

Chapter 1.1

Principles to Guide the Integration and Implementation of Educational Technology

Sara Dexter
University of Virginia, USA

INTRODUCTION

The Educational Technology Integration and Implementation Principles (eTIPs) are six statements that describe the K-12 classroom and school-level conditions under which the use of technology will be most effective. The eTIPs are an example of materials that can aid teachers in designing instruction and participating in creating supportive conditions for technology supported classroom instruction.

BACKGROUND

During the last decade, the call for teachers to be better prepared to teach with technology (CEO Forum, 1999, 2000; Office of Technology Assessment, 1995) has been repeated several times. In response, there are now standards in place to which new teachers are being held that explicitly

describe the technology skills all teachers should have to be prepared to teach in a 21st century school. These include the National Education Technology Standards for Teachers (ISTE, 2000), which were adopted by National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) as a part of its accreditation requirements, and the Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium standards (INTASC, 1992) used by many states as licensing requirements. In general, these standards call for teachers to be able to use technology in the classroom to plan and design learning environments and experiences, and support teaching, learning, and the curriculum. These standards, in turn, imply that teachers must make the consideration of technology use a routine part of their instructional decision making.

Teachers' decision making has been defined as the course of action during which teachers gather, organize, and interpret information, generate alternatives, select a specific course of

action, and, after its implementation, consequently evaluate the effectiveness of the decision (Clark & Yinger, 1977; Lipham, 1974). The research literature emphasizes how critical teachers' planning and interactive decisions are in determining what they do, or do not do, in the classroom (e.g., Clark & Yinger, 1977; Jackson, 1968; Peterson & Clark, 1978; Shavelson, 1976). Shavelson and Stern (1981) posit that teachers' decision-making processes are influenced by schemata that are activated from memory.

MAIN THRUST OF CHAPTER

The Educational Technology Integration and Implementation Principles (or eTIPs) are one example of a set of statements that could serve as a schema, or the basis of a schema, for a teacher to organize his or her instructional decision making about the integration and implementation of technology. Principles can assist learners in recognizing and connecting ideas and in seeing how new and old ideas relate (Marzano, 2001), which are key tasks in developing the more elaborate schemas that are characteristic of expert teachers (Carter, 1990; Fogarty, Wang, & Creek, 1983; Kagan, 1992). The six eTIPs summarize what research suggests are the conditions that should be present in order for educational technology integration and implementation to be effective (Dexter, 2002), while offering the advantage of brevity over the 23 NETS-T standards and the five technology-specific statements in the INSTASC standards.

These eTIPs are organized into two dimensions: classroom and school-wide. The classroom principles expand upon the premise that effective technology integration requires the time and attention of teachers in the role of instructional designers, planning the use of the technology so it will support student learning. They assume that educational technology does not possess inherent

instructional value but that a teacher must design into the instruction any value technology adds to the teaching and learning processes. Thus, the three classroom eTIPs prompt a teacher-designer to consider what he or she is teaching, what added value the technology might bring to the learning environment, and how technology can help to assess student learning.

Classroom-Level eTIPs

eTIP 1: Learning Outcomes Drive the Selection of Technology

In order for learning outcomes to drive the selection of technology, teachers must first be clear about their lesson or unit's student-learning outcomes. This is an important first step in determining whether or not the educational technology available can be a support to teaching and learning. It will allow teachers to be more efficient as they search for available, appropriate technologies because they will quickly eliminate those that do not support their learning outcomes. Where technology does seem to support learning outcomes, teachers must also consider the cognitive demands made by the technology and if they are well-suited to the cognitive demands inherent in the learning outcomes. For example, if a learning outcome asks students to analyze or synthesize information, a drill and practice program or reference material on a CD-ROM probably isn't going to match as well as concept mapping or database software.

eTIP 2: Technology Use Provides Added Value to Teaching and Learning

Using technology to add value—meaning to make possible something that otherwise would be impossible or less viable to do—might mean that it helps to individualize instruction or make

5 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/principles-guide-integration-implementation-educational/27366

Related Content

Do the Philosophical Foundations of Online Learning Disadvantage Non-Western Students?

David Catterick (2008). *Online and Distance Learning: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 3035-3046).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/philosophical-foundations-online-learning-disadvantage/27613

Exploring the Relationship Between MOOC Resource Management and Students' Perceived Benefits and Satisfaction via SEM

Seng Yue Wong and Simin Ghavifekr (2021). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 51-69).

www.irma-international.org/article/exploring-the-relationship-between-mooc-resource-management-and-students-perceived-benefits-and-satisfaction-via-sem/282663

Knowledge Management as the Future of E-Learning

Nieves Pedreira, Julián Dorado, Juan Rabuñal and Alejandro Pazos (2005). *Encyclopedia of Distance Learning* (pp. 1189-1194).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-management-future-learning/12255

The Virtual CSU: A Leadership Model for Universities Transitioning to Online, Open, and Distance Delivery

Stephen Marshall and Jonathan Flutey (2018). *Administrative Leadership in Open and Distance Learning Programs* (pp. 63-83).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-virtual-csu/182903

Dynamic Task-Oriented Online Discussion for Student Learning: A Practical Model

Byron Havard, Jianxia Du and Anthony Olinzock (2005). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education* (pp. 62-73).

www.irma-international.org/article/dynamic-task-oriented-online-discussion/2262