

IDEA GROUP PUBLISHING 701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033-1240, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com

This paper appears in the publication, Supply Chain Management: Issues in the New Era of Collaboration and Competition edited by William Yu Chung Wang, Michael S. H. Heng, Patrick Y. K. Chau © 2007, Idea Group Inc.

Chapter XIII

Supply Chain Risk Management: A Game Theoretic Analysis

Thorsten Blecker, Hamburg University of Technology, Germany

Wolfgang Kersten, Hamburg University of Technology, Germany

Hagen Späth, Hamburg University of Technology, Germany

Birgit Koeppen, Hamburg University of Technology, Germany

Abstract

This chapter introduces a game-theoretic approach to supply chain risk management. The focus of this study lies on the risk of a single supply chain member defecting from common supply chain agreements, thereby jeopardizing the overall supply chain performance. The chapter goes on to introduce a manual supply chain game, by which dynamic supply chain mechanisms can be simulated and further analyzed using a game-theoretic model. With the help of the game-theoretic model, externalities are identified that negatively impact supply chain efficiency. The conclusion drawn from this chapter is that incentives are necessary to overcome these externalities in order to align supply chain objectives. The authors show that the gametheoretic model, in connection with the supply chain game presented, provides an informative basis for the future development of incentives by which supply chains can be aligned in order to reduce supply chain risks.

Introduction

In recent years, supply chain management (SCM) has experienced considerable attention. Trends, such as lean management, have unleashed outsourcing with the aim of improving corporate efficiency, which, in turn, considerably reduced the vertical range of production. The result is that original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) have outsourced as much as 80% of their value chain. This resulted in an increasing dependency of companies on their suppliers. Intricate supply networks evolved, shifting competition from the single company level to the supply chain level. Thereby, supply chain management became an asset when it comes to guaranteeing efficiency and high service levels (Christopher, 2004).

As a consequence of the interorganizational dependencies, supply chain competition fosters risks. These dependencies and the rising complexity of supply chain networks have increased the importance of supply chain risk management. Although connectivity between the players and transparency across the supply chain are core aspects propagated by SCM in contemporary literature, reality shows that insufficient communication among supply chain participants still prevails. Therefore, interorganizational risks have the potential to become one of the core fields of supply chain risk management (SCRM) research in future.

As supply chain participants are always (potential) competitors, the intensity of collaborative efforts are always a matter of how high single organizations prioritize supply chain alignment. Incentives are a way to overcome the barriers keeping organizations from aligning their objectives. Finding the right incentives for SCRM can turn out to be an extremely hazardous and difficult task for supply chain risk managers. One option is to create mathematical models based on economic settings. However, whether all variables have been considered is only validated the moment the incentive is tested in a realistic setting for a certain period of time. This realistic setting can either be simulated by means of an electronic simulation model, a manual business game, or on a real supply chain. Since it is difficult to analyze the influence of a particular change within a supply chain—particularly due to the vast amount of interactions and the fact that such a change can also negatively influence a chain—the last option can be aborted. The first option does not include the variable that human beings make organizational and interorganizational decisions, which we considered to be central to SCRM. Therefore, we opted for the manual business game. Supply chain risks

30 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart"

button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/supply-chain-risk-management/30008

Related Content

Collaboration in the Mexican Pharmaceutical Industry: An Analysis Within the Institutional Framework

José G. Vargas-Hernándezand Alma Isela Rodríguez Hernández (2019). *Global Supply Chains in the Pharmaceutical Industry (pp. 316-333).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/collaboration-in-the-mexican-pharmaceutical-

industry/216219

The Influence of Supply Chain Sustainability Practices on Suppliers

Xiaowei Wangand Lincoln C. Wood (2016). *Handbook of Research on Global Supply Chain Management (pp. 531-544).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-influence-of-supply-chain-sustainability-practices-onsuppliers/141162

An Improved Lightweight RFID Authentication Protocol

Xiaowen Zhang, Zhanyang Zhangand Xinzhou Wei (2011). *International Journal of Applied Logistics (pp. 76-84).*

www.irma-international.org/article/improved-lightweight-rfid-authentication-protocol/52577

Counterfeiting Activities in Manufacturing Versus Service Organizations: A Supply Chain Perspective

Mauro Falasca, Scott A. Dellana, William Jason Roweand John F. Kros (2022). International Journal of Applied Logistics (pp. 1-24). www.irma-international.org/article/counterfeiting-activities-in-manufacturing-versus-serviceorganizations/302093

Contextual Relationship Among 3 C's and Innovative Green Procurement Practices Using ISM and Its Validation Using MICMAC Analysis

Surajit Bag (2016). International Journal of Applied Logistics (pp. 33-50). www.irma-international.org/article/contextual-relationship-among-3-cs-and-innovative-greenprocurement-practices-using-ism-and-its-validation-using-micmac-analysis/168530