Chapter 2

Socio-Ecological System Implications of Organizational Resilience

José G. Vargas-Hernández

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0938-4197

Posgraduate and Research Department, Tecnológico Mario Molina Unidad Zapopan, Mexico

Omar C. Vargas-González

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6089-956X

Tecnológico Nacional de México, Ciudad Guzman, Mexico

ABSTRACT

The aim of this chapter is to direct a socio-ecological system as a construct of organizational resilience able to include research to create a coherent and comprehensive analysis of the implications of uncertainty, complexity, discontinuity, and adversity. The analysis departs from the development of conceptualizations, definitions, methods, functions, operations, assessments, and measures. The methodology employed is based on transdisciplinary approaches to a qualitative and reflective analysis of the theoretical and empirical literature review. It is concluded that an integral approach to organizational resilience must consider a socio-ecological system to assess the environmental implications of uncertainty, complexity, discontinuity, and adversity as a source of bouncing back and forward from organizational crises.

INTRODUCTION

Any kind of organization needs to develop a resilience capacity enabling to cope effectively with environmental uncertainty and complexity of future events (Lengnick-Hall, *et al.*, 2011). The definition of the organizational resilience profile may be able to describe how to cope the complexity of the system, the analysis of factors and categories under the assumption of their effects and limitations for a systemic organizational change.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-4605-8.ch002

The systems approach to a construct structure of organizational resilience (Tierney, 2003) is based on dimensions of robustness, resourcefulness, redundancy, and rapidity. Other construct structure of organizational resilience develops from robustness, integrity, and agility (Deniz & Iseri Say, 2015). Resilience incorporates notions of anticipation, retroactive evaluation, systemic adjustments, and validation.

Research in organizational resilience is a inter and transdisciplinary field that build bridges with the geographic, environmental, ecological, sociological, psychological, climate sciences, etc. aimed to develop and build resilience within socioecological systems. Qualitative research methods can be used and performed to study organizational behavior from a person-centric approaches such as interviews and focus groups to consider different experiences based on the evaluations of the severity situations in the face of adversity and crisis (Walker & Cooper, 2011)

The conceptual foundation for organizational resilience can be lay out through a transdisciplinary perspective based on psychology, ecology, engineering, ecology, and organization science aimed to develop a construct of resilience. The transdisciplinary approach frames organizational resilience to reap benefits from adverse events that have not been achieved in absence of adversity. This transdisciplinary approach draws insights on the concept and nature of organizational resilience after the comparison of underlying assumption. The nature of resilience is being exposed in the domains of psychology, systems, engineering, sociology, ecology, socioecological systems, organizational sciences.

Several disciplines such as management, psychology, sociology, ecology, etc., back up organizational resilience. The concept of resilience is used in ecology (Walker & Cooper, 2011; Walker *et al.*, 2002), engineering (Woods, 2006), and psychology (Antonovsky, 1996), and across all fields. The concept of resilience was introduced in psychology (Coutu, 2002) and popularized after Holling (1973) in fields such as ecology, psychology, sociology, management and organization sciences, engineering, etc., and specific topics such as disaster management (Henry & Ramirez- Marquez, 2010; Annarelli & Nonino, 2016; Bergström, *et al.*, 2015).

Resilience is an issue studied in all academic fields such as ecology (Walker *et al.*, 2002), psychology (Powley, 2009), organization management (Weick, 1993: Gilbert, *et al.*, 2012), engineering (Hollnagel *et al.*, 2006), and several more. From the perspective of psychology and organizational behavior, Weick (1993) sustains that organizational resilience is the ability to support individual and social interactions in an environment of organizational wisdom. The psychological resilience refers to the quality of individuals, groups and organizations as a system that responds to disruptive change that results from the pattern of events without engaging in regressive behavior (Horne & Orr, 1998: 31).

Organizational resilience is critical response to the survival of economic, socio ecological and technological changes (Horne III, 1997). The interdependence between the socio ecosystem and organizational resilience as components of broader socio ecological systems may be analyzed at organizational level and in relation to the operating socio ecosystem. Distinct resilience attributes elements considered in the organizations with the corresponding practices and actions may contribute to establish and maintain organizational resilience, such as stresses and hazards, uncertainties, governance, and livelihoods.

The foundations of the organizational resilience construct derived from different disciplines, applied to get consistency in the articulation of robust assumptions in the way organizations should respond to adversity (Taleb, 2012).

21 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/socio-ecological-system-implications-oforganizational-resilience/312869

Related Content

Malaysian Women Micro-Entrepreneur Performance, Role of Social Capital, Locus of Control, and Institutional Support on Entrepreneurial Orientation

Solomon Gbene Zaato, Sathiswaran Uthamaputhran, Mohammad Ismail, Balakrishnan Parasuraman, Noorshella Che Nawiand Ali Salmann (2023). *Handbook of Research on Designing Sustainable Strategies to Develop Entrepreneurial Intention (pp. 368-399).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/malaysian-women-micro-entrepreneur-performance-role-of-social-capital-locus-of-control-and-institutional-support-on-entrepreneurial-orientation/328353

Is Entrepreneurship Only About Innovation?: A Study About Social Representations of Entrepreneurship

José Baptista, Lisete Mónico, Carla Carvalhoand Pedro Parreira (2024). Fostering Global Entrepreneurship Through Business Model Innovation (pp. 1-19).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/is-entrepreneurship-only-about-innovation/335510

Digital Transformation Process and the Capability and Capacity Implications for Small and Medium Enterprises

Samuel Anim-Yeboah, Richard Boateng, Raphael Odoomand Emmanuel Awuni Kolog (2020). *International Journal of E-Entrepreneurship and Innovation (pp. 26-44).*

www.irma-international.org/article/digital-transformation-process-and-the-capability-and-capacity-implications-for-small-and-medium-enterprises/253873

Interest of Venture Capital Companies in Open Source-Based New Ventures: The Case of Turkey

Stefan Kochand Mürvet Ozan Özgür (2012). *International Journal of E-Entrepreneurship and Innovation* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/interest-venture-capital-companies-open/75437

How to Succeed as an Entrepreneur in Africa: A Practical Guide and Cases

Gabriel Kabanda (2022). *Journal of Media Management and Entrepreneurship (pp. 1-13).* www.irma-international.org/article/how-to-succeed-as-an-entrepreneur-in-africa/310935