

Chapter 6.9

Total Quality Management in Higher Education

Gary A. Berg

California State University Channel Islands, USA

INTRODUCTION

In considering various management approaches internationally for the delivery of computer-based learning, there is an interest in total quality management (TQM). The majority of the research on TQM focuses on its application to for-profit businesses; however, TQM also has been used in universities more broadly, especially in student services areas. Generally, current research on TQM in higher education has focused on methods, barriers to implementation, learning-outcome assessment, human factors, and case studies.

HISTORY

The history of TQM is traced by Sims and Sims (1995) in the general attempt to build quality products in business that became the focus of interest at the beginning of this century. In *Principles of Scientific Management*, Frederick Taylor applied his method of achieving quality through inspecting the product at the end of the assembly line

and the checking on the efficiency of the process. In 1922, G. S. Radford published *The Control of Quality in Manufacturing*, which reinforced the notion of inspecting products at the end of the process. In 1931, W. A. Shewhart published *Economic Control of Quality of Manufactured Product*, which converted statistical methods to manufacturing to standardize performance. Eventually, random sampling eliminated the need to test every manufactured product, and the notion of quality through inspection became streamlined.

Hoffman and Summers (1995) trace the history of TQM theory to postwar Japan when American general Douglas MacArthur's occupation forces created a unit called the Japanese Union of Science and Engineering (JUSE) to put their efforts into rebuilding the country. Engineers from Bell Laboratories working on General MacArthur's staff had previously used statistical methods for quality control in building weapons during the war with a "plan-do-check-act" system and thought that it could be applied to the rebuilding of Japan. The JUSE offered training to Japanese engineers

in these methods, and a Columbia University professor, W. Edwards Deming, became one of their leading trainers. Deming is known as the father of TQM and for his statistical control process. To TQM theory he contributed the seven deadly diseases, the 14 points of quality principles, and popularized the plan-do-study-act (PDSA) cycle (Deming, 1984, 1990). Joseph Juran, teaching TQM at the management level, began offering seminars for JUSE in 1954. Juran defined quality as “fitness for use” and had a project-based notion of quality (Juran, 1992; Juran & Godfrey, 1995). Between 1950 and 1970, 14,000 Japanese engineers were trained in TQM (Hoffman & Summers, 1995). Along with Deming and Juran, Philip Crosby (1978, 1995) is the third leading figure in the TQM movement. Crosby published *Quality is Free* in 1978, which popularized the notion of “zero defects” and “doing it right the first time”.

Historical accounts of the rise of TQM include generalizations about TQM principles. Sims and Sims (1995) contrast Taylorism to TQM by describing the focus on systems and the process of creating products, not just the end product. The key themes of TQM are customer focus, commitment to process improvement, total involvement, and system thinking. Hoffman and Summers (1995) describe TQM as asserting that 85% of total error is system error; the rest is individual performance error. Managers should look for unnecessary complexity that does not add value to the operation.

In their overview of TQM, Lozier and Teeter (1993) look at the notion of quality in higher education and see that it has meant an abundance of resources such as faculty and libraries, but has evolved to focus on the degree of stakeholder satisfaction. In line with this shift to stakeholder satisfaction, quality is defined by Juran (1992) as fitness for use, by Crosby as conforming to requirements, and by Deming as surpassing customer needs and expectations. Lozier and Teeter point out that Deming’s notion of meeting customer needs

and expectations fits well with the stakeholder-satisfaction notion. Furthermore, Deming also promotes the need for organizational constancy of purpose that applies well to education.

METHODS

Much of the current literature has focused on various methods used to implement TQM in higher education. Moreland and Clark (1998) look at ISO 9000, a procedural approach to quality assurance. Quality is defined according to stated and implied consumer requirements, and then procedures are written and followed to assure that customer requirements are consistently delivered. The procedures form a comprehensive and consistent system. The intended result is a staff that works in predictable ways, and is meant to control behavior rather than ideas. The assumption behind this method is that if you change the practice, you can change the culture. Three themes affect the successful implementation of ISO 9000 in educational settings: Organizational sense making affects the responsiveness of the systems, managerialism affects the framework for implementation, and behavioral consent affects staff empowerment.

Some have analyzed the process itself. Sherr and Teeter (1991) look at the process defined as the flow of work activities being the most important aspect of quality. By plotting the process of work, one can identify unnecessary complexity and steps that do not add to the product’s overall quality. According to Sherr and Teeter, the five key ingredients to continuous improvement are honesty, shared vision, patience, commitment, and TQM theory.

BARRIERS

In applying TQM to higher education, much has been written about the problems in making

5 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/total-quality-management-higher-education/27590

Related Content

A Model for Evaluating Online Programs

Amy J. Nelson (2009). *Encyclopedia of Distance Learning, Second Edition* (pp. 1438-1448).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/model-evaluating-online-programs/11934

Education in Emergencies, Inequities, and the Digital Divide: Strategies for Supporting Teachers and Students in Higher Education in Bangladesh

M. Mahruf C. Shohel, Md. Ashrafuzzaman, Muhammad Shajjad Ahsan, Arif Mahmud and Atm Shafiul Alam (2021). *Handbook of Research on Inequities in Online Education During Global Crises* (pp. 529-553).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/education-in-emergencies-inequities-and-the-digital-divide/278493

Virtual Experiments in University Education

Rob J.M. Hartog, Hylke van der Schaaf, Adrie J.M. Beulens and Johannes Tramper (2010). *Looking Toward the Future of Technology-Enhanced Education: Ubiquitous Learning and the Digital Native* (pp. 373-393).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/virtual-experiments-university-education/40744

Smart ProFlexLearn: An Intuitive Approach to Virtual Learning Environment

Claude Ghaoui and W. A. Janvier (2004). *E-Education Applications: Human Factors and Innovative Approaches* (pp. 66-83).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/smart-proflexlearn-intuitive-approach-virtual/8946

Learning in Higher Education: Strategies to Overcome Challenges Faced by Adult Students—Lessons Drawn from Two Case Studies in Portugal

Ana Maria Ramalho Correia and Anabela Mesquita (2007). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education* (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/learning-higher-education/2311