Data Quality in Social Survey Research

Marco Palmieri

b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9081-0052 La Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

Rosario Aprile

b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1259-3272 La Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

INTRODUCTION¹

The social survey research community has always felt the need to identify and share criteria for assessing the quality of collected and analysed data. Data quality is a fundamental aspect of social survey research that comes from the diffusion and usage of large-scale surveys planned without adequate methodological design. In the long tradition of reflections on the data quality in surveys, it seems that two antithetical positions prevail: according to the behaviourist paradigm, data quality is the absence of distortions in the measurement process (Groves, 1991); otherwise, the pragmatic position conceptualises data quality as the satisfaction of the logical and methodological conditions necessary for the achievement of the cognitive research's objectives (Mauceri, 2003).

The first position originated in psychometrics, and social survey methodology imported it. The nineteenth century saw the spread of what Von Hayek (1989) called the scientist attitude, according to which the human sciences can develop only by following the guidelines, the method and the procedures of the physical sciences. The gradual devaluation of the philosophical differences between the physical and human sciences has transferred to the social sciences, mainly quantitative social science, giving rise to the so-called survey methodology. This approach is based on measurement theory that conceives data quality as the absence of error in the measurement process: the researcher investigates the sources of error that can move the measured values away from the true values (Groves, 2004).

Other scholars criticise this scientific attitude and claim the social sciences' ontological, epistemological, and methodological autonomy from natural and physical sciences (Marradi, 2016). Rejecting the idea that only one model of science exists (that one of hard sciences) and accusing social scientists of denying their philosophical origins - because the models of the physical sciences attract them - these scholars have given rise to a pragmatic view on data quality debate. The pragmatic approach looks at the actual conditions of research and the cognitive goals that move it. "There is data quality when the researcher succeeds in fulfilling the logical and methodological conditions necessary to achieve the cognitive objectives of the research" (Mauceri, 2003, p. 41).

Analysing these two paradigms is necessary to understand the complex data quality topic.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-7366-5.ch018

BACKGROUND

The attention to data quality measurement originated in psychometrics, whose roots are in the behaviourism paradigm, born in the early 1900s when the focus of the academic community of psychologists shifted from introspective methods to external environments in order to understand and explain human behaviour. Behaviourism has transmitted its appeal to social survey methodology by attempting to pursue "the comparability of responses" through the invariance of the stimuli and the standardisation of the interview situation (Fideli & Marradi, 1996, p. 74). The mechanical stimulus-response model represents the survey interview as follows: the stimulus (the questionnaire's question) is characterised by a high degree of structuring, and the respondents have to answer the same questions in the form and order designed by the researcher (Gobo, 1997). Fowler and Mangione summarise the core of the behaviourist manifesto in survey research: "the key element of measurement is standardisation. Standardisation aims to expose all respondents to the same question and response alternatives. In this way, differences can be interpreted as actual differences among respondents" (1990, p. 14). The highly standardised interview theorised by behaviourists requires establishing strict rules, especially regarding the interviewer's role, which is conceptualised as one of the leading causes of measurement error in the survey. In this approach, the perfect behaviourist interviewer has high skills to follow standardisation rules, administering stimuli designed by others within the cage imposed by standardisation in order not to introduce bias and errors during the interview (Biemer et al., 1991).

According to the measurement theory, the act of measurement in a survey produces an empirical observation, which incorporates an error from the true value. The measurement theory intends to study and tackle the errors from the true values observations. Several authors, such as Deming (1944) and Kish (1965), have contributed to conceptualising the social survey error. Andersen et al. (1979) theorised the total survey error, identifying the effects of the different error sources. Then Biemer and Lyberg (2003) introduced the conceptual specification error (the inadequate and poor specification of object investigation) and the processing error (i.e. data cleaning, coding, data entry, and statistical weighting). For Groves (2004), survey methodology was born to study the error sources that, either randomly or systematically, affect the quality of all survey phases. The scholar has differentiated the systematic error between the observation error (the distance between a subject's answer and the subject's true value on the measured property) and the non-observation error caused by statistical estimation error (the distance between the sample value and the population value).

The measurement theory in survey research comes from the positivists' and neopositivists' epistemological framework: the task of science is to pursue the truth (objective knowledge), and the scientist's task is to discover the reality without introducing any element of personal interpretation. This idea of science refers to objectivist gnoseology: the conceptual structures with which the researcher represents the object under investigation are not free creations of the human intellect but are provided naturally by the object itself through the sensations emitted to the perceiving agent. "The etymology of the term 'data' emphasises the passive attitude of the researcher" (Bruschi, 1990, p. 224) because the data would be coercively imposed by an objective reality external to the researcher that can be grasped only through scientific activity (Agnoli, 1992, p. 144).

An alternative theoretical approach to the quality of data in social survey research, labelled by Mauceri (2003) as pragmatic, rejects the existence of true data - and the measurement theory - and defines data as the outcome of a process, "the product of operations of selection, collection and formalization" (Statera, 1994, p. 125) that the researcher deploys to achieve the cognitive research goals. Data is the lowest unit of information that, after being collected and formalised, is coded into a matrix and subjected to further

11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/data-quality-in-social-survey-research/322092

Related Content

Information Technology Consulting and the Implementation of Information Technology

Michaela Wieandt (2008). Handbook of Research on Global Information Technology Management in the Digital Economy (pp. 381-405).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/information-technology-consulting-implementation-information/20495

Critical Factors Influencing the Intention to Adopt m-Government Services by the Elderly

Md. Shamim Talukder, Raymond Chiong, Brian Corbittand Yukun Bao (2020). *Journal of Global Information Management (pp. 74-94).*

www.irma-international.org/article/critical-factors-influencing-the-intention-to-adopt-m-government-services-by-theelderly/262957

The Impacts of Knowledge Management Practices on Innovation Activities in High- and Low-Tech Firms

Kris M. Y. Law, Antonio K. W. Lauand Andrew W. H. Ip (2021). *Journal of Global Information Management* (pp. 1-25).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-impacts-of--knowledge-management-practices-on-innovation-activities-in-high-and-low-tech-firms/277853

Branding Innovation: The Case Study of Turkey

Neslihan Aydogan-Duda (2012). *Disruptive Technologies, Innovation and Global Redesign: Emerging Implications (pp. 238-248).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/branding-innovation-case-study-turkey/63832

An Exploratory Cross-National Study of Information Sharing and Human Resource Information Systems

Bongsug Chae, J. Bruce Prince, Jeffrey Katzand Rüdiger Kabst (2011). *Journal of Global Information Management (pp. 18-44).*

www.irma-international.org/article/exploratory-cross-national-study-information/58550