

Chapter 5

Using Comprehensive Observational Data to Improve Reading Instruction: Case Studies of DHH Student Readers

Pamela Luft

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4575-8734>
Kent State University, USA

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Reading assessment of deaf and hard-of-hearing students is difficult in that many typical curriculum-based and standardized assessments presume spoken English fluency. This affects not only the test items but also the numerical scores that can result in instructional decisions that are unfairly penalizing. In contrast, miscue analysis provides a systematic analysis of an individual's reading performance that is flexible across languages and dialects in identifying skills and needs across the range of skilled and struggling readers. This chapter presents two DHH students' miscue scores, representing communication preferences for listening and spoken English, and American Sign Language. Readers will analyze these data through guided questions to develop insights and understandings about each reader's reading strengths and needs. This highly accurate and detailed analysis is the type that can lead teachers and researchers to better identify the nature of DHH students' reading challenges and provide the instruction that appropriately addresses their needs.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-5834-1.ch005

INTRODUCTION: THE CHALLENGE OF READING ASSESSMENT

Reading remains a fundamental instructional challenge for deaf and hard-of-hearing (DHH) students and their teachers. The extent of this challenge is seen in reading comprehension scores that have changed little in nearly five decades (Ewoldt, 1981; Luetke-Stahlman, 1998; Qi & Mitchell, 2012; Traxler, 2000). Accurate assessment is essential to addressing this challenge: It provides teachers with the data they need to identify students' reading skills and needs, and to monitor the results of instruction. It also allows researchers to accurately identify the nature and extent of these reading difficulties, develop, and test effective strategies that address these issues.

However, most standardized and curriculum-based reading assessments used with DHH students do not accommodate their frequent lack of spoken language fluency. Such tests are rarely normed on DHH individuals and do not address potentially biased test items (Cawthon, 2015; Lewis, 2003; Miller, et al., 2015). Without accurate and valid measures of reading skills, neither teachers nor researchers are able to develop appropriate instruction and programs that address DHH students' literacy needs. The long-term nature of their reading deficits (Ewoldt, 1981; Luetke-Stahlman, 1998; Qi & Mitchell, 2012; Traxler, 2000) suggest that causative elements remain largely unknown. It appears that these widely utilized testing practices have done little to illuminate the primary causative factors of DHH students' ongoing reading deficits.

Miscue analysis provides an alternative form of assessment, using a meticulous, individualized, and systematic form of evaluation. This process also is flexible enough to examine a range of successful and struggling, monolingual, bilingual, and diverse hearing readers resulting in discovering distinctive skill patterns and reading processes used by each reader. Miscue analysis is additionally unique in that it provides an untimed and authentic reading experience. Its diagnostic observational procedures identify and evaluate each reader's meaning-making processes allowing for greater insight into each reader' approaches.

The foundational miscue processes are incorporated into oral miscue analyses used in many individual reading assessments (Burns & Roe, 2002; McKenna & Picard, 2006; Nilsson, 2008) and with running records (Clay, 2000; Nilsson, 2008). However, the full miscue procedure provides a more thorough and detailed examination than these other assessments provide, to include lspecific behavioral patterns that can be used to guide instructional decision-making (Blaiklock, 2004; Fawson et al., 2006). Its use has led to unique insights regarding the reading processes and otherwise unrecognized capabilities of DHH students across the continuum of communication preferences (Luft, 2018, 2020). Importantly, its direct observational documentation of reading behaviors contrasts with typical paper/pencil assessments that calculate

42 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/using-comprehensive-observational-data-to-improve-reading-instruction/327085

Related Content

Projected Clustering for Biological Data Analysis

Ping Deng, Qingkai Maand Weili Wu (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 1617-1622).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/projected-clustering-biological-data-analysis/11035

Data Mining Tool Selection

Christophe Giraud-Carrier (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 511-518).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/data-mining-tool-selection/10868

Intelligent Image Archival and Retrieval System

P. Punithaand D.S. Guru (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 1066-1072).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/intelligent-image-archival-retrieval-system/10953

Robust Face Recognition for Data Mining

Brian C. Lovell, Shaokang Chenand Ting Shan (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 1689-1695).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/robust-face-recognition-data-mining/11045

Enhancing Web Search through Query Log Mining

Ji-Rong Wen (2009). *Encyclopedia of Data Warehousing and Mining, Second Edition* (pp. 758-763).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/enhancing-web-search-through-query/10905