Chapter 10 A Conceptual Descriptive– Comparative Study of Models and Standards of Processes in SE, SwE, and IT Disciplines Using the Theory of Systems

Manuel Mora Autonomous University of Aguascalientes, México

Ovsei Gelman Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Mexico, Mexico

> **Rory O'Connor** Dublin City University, Ireland

Francisco Alvarez Autonomous University of Aguascalientes, Mexico

Jorge Macías-Lúevano Autonomous University of Aguascalientes, Mexico

ABSTRACT

The increasing design, manufacturing, and provision complexity of high-quality, cost-efficient and trustworthy products and services has demanded the exchange of best organizational practices in worldwide organizations. While that such a realization has been available to organizations via models and standards of processes, the myriad of them and their heavy conceptual density has obscured their comprehension and practitioners are confused in their correct organizational selection, evaluation, and deployment tasks. Thus, with the ultimate aim to improve the task understanding of such schemes by reducing its business process understanding complexity, in this article we use a conceptual systemic

Copyright © 2010, IGI Global, distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

model of a generic business organization derived from the theory of systems to describe and compare two main models (CMMI/SE/SwE, 2002; ITIL V.3, 2007) and four main standards (ISO/IEC 15288, 2002; ISO/IEC 12207, 1995; ISO/IEC 15504, 2005; ISO/IEC 20000, 2006) of processes. Description and comparison are realized through a mapping of them onto the systemic model.

INTRODUCTION

Competitive market pressures in worldwide business firms, because of an accelerated scientific, technological, and human-development progress¹ (Bar-Yam et al., 2004) have fostered the consumer' demands for better and cheaper products and services (e.g., designed with more functional capabilities and offered in more market competitive prices). Consequently, in order to design and manufacture, as well as provision and operate competitive high-quality technical, costefficient and trustworthy products and services, worldwide business firms are faced with the intra and inter organizational need to integrate multiple engineering and managerial systems and business processes (Sage & Cupan, 2001).

Such a demanded intra and inter business process integration, in turn, has introduced an engineering and managerial business process performance complexity in organizations (but experimented by technical and business managers), and an engineering and managerial business process understanding complexity in practitioners (experimented by technical and business managers as well as business process consultants). A business process performance complexity in this context is defined as the structural² and/or dynamic system's complexity (Sterman, 1999) that confronts technical and business managers to achieve the system organizational performance goals (e.g., efficiency, efficacy, and effectiveness organizational metrics). In similar mode, a business process understanding complexity is defined as the structural and/or dynamic system's complexity that confronts technical and business managers (and business consultants) to acquire a holistic view of such a system under a learning focus.

Manifestations of such raising *business process performance* and *business process understanding complexities* are: (i) critical failures (by cancellations, interruptions, partial use, or early disposal) of enterprises information systems implementations (Standish Group, 2003; CIO UK, 2007); (ii) the apparition (and necessary retirement in the market) of defective products³ (as tires, toys, software); and (iii) system downtimes and/or low efficiency and effectiveness in critical services, and governmental services (Bar-Yam, 2003).

Consequently, some researchers have proposed the notion of complex system of systems (SoS) (Manthorpe, 1996; Carlock & Fenton, 2001; Sage & Cuppan, 2001) and others have helped to organize such a novel construct (Keating et al., 2003; Bar-Yam et al., 2004), as a conceptual tool to cope with that we call a business process performance complexity and a business process understanding complexity. Worldwide business firms, then, can be considered SoS and, as such, are comprised of a large variety of self-purposeful internal and external system components and forward and backward system interactions that generate unexpected emergent behaviors in multiple scales. Also, as SoS, the design/engineering and manufacturing/provision complexity of products/services is manifested by the variety of processes, machines/tools, materials, and systemcomponent designs, as well as for the high-quality, cost-efficiency relationships, and value expectations demanded from the competitive worldwide markets. In turn, managerial process complexity is manifested by the disparate business internal

24 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/conceptual-descriptive-comparative-studymodels/38179

Related Content

Developing Rich Internet Applications as Social Sites on the Semantic Web: A Model-Driven Approach

Jesús M. Hermida, Santiago Meliá, Andrés Montoyoand Jaime Gómez (2011). *International Journal of Systems and Service-Oriented Engineering (pp. 21-41).* www.irma-international.org/article/developing-rich-internet-applications-social/61314

Network Traffic Analysis Using Machine Learning Techniques in IoT Networks

Shailendra Mishra (2021). *International Journal of Software Innovation (pp. 107-123).* www.irma-international.org/article/network-traffic-analysis-using-machine-learning-techniques-in-iot-networks/289172

Enforcing ASTD Access-Control Policies with WS-BPEL Processes in SOA Environments

Michel Embe Jiague, Marc Frappier, Frédéric Gervais, Régine Laleauand Richard St-Denis (2011). International Journal of Systems and Service-Oriented Engineering (pp. 37-59). www.irma-international.org/article/enforcing-astd-access-control-policies/55122

Developing Executable UML Components Based on fUML and Alf

S. Motogna, I. Lazrand B. Pârv (2015). Handbook of Research on Innovations in Systems and Software Engineering (pp. 345-364).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/developing-executable-uml-components-based-on-fuml-and-alf/117932

A Comparative Analysis of Access Control Policy Modeling Approaches

K. Shantha Kumariand T.Chithraleka (2012). *International Journal of Secure Software Engineering (pp. 65-83).*

www.irma-international.org/article/comparative-analysis-access-control-policy/74845