

Chapter 74

Mass Killings Past, Present, and Future

Sheri Jenkins Keenan

The University of Memphis, USA

Jeffrey P. Rush

Troy University, USA

ABSTRACT

Mass shootings have been of interest and concern to a variety of experts including psychologists, sociologists, criminologists, public health experts, and policy makers. Journalists have tracked mass shooting events for a long time. Recently, mass shootings in public places have dominated the national dialogue about gun violence, gun control, and Second Amendment protections due to several mass public shootings in recent years that resulted in double-digit victim counts. Regardless of the why, it seems clear that the ability to identify and predict this behavior as early as possible is important, for the killer as well as the community.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

In the 1980s, the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) established a system to classify multiple murder, mass murder, spree murder, and serial murder (Fox & Levin, 2014). The FBI defined “mass murderer” as someone who kills four or more people in a single incident; not including themselves, typically in a single location. However, the government has never defined mass shooting as a separate category, and there is no universally accepted definition. Media outlets, gun control and rights advocates, academic researchers, and law enforcement agencies frequently use different definitions when discussing “mass killing”, “mass murder”, and “mass shootings”, leading to different assessments of how frequently such events occur and whether they are more common now than they were a decade or two ago. For example, on February 12, 2010 Amy Bishop, an assistant professor at the University of Alabama in Huntsville shot 6 people, killing three. On October 21, 2012 Radcliffe Haughton walks into the Azana Salon and Spa, where his estranged wife worked, and shot 7 people, killing three. On April 2, 2014, Ivan Lopez

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-7464-8.ch074

Mass Killings Past, Present, and Future

shot 19 people at Fort Hood. Miraculously, he only killed 3. Under the 1980 FBI definition with the four victim thresholds, these events would not be considered mass murders.

For previous empirical work on mass killings, see Rummel (1994, 1995), Krain (1997, 2000), Sully (1997). These articles focus mostly on the impact of poverty, ethnic diversity, and political regimes.

While others have used the term, “mass shootings”, Grant Duwe coined the term in his book, *Mass Murder in the United States: A History* (2007). Duwe (2007) defined mass public shootings as “any incident in which four or more victims are killed publicly in a workplace, school, restaurant, or other public place with guns and within 24 hours” (p. 27).

In the early to mid-2000s, scholarly journals were flooded with articles addressing mass murders. The first major grouping of scholarly journals focused on the impact of identity and mass murderers. For example, Leyton (2001) discussed social class and political economy, Wise (2001) focused on race and ethnicity, Mai and Alpert (2000) address gender and masculinity. Schiele and Stewart (2001) looked at school shootings, race, and gender. Klein (2006) looked at mass murder and homophobia, masculinity and socioeconomic status. Aitken (2001) explored school shooter’s demographic traits and the intersection of racism, sexism, and ageism.

The second major grouping of scholarly journals that focused on mass murderers indicated that suicidal motives played a major role in many offender’s behavior. For example, Mullen’s (2004) analysis of five mass murderers who survived found that all these offenders had significant psychological problems and had planned to kill themselves. Similarly, Newman, Fox, Roth, Mehta, and Harding (2004) found evidence that almost 90% (88.9) of the school shooters they studied from 1974 to 2001 had struggled with suicidality, mental illness, or depression. Finally, in their follow-up study of school shooters from 2002 to 2008, Newman and Fox (2009) found that 100% of the offenders had struggled with suicidality, mental illness, and/or depression. It should be noted that in most mass killings, the offender does, in fact, commit suicide rather than face the incoming police. Even this, however, is not absolute as we’ve seen a relatively increasing number of mass killers (active killers) engaging with the police. Most have lost that engagement.

The third minor grouping; international in nature, of scholarly journals that focused on mass murders, like the early 1990s articles, mostly the impact of poverty, ethnic diversity, and political regimes (Bae & Ott, 2008; Besancon 2005; Colaresi & Carey, 2008; Easterly, Gatti, & Kurlat, 2006, Eck & Hultman, 2007; Harff, 2003; Heger & Salehyan, 2007). Esteban, Morelli, & Rohner, (2015) focused on mass killings at both the country level and using an ethnic group-level panel.

It is interesting that the scholarly studies of these incidents tend to focus almost exclusively on the offender and their motivations as opposed to the victims, the responding officers or the aftermath of the mass killing. Granted, understanding an offender’s motivation might – might – help in preventing the next mass killing; however, to date that seems to not be the case.

In the wake of the tragedy at Sandy Hook Elementary School Shooting (Newtown shooting of 2012), the president signed into law the Investigative Assistance for Violent Crimes Act of 2012, which granted the attorney general the authority to assist in the investigation of “violent acts and shootings occurring in a place of public use” and in the investigation of “mass killings and attempted mass killings at the request of an appropriate law enforcement official of a state or political subdivision” (Investigative Assistance For Violence Crimes Act of 2012). The federal statute also re-defined “mass killings” as three or more killed in single incident.

In addition, on January 16, 2012, President Obama announced a slate of proposals aimed at reducing gun violence in the United States (The White House, 2013). The proposal had four areas:

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/mass-killings-past-present-and-future/311333

Related Content

Does Location Matter?: The Impact of Family Violence in Rural Areas

Samar Adi (2017). *Violence and Society: Breakthroughs in Research and Practice* (pp. 241-255).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/does-location-matter/171042

Reconceptualisation of Democratic Citizenship Education Against Social Inequalities and Electoral Violence in Zimbabwe

Monica Zembere (2023). *Research Anthology on Modern Violence and Its Impact on Society* (pp. 1175-1184).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/reconceptualisation-of-democratic-citizenship-education-against-social-inequalities-and-electoral-violence-in-zimbabwe/311322

The Perfect Storm of Challenging Students: Fifteen Strategies to Support the Socio-Emotional Learning and Education of the Whole Learner

Mark Patrick Ryan (2021). *Research Anthology on Navigating School Counseling in the 21st Century* (pp. 80-107).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-perfect-storm-of-challenging-students/280998

Control Mechanism of Identity Theft and Its Integrative Impact on Consumers' Purchase Intention in E-Commerce

Mahmud A. Shareef, Vinod Kumar and Uma Kumar (2016). *Psychology and Mental Health: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 988-1021).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/control-mechanism-of-identity-theft-and-its-integrative-impact-on-consumers-purchase-intention-in-e-commerce/153435

The Importance of Play for Parents of Hospitalized Children

Mauro Brembilla, Yagmur Ozturk and Teresa Del Bianco (2023). *Handbook of Research on Play Specialism Strategies to Prevent Pediatric Hospitalization Trauma* (pp. 155-169).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-importance-of-play-for-parents-of-hospitalized-children/313759