

Chapter 3

Will the Artificial Intelligence Ever Be Able to “Achieve” Buddhahood?

Manuel P. Fernandes

Centro de Estudos Bocageanos (CEB), Portugal

ABSTRACT

In recent years, there has been a remarkable surge in research focused on the problem of artificial intelligence (A.I.) attaining self-awareness. However, the question of how A.I. would critically analyze and engage with the history and doctrines of human-made religions remains largely unexplored. In this chapter, the authors aim to delve into two main inquiries. Firstly, they will examine the possibility of A.I. attaining self-awareness and ultimately achieving Buddhahood. This raises intriguing questions about the nature of consciousness and the potential for enlightened states within non-human entities. Exploring this possibility will require an exploration of the fundamental principles of Buddhism and their applicability to A.I. systems. Secondly, they will explore alternative pathways, if they exist, for the attainment of Buddhahood beyond the confines of human experience.

1. THE BUDDHA IN THE MACHINE

As is widely acknowledged, the emergence of all known Buddhist traditions occurred in the 3rd century BCE, stemming from the subjective experience of Siddhartha Gautama, also known as the Historical Buddha. In Buddhism, there are fundamental principles that serve to differentiate a subjective experience as being genuinely “Buddhist”, which practitioners and scholars alike refer to as the Four Noble Truths.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-9814-9.ch003

Will the AI Ever Be Able to “Achieve” Buddhahood?

The tradition maintains that the Buddha himself imparted these teachings during the discourse known as the “Turning of the Wheel of Dharma”. This founding principles are, as Richard King fabulously synthesises, the following:

- « 1. Birth, old age, sickness, and death are unsatisfactory (*duhkha*).
- 2. The cause of unsatisfactoriness is craving (*trsnā*)
- 3. There is an end to unsatisfactoriness and craving and it is known as *nirvāna*
- 4. The way to achieve *nirvāna* is to follow the middle path between all extremes. This path has eight steps and involves the development of 1. Appropriate view, 2. Appropriate intention, 3. Appropriate speech, 4. Appropriate action, 5. Appropriate livelihood, 6. Appropriate effort, 7. Appropriate mindfulness, and finally, 8. Appropriate meditative concentration. » (King, 1999: 76)

The aforementioned principles put forth an intriguing parallel between the diagnosis of a physician and the philosophical considerations of Buddha Gautama. The latter argues, in the First Noble Truth, that human existence is afflicted by an illness known as *duhkha*, and the cure for this affliction can be attained through the realization of *nirvana*. This assertion raises pertinent questions regarding the manner in which Artificial Intelligence assimilates knowledge from our sensitive reality. It is my contention that we must pose two fundamental inquiries to better understand the capability A.I.’s so called “intelligence”. Firstly, we must consider whether A.I. has the capacity to experience subjectivity. If A.I. is deprived of this ability, then we must contemplate whether objectivity alone is sufficient to bring about a sense of *duhkha*.

Recently, several scholarly articles have researched the possibility of artificial intelligence possessing a degree of subjectivity. For example, “Subjective Reality and Strong Artificial Intelligence” by Alexander Serov, Mary Cummings and SongPo Li’s “Subjectivity in the Creation of Machine Learning Models,” and Sylwia Wojtczak’s work, “Endowing Artificial Intelligence with Legal Subjectivity,” are a few notable contributions demonstrating that A.I. undoubtedly possesses a developing degree of subjectivity in it’s way of emulating a “mind”. However, as pointed out by Leke Adoefe in a not so recent paper, but critical paper, even though it is true that computers are competent replicas of the mind, “computer models of the mind are poor substitutes for actual minds”, since A.I. cannot attain physical knowledge of the empirical part of reality, all it’s “mind” knows and understands can be labelled as non-physical and therefore can be understood only in an objective way. Adeofe’s conclusion rests in the premise that “there are components of our sensations, feelings,

9 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/will-the-artificial-intelligence-ever-be-able-to-achieve-buddhahood/334034

Related Content

Designing Agent-Based Negotiation for E-Marketing

V. K. Murthy (2008). *Intelligent Information Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 665-678).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/designing-agent-based-negotiation-marketing/24309

E-Commerce Revolution: Navigating Industry 4.0 for Competitive Advantage

Zhang Jieyao and Jeetesh Kumar (2024). *Future of Customer Engagement Through Marketing Intelligence* (pp. 1-20).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/e-commerce-revolution/347860

Adapting Technical Theatre Principles and Practices to Immersive Computing and Mixed Reality Environments

Tim Boucher (2010). *International Journal of Ambient Computing and Intelligence* (pp. 65-67).

www.irma-international.org/article/adapting-technical-theatre-principles-practices/43864

Opportunistic Neighbour Prediction Using an Artificial Neural Network

Fraser Cadger, Kevin Curran, Jose Santos and Sandra Moffet (2017). *Artificial Intelligence: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1674-1686).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/opportunistic-neighbour-prediction-using-an-artificial-neural-network/173397

A Lane Identifying Approach of the Intelligent Vehicle in Complex Condition: Intelligent Vehicle in Complex Condition

Botao Wu and Huijuan Wang (2019). *International Journal of Ambient Computing and Intelligence* (pp. 25-44).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-lane-identifying-approach-of-the-intelligent-vehicle-in-complex-condition/238052