

CYBERTECH PUBLISHING

701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033-1240, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.igi-pub.com

This paper appears in the publication, **Utilizing and Managing Commerce and Services Online** edited by **Mehdi Khosrow-Pour, D.B.A.** © **2007, IGI Global**

Chapter III

Jurisdiction in B2C E-Commerce Dispute within European Union

Ong Chin Eang, Monash University, Malaysia

Abstract

E-commerce jurisdiction has always been an issue because e-commerce exists in a borderless environment, and this e-environment diminishes the importance of physical location and locality. This imposes a great concern over which country's jurisdiction to engage when disputes occur between business and consumer in the e-environment. This is crucial when the consumer is seeking "redress" as there is always the question as to where a court action should be brought in. The current jurisdictions by the European Commission (EC) within the European Union (EU), The E-Commerce Directive—Country of Origin, and Rome II are still in the drafting process. These legislations are not the total solution. This paper reviews the issue of current jurisdiction, whether there is a need to call for a single jurisdiction, and what complications arise when seeking redress in this borderless e-environment. This paper also raises important issues that relate to the gaps and loopholes that exist in Country of Origin and Rome II.

Jurisdiction

Stoney (2001) claims that jurisdiction is a word that has had significant meaning and influence in the off-line world for hundreds of years. The problems posed by jurisdiction are not problems created by the Internet and e-commerce. The global and seamless nature of the Internet has simply added to or compounded the problem as e-commerce (whether it is business-to-business [B2B] or business-to-consumer [B2C]) expands and increasingly gains the confidence of the commercial and consumer sectors.

All the same, it is the fact that the Internet has momentously augmented the potential for jurisdiction around the global e-commerce market, and more importantly it has extended the potential scope of liability through e-commerce to make it practically boundless and immeasurable. There are several phenomena absent from e-commerce relations when compared with face-to-face communication. The presumption of desired relational development is not present, the degree of intimacy possible in an e-commerce relationship is constrained, and the expansion of whatever e-relationship exists in other domains is limited (Johnson, 2001; Podlas, 2000). Consequently, e-commerce radically undermines the relationship between legally significant (online) phenomena and physical location. This rise of the cross border e-commerce activity is destroying:

- the link between geographical location;
- the power of local governments to assert control over online behavior;
- the effects of online behavior on individuals or things;
- the legitimacy of a local sovereign's efforts to regulate global phenomena;
- the ability of physical location to give notice of which sets of rules apply.

Cross border e-commerce thus radically subverts the system of rule making based on borders between physical spaces (Johnson & Post, 1996).

In addition to breaking down barriers between physical jurisdictions, it has no territorially based boundaries. Substantive and procedural laws vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. The question of what laws govern transactions over cross-border e-commerce environments and where consumers and businesses are subject to jurisdiction can be important. Notions of jurisdiction are based on a physical reality that does not exist in the e-commerce environment. However, actions in the virtual world of e-commerce have legal ramifications in the tangible world. Disputes occurring from e-commerce transactions introduce the most difficult application of traditional law, when businesses are being contacted via electronic means. Contract

16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/jurisdiction-b2c-commerce-dispute-within/30690

Related Content

The Environmental Awareness Influence Urban Female's Purchasing Intention of Organic Agricultural Products

Jing-Jing Lai, Nai-Yuan Paiand Wen-Cheng Wang (2020). *International Journal of Information Systems in the Service Sector (pp. 1-18).*

www.irma-international.org/article/the-environmental-awareness-influence-urban-females-purchasing-intention-of-organic-agricultural-products/252202

Complementary Approaches to the Diffusion of Innovation: Empirical Evidence on E-Services Adoption in Italy

Davide Arduini, Leopoldo Nasciaand Antonello Zanfei (2012). *International Journal of E-Services and Mobile Applications (pp. 42-64).*

www.irma-international.org/article/complementary-approaches-diffusion-innovation/68829

Creating Effective Customer Solutions: A Process-Oriented Perspective

Ferdinand Burianek, Sebastian Bonnemeierand Ralf Reichwald (2013). *Best Practices and New Perspectives in Service Science and Management (pp. 16-30).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/creating-effective-customer-solutions/74984

Managing Innovation in the Service Sector in Emerging Markets

Husam Awadalla (2017). *Promotional Strategies and New Service Opportunities in Emerging Economies (pp. 144-163).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/managing-innovation-in-the-service-sector-in-emerging-markets/175553

The Financial Clouds Review

Victor Chang, Chung-Sheng Li, David De Roure, Gary Wills, Robert John Waltersand Clinton Chee (2013). *Cloud Computing Advancements in Design, Implementation, and Technologies (pp. 125-146).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/financial-clouds-review/67897