

Chapter 3

Evolution of Vehicular Ad Hoc Network and Flying Ad Hoc Network for Real-Life Applications: Role of VANET and FANET

Sipra Swain

National Institute of Technology, Rourkela, India

Biswa Ranjan Senapati

ITER, Siksha 'O' Anusandhan University (Deemed), India

Pabitra Mohan Khilar

National Institute of Technology, Rourkela, India

ABSTRACT

The demand for the quick transmission of data at any point and at any location motivates researchers from the industry and academics to work for the enhancement of ad hoc networks. With time, various forms of ad hoc networks are evolved. These are MANET, VANET, FANET, AANET, WSN, SPAN, etc. The initial objective of VANET is to provide safety applications by combining them with ITS. But later, the applications of VANET are extended to commercial, convenience, entertainment, and productive applications. Similarly, connections among multiple unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) through wireless links, architectural simplicity, autonomous behaviour of UAV, etc. motivate the researchers to use FANET in various sectors like military, agriculture, and transportation for numerous applications. Search and rescue operations, forest fire detection and monitoring, crop management monitoring, area mapping, and road traffic monitoring are some of the applications of FANET. The authors mentioned some applications in the chapter using VANET, FANET, and the combination of VANET and FANET.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-3610-3.ch003

INTRODUCTION

Advancement in wireless access technology, demands in communication at anytime and at any location, availability of various sensors at affordable cost increases the demand for the ad hoc network (Helen & Arivazhagan, 2014). As per the demand for communication, various forms of ad hoc networks are evolved (Al-Absi & Lee, 2021). Different ad hoc networks are mobile ad hoc networks (MANET), vehicular ad hoc networks (VANET), flying ad hoc networks (FANET), airlift ad hoc networks (AANET), wireless sensor networks (WSN), smartphone ad hoc networks (SPAN), etc. Overall comparison for the different forms of ad hoc networks is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Comparison of different forms of ad hoc networks

Characteristics	MANET	VANET	FANET	AANET	WSN	SPAN
Network connectivity	High	Medium	Low	Low	High	Medium
Availability of energy	Low	High	Low	Low	Low	Medium
Mobility models	Random	Restricted	Restricted	Random	Restricted	Restricted
Speed of the node	Medium	High	High	High	Low	Low
Link connectivity	Changes occasionally	Changes frequently	Changes frequently	Changes frequently	Changes occasionally	Changes Occasionally

(Zhang, et al., 2019)

The vehicular ad hoc network (VANET) is one of the subclasses of ad hoc networks (Hamdi, Audah, Rashid, Mohammed, Alani, & Mustafa, A review of applications, characteristics and challenges in vehicular ad hoc networks (VANETs), 2020). VANET consists of two components which are distributed and asynchronous (Senapati B. R., 2021). These two components are as follows.

1. **Vehicle:** These nodes are mobile.
2. **Roadside unit (RSU):** These nodes are static.

From the above-mentioned two components, RSU has greater sensing capability, computational power, and greater storage capacity. As RSU is static in nature, RSU is generally placed near the junction. Technological advancement in the electro-mechanical-computational-humanity department, availability of a large number of sensors at affordable cost, presence of communication unit (On-board Unit-OBUs),

29 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/evolution-of-vehicular-ad-hoc-network-and-flying-ad-hoc-network-for-real-life-applications/313222

Related Content

Scalability of Pervasive Communication Networks in IoT

Manal Khayyat and Nadine Akkari (2022). *International Journal of Hyperconnectivity and the Internet of Things* (pp. 1-11).

www.irma-international.org/article/scalability-of-pervasive-communication-networks-in-iot/294895

Real-World Experimentation of Distributed DSA Network Algorithms

Oscar Tonelli, Gilberto Berardinelli, Fernando M. L. Tavares, Andrea F. Cattoni, Petar Popovski, Troels B. Sørensen and Preben E. Mogensen (2013). *Evolution of Cognitive Networks and Self-Adaptive Communication Systems* (pp. 171-197).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/real-world-experimentation-distributed-dsa/77688

Analysis of Internet of Things Based on Characteristics, Functionalities, and Challenges

Ganesh Khekare, Pushpneel Verma, Urvashi Dhanre, Seema Raut and Ganesh Yenurkar (2021). *International Journal of Hyperconnectivity and the Internet of Things* (pp. 44-62).

www.irma-international.org/article/analysis-of-internet-of-things-based-on-characteristics-functionalities-and-challenges/267222

An Efficient Machine Learning-Based Cluster Analysis Mechanism for IoT Data

Sivadi Balakrishna (2023). *International Journal of Hyperconnectivity and the Internet of Things* (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/an-efficient-machine-learning-based-cluster-analysis-mechanism-for-iot-data/330680

Vehicular Metropolitan Area Network Systems Architecture: The WiMAX Network Reference Model

Cheng Hsuan Cho and Jen-Yi Pan (2010). *Telematics Communication Technologies and Vehicular Networks: Wireless Architectures and Applications* (pp. 144-159).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/vehicular-metropolitan-area-network-systems/39525