

Chapter 12

The Experiences of a Learner Support Person in the Online Education Environment

Chrisanna Mastorakis
Southern New Hampshire University, USA

ABSTRACT

This chapter presents the perspective of a learner support person from the online learning environment. Online learning issues, like feedback, at-risk behaviors, ethical issues, and more, are outlined in the chapter, and personal experiences are included to provide an insider's input. Characteristics of an effective instructor are discussed, as well as the overall structure of the online learning environment (the learning management system and course design), specifically through the lens of asynchronous online learning. Future research recommendations and solutions are addressed as they relate to online learning and from the perspective of teaching personnel, explicitly from an online graduate teaching assistant acting as a learner support person.

INTRODUCTION

A teaching assistant is one of a set of learner support persons functioning in courses. Initially, it is important to define the role a learner support person. The word *learner* means student, and a learner support person is someone who works with students to help them achieve academic success. Other definitions are *instructor* or *teacher*. In addition, the roles of teaching assistant, tutor, and advisor relate to a learner support person's identity. However, since a learner support person provides holistic support,

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-9098-0.ch012

the role is not confined to academics. Students require support on a personal level, especially when enrolling in their first online course, to help with acclimation and adjustment to the online learning environment.

From the middle of the twentieth century, graduate teaching assistants were required to participate in research labs and dive into the teaching sea and swim (or swirl) (Wertheimer & Woody, 2017). Training a teacher/instructor/professor was not given attention until the new millennium (Wertheimer & Woody, 2017). Even today, the evaluation and definition of effective people who teach (in person or virtually) remain challenging (Wertheimer & Woody, 2017).

Specific characteristics have been identified by Trammell and Aldrich (2016) that are related to the instructor role in face-to-face environments that students prefer: caring, enthusiastic, approachable, inspiring, fair, well prepared, and helpful, with the most prominent being genuine. Yermack and Forsyth (2016) investigated students' implicit theories of university professors. These four characteristics were associated with students' descriptions of an effective professor in a traditional university environment: intelligent (knowledgeable, educated), approachable (helpful, caring, understanding), dedicated (engaged, prepared, organized), and dynamic (engaging, challenging).

Additional characteristics have been identified by McGuire (2017). An effective online instructor and online supporter humanize the course website, chunk the course content, make expectations explicit, structure and direct online discussions, offer prompt feedback, and make content relevant (McGuire, 2017). Burgess (2015) noted that the environment (either in person or virtual) is not critical for effective teaching. The teacher's effectiveness is the significant factor that constitutes a constructive learning experience for students. The apparent differences between these two teaching environments are clear. One is a physical classroom in a building and the other is a virtual classroom through a computer screen. Despite this difference, the setting does not matter in terms of instructor or learner support effectiveness.

BACKGROUND

EDITOR'S NOTE: The author of this chapter was part of a cadre of teaching assistants explicitly hired for the psychology First Course. Psychology had volunteered to initiate this innovative program as part of a university effort to increase retention among graduate learners in an exclusively online program. Later innovations included course evaluations for the teaching assistants, ongoing supervision and training for TAs, and opportunities to work in the innovation writing component of the course. Please refer to the chapter by Dr. Susan Myers for more on this course and the innovations in this endeavor.

16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-experiences-of-a-learner-support-person-in-the-online-education-environment/297779

Related Content

A Collaboration-Based Spiral Model for Curriculum Development of Older Adult Education: A View from Service Science Perspective

Jinfang Cai and Michitaka Kosaka (2021). *Research Anthology on Adult Education and the Development of Lifelong Learners* (pp. 313-330).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-collaboration-based-spiral-model-for-curriculum-development-of-older-adult-education/279733

Management Leadership and Employee Satisfaction: A Correlation Study

Amber Joy De Armond Hillard (2021). *International Journal of Adult Education and Technology* (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/management-leadership-and-employee-satisfaction/282527

Andragogy or Pedagogy as a Means to Improve the Workforce?

Viktor Wang and Beth Kania-Gosche (2013). *Handbook of Research on Technologies for Improving the 21st Century Workforce: Tools for Lifelong Learning* (pp. 98-109).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/andragogy-pedagogy-means-improve-workforce/70156

From Theorizing in the Ivory Tower to Creating Change with the People: Activist Research as a Framework for Collaborative Action

Denisha Jones (2017). *International Journal of Adult Vocational Education and Technology* (pp. 29-41).

www.irma-international.org/article/from-theorizing-in-the-ivory-tower-to-creating-change-with-the-people/181501

An EEG Study on Students' Learning in Practical and Theory-Based Hospitality Courses

Liwei Hsu and Yen-Jung Chen (2021). *International Journal of Adult Education and Technology* (pp. 40-60).

www.irma-international.org/article/an-eeeg-study-on-students-learning-in-practical-and-theory-based-hospitality-courses/272576