategies: Theoretical Frameworks and Empirical Research* (pp. 341-356).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/measuring-organizational-readiness-knowledge-management/24963