

Chapter 12

Fifteen Years of Diversity Leadership at an Academic Medical Center in Chicago

Cynthia E. Boyd

Rush University Medical Center, USA

John Andrews

Rush University Medical Center, USA

Paula J. Brown

Rush University Medical Center, USA

Angela L. Davis

Rush University Medical Center, USA

Sheila A. Dugan

Rush University Medical Center, USA

Sharon Gates

Rush University Medical Center, USA

Diane M. Howard

Rush University Medical Center, USA

Wrenetha A. Julion

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5197-9955>

Rush University Medical Center, USA

Christopher Nolan

Rush University System for Health, USA

ABSTRACT

The diversity and inclusion initiatives at a Chicago academic medical center are reviewed in the context of its activities over 15 years. The team of leaders discuss how the diversity initiatives were introduced and the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats of pursuing diversity, equity, and inclusion, as staff move in and out of the organization. Five employee resource groups and initiatives that serve as the backbone of the DEI initiatives are discussed including Rush community service initiatives program, Americans with Disabilities, business diversity, Women's Leadership, and LGBTQ programming.

BACKGROUND

The diversity leadership initiative at Rush University Medical Center was initiated in 2007 after a formal diversity plan was developed. Accountability for diversity at Rush was accepted by the Executive

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-2314-1.ch012

Leadership Council. Rush diversity goals were established in 2008 and annual reporting on diversity recommendations began with an energized organization. In 2012, *Diversity and Inclusion: A Report of Progress* was published to inventory the strides made in making the Medical Center a diverse and inclusive environment (2012). The D&I initiatives were to sustainable with activities to benefit the community, including patients, students, faculty, staff and people that Rush serves (2012).

A five-year plan was initiated led by senior leadership under the Executive Leadership Council with participation by the President/CEO. The Rush vision was adopted: To be a diverse and inclusive patient care, educational, research and community service institution of the highest caliber with measurable goals. Accountability for diversity at Rush

The Medical Center located in an ethnically diverse community with minorities representing over 65 percent of those living in the area (2012). In quantifying its internal constituency, it described itself as being 70 percent female; over 45 percent minority, approximately 40 percent physician residents and fellows are minority, almost 75 percent of students are women, almost 30 percent of students are minority.

In supporting the D&I initiatives, the Medical Center formed two bodies to achieve diversity goals over a 5-year period and to meet a 2016 deadline to accomplish the goals established. Those entities, Executive Leadership Council and Diversity Leadership Council (DLC) were to collaborate on the specified goals developed. The core stakeholder groups were management, faculty and students. The Management Subcommittee focused on the university and medical center sectors. The Student and Faculty Subcommittees focused on the university sector.

Goal Setting and the Work of the Subcommittees

The Management Subcommittee's key goals were 1) gender diversity, 2) ethnic diversity, and 3) inclusion of people with disabilities. A key decision of the group was that Rush look like its customers.

The goals of the subcommittee were:

Goal 1: Achieve and maintain gender diversity of 50 percent female/male for Executive Leadership Council, Associate Vice President, Assistant Vice President and Director positions.

Goal 2: Achieve and maintain ethnic diversity by 20 percent among management to reflect Rush's patient population and availability of talent.

Goal 3: Establish an organization that continues to distinguish itself as being the place for those with disabilities to work and to receive care.

The Faculty Subcommittee's two goals were:

Goal 1: Faculty and academic leadership will be reflective of the patients, students and other communities served with a target of 5 percent above ethnic availability.

Goal 2: Build cultural awareness and competency among faculty and graduates by building comprehensive learning experiences and prepare graduates to be providers in a diverse and global society.

The Student Subcommittee recognized that students consider matriculation based on the diversity of the faculty and so faculty recruitment was considered vital.

Goal 1: Ensure matriculation of students who are underrepresented in medicine is at or above 16 percent of the available number from programs throughout the U.S. The focus was on students from biological science programs.

Goal 2: Create a welcoming, multicultural environment for all students.

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/fifteen-years-of-diversity-leadership-at-an-academic-medical-center-in-chicago/315370

Related Content

Knowledge Manageability: A New Paradigm

Albert J. Simard and Philippe Jourdeuil (2014). *Building a Competitive Public Sector with Knowledge Management Strategy* (pp. 1-52).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-manageability/80107

The Importance of Innovation in Social Work Institutions During Digital Transformation Processes

Fatma Korkmaz (2021). *Handbook of Research on Policies, Protocols, and Practices for Social Work in the Digital World* (pp. 39-54).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-importance-of-innovation-in-social-work-institutions-during-digital-transformation-processes/279536

Engaging With the Participatory Geoweb: Experiential Learning From Practice

Jon M. Corbett and Logan Cochrane (2019). *Crowdsourcing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 560-577).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/engaging-with-the-participatory-geoweb/226754

Supporting Communities through Social Government in Co-Creation and Co-Production of Public Services: The SocialGov Concept and Platform Architecture

Maria A. Wimmer and Sabrina Scherer (2018). *International Journal of Public Administration in the Digital Age* (pp. 18-35).

www.irma-international.org/article/supporting-communities-through-social-government-in-co-creation-and-co-production-of-public-services/190612

How to Succeed with Multichannel Management: A Case Study of Cross-Organizational Collaboration Surrounding a Mandatory Self-Service Application for Danish Single Parents

Christian Østergaard Madsen and Pernille Kræmmergaard (2016). *International Journal of Public Administration in the Digital Age* (pp. 94-110).

www.irma-international.org/article/how-to-succeed-with-multichannel-management/161617