

Chapter 45

Software Cost Estimation and Capability Maturity Model in Context of Global Software Engineering

Ayub Muhammad Latif

PAF Karachi Institute of Economics and Technology, Pakistan

Khalid Muhammad Khan

PAF Karachi Institute of Economics and Technology, Pakistan

Anh Nguyen Duc

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7063-9200>

University of South-Eastern Norway, Norway

ABSTRACT

Software cost estimation is the process of forecasting the effort needed to develop the software system. Global software engineering (GSE) highlights that software development knows no boundaries and majority of the software products and services are developed today by globally-distributed teams, projects, and companies. The problem of cost estimation gets more complex if the discussion is carried out in the context of GSE, which has its own issues. Temporal, cultural, and geographical distance creates communication and software process implementation issues. Traditional software process models such as capability maturity model (CMM) lacks the dynamism to accommodate the recent trends in GSE. The chapter introduces GSE and discusses various cost estimation techniques and different levels of CMM. A couple of GSE-based case studies having CMM-level projects from multiple organizations are studied to analyze the impacts of highly mature processes on effort, quality, and cycle time.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-3702-5.ch045

GLOBAL SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

The world has become a global village and software engineering industry has kept pace with the changing circumstances by establishing a new dimension known as Global Software Engineering (GSE) in which geographical location, culture and distance is no more a barrier and software engineers across the globe must collaborate and play their part in achieving the desired goal (Carmel, 1999; Prikladnicki et al, 2003). There are many technological factors that have made it possible but the most important is the advent of low cost international telecommunication infrastructure that facilitated the outreach of internet and email (O'Brien, 2002). Further, the political circumstances across the globe has also played its part as getting visa for the work force is no more simple and getting the people to fly to one location and providing them all the necessities is expensive as well. Letting the highly skilled software engineers work from low cost locations such as Eastern Europe, Latin America and Far East (Crow et al, 2003) is a better proposition. Another benefit of GSE is that the operations are established near emerging markets which has its own advantages. A variation of this model is just to shift the application development and maintenance by using out sourcing model to remote third party organizations. These remote organizations can even be subsidiaries of big companies established in low cost economies (Carmel et al, 2005; Toaff, 2005).

There can be several challenges in a typical GSE environment but the top most is team building and project management. The success of any GSE project depends upon the operations of virtual teams which forms the core building block of the virtual organization (Davidow et al, 1992; Jarvenpaa et al, 1994; Mohrman, 1999). Virtual teams are bit different from traditional teams hence they needed to be managed differently as well. A traditional team is a group of individuals who are gather to achieve a common objective. They undertake interdependent tasks, coordinate among each other and share responsibility of the outcomes (Powell et al, 2004). Though virtual teams also behave like traditional teams but with certain challenges involving different time zones and geographical location. There are no organizational boundaries as the environment is multicultural and multilingual. The most complex area of handling virtual teams is of communication as it is mostly dependent on electronic communication infrastructure. It is asynchronous with very few possibilities for synchronous contact. The virtual team may assemble / disassemble as per requirements which is true for traditional teams as well.

Project management become complex with virtual teams due to co-ordination, communication and cooperation (Nidiffer et al, 2005) challenges. The electronic and asynchronous means can never be equivalent to a good face to face discussion. Even the video calls cannot capture the emotions of all the participants or the positive / negative energy in the room. The distance is not just geographical; it's the temporal and cultural distance that creates new barriers and complexities in the project management activities (Herbsleb et al, 2003).

Temporal distance is a measure of the distance of time people participating in communication are experiencing (Agerfalk et al, 2005). It can be caused by time zone difference or different work timings. The difference in temporal distance effects communication (Sarker et al, 2004) and the response time increases when working hours at remote locations do not overlap. While developing virtual teams, one must take a note of the temporal overlap of the team members to facilitate better communication. The temporal overlap can be achieved by understanding time zone difference and by adjusting the time shifting work patterns.

The cultural distance of team members is the understanding of each other's values and cultural practices (Herbsleb et al, 2003). In (Kotlarsky et al, 2005), it is identified that culture can have a huge effect on how people would behave in certain situation. Cultural distance is dependent on many factors such

17 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/software-cost-estimation-and-capability-maturity-model-in-context-of-global-software-engineering/294501

Related Content

Issues and Aspects of Open Source Software Usage and Adoption in the Public Sector

Gabor Laszlo (2009). *Software Applications: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1577-1591).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/issues-aspects-open-source-software/29465

Empirical Evaluation of Test Driven Modeling

Stefan Zugel, Cornelia Haisjackl, Jakob Pinggera and Barbara Weber (2013). *International Journal of Information System Modeling and Design* (pp. 23-43).

www.irma-international.org/article/empirical-evaluation-test-driven-modeling/80243

The SOA Frontier: Experiences with Three Migration Approaches

Juan M. Rodriguez, Marco Crasso, Cristian Mateos, Alejandro Zunino, Marcelo Campo and Gonzalo Salvatierra (2013). *Migrating Legacy Applications: Challenges in Service Oriented Architecture and Cloud Computing Environments* (pp. 126-152).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/soa-frontier-experiences-three-migration/72215

The Use of HCI Approaches into Distributed CSCL Activities Applied to Software Engineering Courses

Fáber D. Giraldo, María Lilí Villegas and César A. Collazos (2014). *Software Design and Development: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 2033-2050).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/use-hci-approaches-into-distributed/77789

Effective Classification of Chronic Kidney Disease Using Extreme Gradient Boosting Algorithm

Ramya Asalatha Busi and M. James Stephen (2023). *International Journal of Software Innovation* (pp. 1-18).

www.irma-international.org/article/effective-classification-of-chronic-kidney-disease-using-extreme-gradient-boosting-algorithm/315732