

Chapter 3.20

Students' Perceptions of Online Courses

Judith C. Simon

The University of Memphis, USA

Lloyd D. Brooks

The University of Memphis, USA

Ronald B. Wilkes

The University of Memphis, USA

INTRODUCTION

An increasing number of traditional colleges and universities, responding to marketplace pressures, are offering online courses and degree programs. According to Weil (2001), 54% of U.S. higher education institutions offer e-learning courses. Many AACSB-accredited business schools provide courses and complete degree programs online. New schools have been created that exist solely in cyberspace (Peltz, 2000). Students can complete undergraduate online degree programs in fields as diverse as nursing, business, engineering, and technology.

Possible reasons for schools offering online courses and degree programs include: increasing revenues and credit hour production; better utilization of limited resources; serving an ex-

panded geographic area or student population; and serving the existing student population differently. Students may take online courses due to their perceptions of location convenience, time convenience, cost, and quality.

Some online courses have been implemented so quickly that insufficient time has been available to allow in-depth assessment of the desires, interests, and concerns of their potential direct customers, that is, students. The study described here was developed to identify students' expectations and current perceptions of online courses and degree programs. The results are expected to facilitate effective planning and development of these courses and programs in the future. The intent is to study the next generation of online learners. This group's perceptions of online coursework are important for course providers as

they consider ways to maintain and enlarge their programs, perhaps expanding to meet the needs of younger, more traditional students.

BACKGROUND

Online courses are a form of distance education. Today's widespread availability of the Internet has made online courses and degree programs available to students around the globe. Many traditional colleges and universities have decided to add online coursework so that they can be competitive with the large number of programs now available from private enterprises set up for this purpose. Several issues have emerged related to this instructional delivery format, and studies are now being reported related to advantages and disadvantages of this type of education.

As with other forms of distance education, convenience has been widely quoted as a primary reason for students taking online courses. Another reason is the self-paced nature of many online courses, which allows for a variety of student schedules and comprehension rates. Availability of coursework regardless of the student's physical location is another reason it is used; it would be possible for students in remote locations to take these courses as long as they had the necessary technology. It is also possible that online courses provide more up-to-date materials than that found in some traditional courses and textbooks, due to the ease of making changes to Web sites rather quickly (Accetta & Wind, 2001). Another factor that may make online courses an appealing option is that a person's age or physical limitations may be less likely to prevent the person from succeeding in this format (Brown, 2000).

Faculty who teach online courses may find it rewarding if they enjoy using new technology and also enjoy the challenge of adapting to a different form of course delivery.

Critics of online courses point out that a Web-based educational program has at least one

disadvantage in that it does not provide a forum for physical contact and live debate between students and faculty. Representatives of some elite universities claim that it is impossible to replicate a classroom environment with online courses. Harvard University's Professor W. Earl Sasser indicated that Harvard does not plan to offer an MBA degree online because it would distract from the residential experience (Symonds, 2001). Kumar, Kumar and Basu (2002) cite "strong evidence that students perceive interaction, student-to-student and student-to-instructor, to suffer as a result of virtual education" (p. 140). But some information technology professionals argue that there is little difference between getting a degree on-campus or over the Web. Robert Baker, a systems consultant, observes that students taking online courses are not isolated and can get to know faculty and other students by using online discussion boards (Dash, 2000). Schooley (2001) states that online university courses facilitate communication with the instructor and among classmates.

Another concern is that online coursework may worsen the so-called "digital divide" between students in higher-income versus lower-income families, as those with higher incomes will have more Internet access (Accetta & Wind, 2001). However, libraries and schools have increased Internet access availability to people at all income levels and at locations worldwide.

Some questions also exist about the cost of taking online courses. Some students believe that an online course is a less expensive method, and some course providers believe it to be a cost-effective method of course delivery. As a point of comparison, Duke University's Fuqua School of Business offers MBA degrees that provide about 65% of the work online and about 35% of the work in residency. Duke charges up to \$90,000 for the program versus \$60,000 for its traditional on-campus MBA degree program (Symonds, 2001).

One critical indicator of program success or failure is the extent to which graduates are ac-

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/students-perceptions-online-courses/27489

Related Content

M-Learning in the Field: A Mobile Geospatial Wiki as an Example for Geo-Tagging in Civil Engineering Education

C. Safran, M. Ebner, F. Kappeand A. Holzinger (2010). *Looking Toward the Future of Technology-Enhanced Education: Ubiquitous Learning and the Digital Native* (pp. 263-274).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/learning-field-mobile-geospatial-wiki/40738

Stimulating Learners Motivation in a Web-Based E-Learning System

Keita Matsuo, Leonard Barolli, Fatos Xhafa, Akio Koyamaand Arjan Durrezi (2010). *Technologies Shaping Instruction and Distance Education: New Studies and Utilizations* (pp. 223-238).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/stimulating-learners-motivation-web-based/40522

A Strategy to Join Adaptive and Reputation-Based Social-Collaborative E-Learning, Through the Zone of Proximal Development

Maria De Marsico, Andrea Sterbiniand Marco Temperini (2013). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 12-31).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-strategy-to-join-adaptive-and-reputation-based-social-collaborative-e-learning-through-the-zone-of-proximal-development/83513

The A-Framework: The Role of Access, Attributes, and Affordance in the Adoption of Distance Education Technology for Lifestyle Change

Patrick J. Tierneyand Susan Moisey (2014). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 22-40).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-a-framework/117180

How to be a Transnational Distance Learning Winner

Robert Hogan (2012). *Transnational Distance Learning and Building New Markets for Universities* (pp. 260-279).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/transnational-distance-learning-winner/63332