

Chapter 60

Designing Purposeful Student Interactions to Advance Synchronous Learning Experiences

Courtney K. Baker

George Mason University, Fairfax, USA

Margret Hjalmarson

George Mason University, Fairfax, USA

ABSTRACT

This article brings together the results of a self-study conducted by two instructors of the same course for mathematics teacher leaders in a synchronous online learning environment using the videoconferencing tool Blackboard Collaborate. The combined self-study focused on the authors' instructional decision-making and on their use of scaffolded discourse to create a collaborative learning environment for teacher leaders in mathematics education. Findings indicate that two specific interactions were emphasized to highlight student engagement within the course: student-student interactions and student-content interactions. Results challenge the perception of participation as engagement and suggest the value of creating purposefully planned learning opportunities to engage students in online synchronous learning.

INTRODUCTION

Online and blended courses are in increasing demand as an option for teaching and learning in higher education (Allen & Seaman, 2008; Yamagata-Lynch, 2014; Young, 2011). For teachers seeking advanced degrees or additional licensure endorsements, online options represent a viable means for balancing school, work, and family obligations. Due to this increasing demand and requests from interested teachers, colleges of education have responded with more online course offerings (Young, 2011). However, within online and blended learning research, there are a limited number of studies on synchronous online

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-8047-9.ch060

teaching settings (Yamagata-Lynch, 2014). With limited research available on synchronous online learning, we aim to explore how university faculty can transform online courses to create more meaningful, collaborative experiences for students.

This paper brings together the results of a self-study conducted by two instructors of the same course for mathematics teacher leaders in a synchronous online learning environment. The authors each taught the course in different semesters and explored findings and commonalities across their sections. This study responded to the following research question: How can an instructor foster and support meaningful collaboration in a synchronous online learning environment? In considering this question, we focused on our own decision-making as instructors and the methods we attempted to use to create a collaborative learning environment for teacher leaders. In this paper, we examine the use of breakout rooms and other collaboration tools available in synchronous learning environments.

SYNCHRONOUS ONLINE TEACHING & BLENDED LEARNING

Much of the online learning research literature focuses on asynchronous forms of interaction such as discussion boards, blogs or other tools that allow for discussion to occur, but which are typically text-based (e.g., Barab, MaKinster, & Scheckler, 2004; Dede, 2006; Tuapawa, 2016; Wang, 2007). In terms of non-text-based interactions, research using asynchronous video for discussion is emerging and indicates that it supports students' learning and engagement (Borup, West, & Graham, 2012). While the technology for synchronous online teaching is becoming widely available, there are limited research studies about teaching and learning in the environment (Starling & Lee, 2015; Yamagata-Lynch, 2014). Synchronous online teaching can include the use of tools such as video, audio, text-based chat features, breakout rooms and virtual whiteboards while using platforms such as Blackboard Collaborate, Adobe Connect or WIMBA (Shi & Morrow, 2006; Skylar, 2009). We define synchronous online teaching and learning to mean a learning environment in which students and teachers can interact in multiple modes simultaneously including audio, video, visual, and text-based modes of communication, interaction and representation. Similar to Laurillard's discussion of collaborative technologies, we ask "How do we ensure that pedagogy exploits the technology, and not vice versa?" (p. 6).

An ERIC search for "synchronous online" found 113 results of which 92 were peer-reviewed articles (search in spring 2016). Each of the 92 articles was coded for both the student population addressed and the inclusion of web-based videoconferencing tools for teaching. Thirty-five of these studies included videoconferencing in addition to audio or text tools for interaction in a class setting that mirrored more traditional face-to-face classes. Our review of the literature focuses specifically on 11 of those 35 studies that examine the use of such tools for teacher education and development for both in-service and pre-service teachers, and emphasize themes found in our teaching: strategies for teaching with web-based videoconferencing tools, community development and student collaboration, and student perceptions of the synchronous online environment. In these studies referenced and reviewed below, the "students" may be either K-12 teachers or pre-service teachers enrolled in a course. However, we have used "students" to differentiate the learner from the instructor or teacher of the online course itself.

A critical component of synchronous online instruction is the ability for students to collaborate with each other and interact in real time with the instructor using audio or video. As a result, our context has more in common with blended learning settings that incorporate online and face-to-face teaching than studies of synchronous online learning, and we situate our study within frameworks for blended learning

16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/designing-purposeful-student-interactions-to-advance-synchronous-learning-experiences/271203

Related Content

E-Learning and the Disciplines: Lessons from Applied Linguistics

Mark Kellison Warford (2017). *Handbook of Research on Building, Growing, and Sustaining Quality E-Learning Programs* (pp. 324-340).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/e-learning-and-the-disciplines/165789

Interactivity in Web-Based Learning

Adams Bodomo (2006). *International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies* (pp. 18-30).

www.irma-international.org/article/interactivity-web-based-learning/2964

What's a "Technician" to Do?: Theorizing and Articulating MOOC Maintenance Concerns

Thomas Patrick Henry (2017). *Handbook of Research on Writing and Composing in the Age of MOOCs* (pp. 216-231).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/whats-a-technician-to-do/172589

An Evaluation of E-Learning and User Satisfaction

Vijay Anand Rajasekaran, Kumar K. R., Susi S., Mohan Y. C., Muntha Raju and Mohammed Waheeduddin Hssain (2022). *International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies* (pp. 1-11).

www.irma-international.org/article/an-evaluation-of-e-learning-and-user-satisfaction/281237

Maturity Model for E-Learning Classroom, Bimodal and Virtual Courses in Higher Education: A Preliminary Study

Julia Espinoza-Guzmán and Marcela Georgina Gómez Zermeño (2017). *International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies* (pp. 19-31).

www.irma-international.org/article/maturity-model-for-e-learning-classroom-bimodal-and-virtual-courses-in-higher-education/171637