#### INFORMATION SCIENCE PUBLISHING



701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com

ITB13405

This chapter appears in the book, Making the Transition to E-Learning: Strategies and Issues edited by Mark Bullen and Diane Janes © 2007, Idea Group Inc.

#### **Chapter VII**

# E-Learning in Higher Education: The Need for a New Pedagogy

Dirk Morrison, University of Saskatchewan, Canada

#### **Abstract**

This chapter discusses the imperative prerequisite to the effective adoption of e-learning by institutions of higher education, namely, the adoption of new pedagogical perspectives and methods. It examines the purposes and goals of higher education, some grounded in tradition, others born of contemporary demands. By focusing on thinking skills, deep learning, and mature outcomes, the author underscores the need for such pedagogical foci to be integrated into the very fabric of higher education's adoption of e-learning. The hoped for outcome of such a consideration is a transformed institution, enabled to meet the demands of learners and society in the twenty-first century.

#### Introduction

Increasingly, valid critiques have pointed to the lack of empirical evidence that technology-enhanced learning initiatives actually improve learning outcomes, enhance the teaching enterprise, and are cost-effective for the institution (Clark, 1994; Twigg, 2001; Zemsky & Massy, 2004). Each of these claims, of course, needs careful analysis. One of the conclusions coming out of such criticisms is that technology, in and of itself, cannot be expected to solve the problems of an inefficient, even archaic, approach to pedagogy employed by the vast majority of our institutions of higher education. What, then, does the successful implementation of e-learning in postsecondary education look like? And, what does any evaluation of the success of e-learning need to include?

A critical measure of success for any institution employing e-learning technologies will be the quality of the outcomes (Weigel, 2002). This chapter aims to expand discussion beyond pragmatic questions regarding how to make the transition from face-to-face teaching to e-learning, to include questions regarding how to fundamentally shift the core guiding pedagogical principles of our institutions of higher education. The basic premise of this chapter is that current strategies used to address gaps in performance (e.g., technology-focused faculty development) will fail to realize the hoped-for outcome of an institution shifting to e-learning technologies. A focus on methods and techniques designed to improve the effective implementation of technological products will only be partially useful; what is also needed is a deep and critical discussion regarding the fundamental purposes of designing and employing such products, and a focus on the hoped-for outcomes of such efforts. Throughout this chapter, e-learning is defined as electronically mediated learning, using any variety of media and hardware/ software combinations, and usually including the use of facilitated transactions software (e.g., Blackboard, WebCT) (Zemsky & Massy, 2004, p. 5).

To take full advantage of the potential of e-learning, institutions of higher education not only have to radically change how they are organized to support technology-enhanced learning (infrastructures and organizational models), but also face the challenge of creating a more appropriate pedagogical foundation upon which to build revitalized educational systems necessary to meet the demands of current and future knowledge users and creators. Put another way, I argue that the entire system of tertiary education needs revamping from the bottom-up. Current approaches to teaching and learning are an awkward fit with the new information and communications technology (ICT) tools currently used for teaching and learning (May & Short, 2003). In many ways, these new technologies have forced this pedagogical issue and are inherently changing the system from within. Dziuban, Hartman, and Moskal (2004) pointed to a report

# 15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <a href="www.igi-global.com/chapter/learning-higher-education/25616">www.igi-global.com/chapter/learning-higher-education/25616</a>

#### **Related Content**

#### DICE: A Generic Model for the Design Process of Serious Games

Damien Djaouti (2020). *International Journal of Game-Based Learning (pp. 39-53)*. www.irma-international.org/article/dice/250811

## Creating Tension: Orchestrating Disruptive Pedagogies in a Virtual School Environment

Gloria Latham (2012). Disrupting Pedagogies in the Knowledge Society: Countering Conservative Norms with Creative Approaches (pp. 256-266).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/creating-tension-orchestrating-disruptive-pedagogies/61794

### ALFIL: A Crowd Simulation Serious Game for Massive Evacuation Training and Awareness

César García-García, José Luis Fernández-Robles, Victor Larios-Rosilloand Hervé Luga (2012). *International Journal of Game-Based Learning (pp. 71-86).*www.irma-international.org/article/alfil-crowd-simulation-serious-game/69786

# Web Conferencing as a Pedagogical Tool: Results from a Brazilian Experience

Francisco Vieira Garonceand Gilberto Lacerda dos Santos (2013). Cases on E-Learning Management: Development and Implementation (pp. 371-382). www.irma-international.org/chapter/web-conferencing-pedagogical-tool/68108

# Inclusivity and Research: Capturing the Lived Experiences of Young People with Disabilities

Hayley Fitzgerald, Annette Strideand Anne Jobling (2012). *Disabled Students in Education: Technology, Transition, and Inclusivity (pp. 272-287).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/inclusivity-research-capturing-lived-experiences/60677