Chapter 8 Toward Comprehensive IS Project Alignment: The Case of Enterprise Resource Planning Deployment Within a Logistics Service Provider

Eddy Bajolle

(b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3943-6667 CERGAM, Aix-Marseille University, France

Cécile Godé https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9148-2820 CERGAM, Aix-Marseille University, France

Nathalie Fabbe-Costes

0000-0001-5857-7994
https://orcid.org/
CERGAM, Aix-Marseille University, France

ABSTRACT

Previous research offers limited and fragmented frameworks for information systems project alignment (ISPA). This study fills this gap by providing a comprehensive overview of the interplay between an IS project and its broader organizational context, and clarifying how contextual alignments relate to IS project success. ISPA is explored by observing a five-year implementation of an Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system within a logistics service provider based in Europe and North Africa. The study's findings and key contributions highlight the plural, complex, and multifaceted nature of ISPA resulting from the interaction of strategy, structure, process, people, and culture between the project, business, and IS organization. This chapter presents a comprehensive ISPA framework and is expected to increase project managers' awareness of the context in which IS projects are managed. DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-9687-6.ch008

Copyright © 2024, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

INTRODUCTION

Each year, thousands of information system (IS) projects are launched "using hardware, software, and/or networks to create a product, service, or result" (Schwalbe, 2019, p.3). Worldwide, IS spending was expected to reach 4.5 trillion dollars in 2022, representing an increase of 5.5% from 2021 (Gartner, 2021). Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic compelled companies to accelerate digital transformation to remain competitive and adapt to clients' move toward online channels (Seiler, 2020). Hence, IS projects have become essential for companies' survival after the pandemic and continued development in an uncertain era. The 2020 Standish Group CHAOS Report indicates that almost half (47%) of all IS projects are late, over budget, or do not meet original specifications, and about one-fifth (19%) are canceled before completion (Johnson, 2020). These alarming considerations indicate significant difficulties for IS projects' delivery of expected outcomes on schedule and budget.

Project misalignment has been determined as the origin of disappointing business results and project failure (Box & Platts, 2005; Srivannaboon & Milosevic, 2006; Patanakul & Shenhar, 2012; Alsudiri et al., 2013). Specifically, IS project alignment (ISPA) is defined as "the degree to which information system (IS) project deliverables are consistent with the project's objectives, which are shaped by the organization's IS strategy" (Jenkin & Chan, 2010, p.35). According to Jenkin and Chan (2010), ISPA influences project and firm performance. Project alignment, specifically ISPA research, has primarily focused on aligning project management (PM) with business strategy and ensuring alignment among project stakeholders. The broader organizational context in which IS projects are executed mainly revolves around strategic and social factors. Scarce are the studies that adopt a more open system approach to ISPA. Yet, the interplay between projects and their surrounding permanent organizations has long been the subject of research (Blomquist & Packendorff, 1998; Grabher, 2002; Engwall, 2003; Manning, 2008; Klimkeit, 2013) and still are in recent literature (Ren et al., 2019; Sydow & Windeler, 2020; Nilsson Vestola et al., 2021). These studies fall under the organizational perspective of project (Winter et al., 2006), considering projects as complex temporary systems (Packendorff, 1995) strongly influenced by the organizational context in which they are embedded. Organizational context can be understood at the project level as permanent organizational characteristics that facilitate or constrain successful project implementation. These characteristics have been addressed by previous research (Shenhar, 1999; Engwall, 2003; Manning, 2008; Klimkeit, 2013; Ren et al., 2019; Pinto, 2019), which advocates consideration of the interplay of critical elements (i.e., strategy, structure, system or process, people, politics and culture) between projects and their parent organization. Contextual alignment issues (i.e., alignment 30 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/chapter/toward-comprehensive-is-project-</u> <u>alignment/335965</u>

Related Content

Panorama of Electronic Resource Management Systems

Margaret Hogarthand Vicki Bloom (2008). *Electronic Resource Management in Libraries: Research and Practice (pp. 322-349).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/panorama-electronic-resource-management-systems/10042

Going Beyond the Bibliographic Catalog: The Basis for a New Participatory Scientific Information Discovery and Sharing Model

Filipe Manuel dos Santos Bentoand Lídia de Jesus Oliveira L. da Silva (2013). *Library Automation and OPAC 2.0: Information Access and Services in the 2.0 Landscape* (*pp. 1-38*).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/going-beyond-bibliographic-catalog/69262

Effectiveness of Reference Models for Knowledge Organization Systems: A Cross-Analysis of Requirements

Luís Corujoand Jorge Revez (2021). *Handbook of Research on Knowledge and Organization Systems in Library and Information Science (pp. 25-47).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/effectiveness-of-reference-models-for-knowledgeorganization-systems/285487

LIS Education for 21st Century Information Users

Pearl Chidimma Akanwaand Ogechi Nkechi Okorie (2020). *Managing and Adapting Library Information Services for Future Users (pp. 59-74).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/lis-education-for-21st-century-information-users/245107

Conclusion

Diane M. Fulkerson (2012). *Remote Access Technologies for Library Collections: Tools for Library Users and Managers (pp. 162-172).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/conclusion/63992