

# Chapter 90

## The ISO/IEC 29110 Software Lifecycle Standard for Very Small Companies

Rory V. O'Connor

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9253-0313>

Dublin City University, Ireland

### ABSTRACT

*For many small and start-up software companies, implementing controls and structures to properly manage their software development activity is a major challenge. It is commonly agreed that very small software companies, implementing management procedures, and controls to appropriately administer their software development activity is a significant challenge. To help meet the need for VSE-specific systems and software lifecycle profiles and guidelines, the ISO/IEC jointly published ISO/IEC 29110 “Lifecycle profiles for Very Small Entities” series of standards and guides, with the overall objective being to assist and encourage very small software organization in assessing and improving their software. The purpose of this chapter is to provide a primer on the ISO/IEC 29110 standard focusing on two main process areas of Project Management and Software Implementation.*

### INTRODUCTION

Software development is a complex socio-technical activity and in earlier work we have examined the complex interaction between a software development process and its situational context (Clarke et al, 2015) Part of the challenge of organising the software development process involves deciding upon the specific roles involved in the process and the responsibilities of individuals fulfilling these roles. Therefore, just as the process itself is subject to regular change (Clarke et al, 2017) so too are the roles subject to change. We have therefore examined the role of the software engineer and how this has changed over time, including how it might change into the future.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-3702-5.ch090

Quality orientated software process approaches and standards have gained mainstream acceptance in the software development community over the year. There are many potential benefits of using standards due to the emphasizes on communication and having a shared common understanding of software lifecycle tasks.

For many small and start-up software companies, implementing controls and structures to properly manage their software development activity is a major challenge (Coleman & O'Connor, 2008c). It is commonly agreed that very small software companies, implementing management procedures, and controls to appropriately administer their software development activity is a significant challenge (Laporte et al, 2015). For example, a software company operating in Mexico may have a completely different set of operational problems when compared to a software company in the USA or Ireland. Even within a single geographical area such the range of operational issues faced by a small local firms can be radically different to those affecting a multinational subsidiary. The fact that all companies are not the same raises important questions for those who develop software process and process improvement models (Larrucea et al, 2016). To be widely adopted by the software industry, any process or process improvement model should be capable of handling the differences in the operational contexts of the companies making up that industry. But process improvement models, though highly publicized and marketed, are far from being extensively deployed and their influence in the software industry therefore remains more at a theoretical than practical level.

To help meet the need for VSE-specific systems and software lifecycle profiles and guidelines, the International Organization for Standardization and the International Electrotechnical Commission jointly published ISO/IEC 29110 “Lifecycle profiles for Very Small Entities” series of standards and guides, with the overall objective being to assist and encourage very small software organization in assessing and improving their software. These publications target VSEs, ranging from start-ups to grownups, with little or no experience or expertise in selecting the appropriate processes from systems or software engineering lifecycle standards (such as ISO/IEC/IEEE 12207) and tailoring them to a project’s needs (Laporte et. al, 2017).

The purpose of this chapter is to provide a primer on the ISO/IEC 29110 standard focusing on two main process areas of Project Management and Software Implementation. This chapter will start with an explanation of the rationale and justification for the development of this new standard, followed by an overview of its structure and explain how to deploy ISO/IEC 29110 in a typical very small software company.

## **BACKGROUND**

This section will introduce the problem with standards and explain the specific case of very small entities, before presenting the ISO/IEC 29110 standard as a solution specifically designed to address these problems for very small companies.

### **Very Small Companies (VSE)**

Due to the rich variety of software development settings (for example: the nature of the application being developed, team size, requirements volatility), the implementation of a set of practices for software development may be quite different from one setting to another (Jeners et al., 2013a). Small and very

16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:  
[www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-isoiec-29110-software-lifecycle-standard-for-very-small-companies/294549](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-isoiec-29110-software-lifecycle-standard-for-very-small-companies/294549)

## Related Content

---

### Accessing Knowledge from the Bedside: Introducing the Tablet Computer to Clinical Teaching

Douglas Archibald, Colla J. MacDonald, Rebecca Hogue and Jay Mercer (2013). *Integrated Information and Computing Systems for Natural, Spatial, and Social Sciences* (pp. 96-109).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/accessing-knowledge-bedside/70605](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/accessing-knowledge-bedside/70605)

### Autonomic Business-Driven Dynamic Adaptation of Service-Oriented Systems and the WSPolicy4MASC Support for Such Adaptation

Vladimir Tosic (2010). *International Journal of Systems and Service-Oriented Engineering* (pp. 79-95).

[www.irma-international.org/article/autonomic-business-driven-dynamic-adaptation/39100](http://www.irma-international.org/article/autonomic-business-driven-dynamic-adaptation/39100)

### Solving Linear Problems: Applications in Structural, Thermal, and Fluid Analysis

Alnoman Mundher Tayyeh, Akram H. Shather, Firas Tarik Jasim and Saja Sumiea Anaz (2024). *Coding Dimensions and the Power of Finite Element, Volume, and Difference Methods* (pp. 172-192).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/solving-linear-problems/352312](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/solving-linear-problems/352312)

### A Survey on Brain Tumor Segmentation and Classification

T.A. Jemimma and Y. Jacob Vetharaj (2022). *International Journal of Software Innovation* (pp. 1-21).

[www.irma-international.org/article/a-survey-on-brain-tumor-segmentation-and-classification/309721](http://www.irma-international.org/article/a-survey-on-brain-tumor-segmentation-and-classification/309721)

### Space-Time Analytics for Spatial Dynamics

May Yuan and James Bothwell (2013). *Integrated Information and Computing Systems for Natural, Spatial, and Social Sciences* (pp. 354-368).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/space-time-analytics-spatial-dynamics/70617](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/space-time-analytics-spatial-dynamics/70617)