

Chapter 2

The Effectiveness of Health Informatics

Francesco Paolucci

The Australian National University, Australia

Henry Ergas

Concept Economics, Australia

Terry Hannan

Australian College of Health Informatics, Australia

Jos Aarts

Erasmus University, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

Health care is complex and there are few sectors that can compare to it in complexity and in the need for almost instantaneous information management and access to knowledge resources during clinical decision-making. There is substantial evidence available of the actual, and potential, benefits of e-health tools that use computerized clinical decision support systems (CDSS) as a means for improving health care delivery. CDSS and associated technologies will not only lead to an improvement in health care but will also change the nature of what we call electronic health records (EHR). The technologies that “define” the EHR will change the nature of how we deliver care in the future. Significant challenges relating to the evaluation of these health information management systems relate to demonstrating their ongoing cost-benefit, cost-effectiveness, and effects on the quality of care and patient outcomes. However, health information technology is still mainly about the effectiveness of processes and process outcomes, and the technology is still not mature, which may lead to unintended consequences, but it remains promising and unavoidable in the long run.

INTRODUCTION

The Institute of Medicine (IOM) report, *To Err is Human: Building a Safer Health System* provides

a landmark review of the functionality of modern health care delivery in the information and technology revolutions (Kohn, Corrigan & Donaldson, 2000). It concludes that health care is error-prone and costly, as a result of factors that include per-

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-61520-733-6.ch002

sistent major errors and delays in diagnosis and diagnostic accuracy, under/over-use of resources (e.g. excessive ordering or unnecessary laboratory tests), or inappropriate use of resources (e.g. use of outmoded tests or therapies) (Kohn, Corrigan & Donaldson, 2000). Health care is complex and there are few sectors that can compare to it in complexity as well as in the need for almost instantaneous information management and access to knowledge resources during clinical decision-making. An example of a comparable system of complex decision-making can be seen in air travel and is highlighted in the report on the factors contributing to the Tenerife air disaster on Sunday 17th, 1977. In the final summary on this disaster, Weick (1990) makes the following comments that could also be used to describe health care decision-making:

The Tenerife air disaster, in which a KLM 747 and a PanAm 747 collided with a loss of 583 lives, is examined as a prototype of system vulnerability to crisis. It is concluded that the combination of interruption of important routines among interdependent systems, interdependencies that become tighter, a loss of cognitive efficiency due to autonomic arousal, and a loss of communication accuracy due to increased hierarchical distortion, created a configuration that encouraged the occurrence and rapid diffusion of multiple small errors (Weick, 1990, pp. 593).

This major air disaster led to significant changes in air travel and reforms in the regulatory framework that have resulted in a higher level of safety and quality in this industry.

If we compare the changes that occurred in aviation to improvements in health care delivery following the *To Err Is Human* report (Kohn, Corrigan & Donaldson, 2000), which focused on documenting deaths due to medical errors in the U.S. health care system, then the changes have not been as significant. A number of studies have found evidence of a lack of improvement in health

care delivery in the U.S. despite major public and private investments in technology. In 2005, Leape and Berwick (2005) reviewed the U.S. health delivery system five years after the IOM report was released. They found significant deficiencies and faults in nearly all aspects of health care delivery. For example, in patient diagnoses there remained significant errors in accuracy and delays. In attempts to evaluate a given diagnosis there were failures to employ appropriate tests (underuse), the continued use of outmoded tests or therapies (inappropriate use), and the failure to act on the results of tests or monitoring (ignoring medical alerts and reminders). In treatment protocols they reported significant errors in operations, surgical procedures, and tests. They also found evidence in the administration of medication, the continued administration of the wrong drugs, doses, and medications given to patients with a known allergy to the drug (also in Evans et al., 1998). Bates et al. (1994; 1997) and Rothschild et al., (2002) found the persistence of a high incidence of adverse drug events (ADE) and their associated costs during care delivery, and demonstrated a close relationship between the incidence of preventable ADE, costs and medical malpractice claims. Preventive care is also considered to be a significant area of health care where costs savings and better health outcomes can be delivered. Fries (1994) has estimated that healthcare cost savings of up to 70% can be achieved through the implementation of more effective preventive care measures. Other areas of healthcare information management that continue to impair healthcare delivery include persistent failures in communication, equipment failure, and other information systems failures.

It is well known that human beings in all lines of work make errors, and available data show that the health care sector is complex and error-prone resulting in substantial harm (Leape & Berwick, 2005). We also know that current and emerging technologies have the potential to provide significant improvements in healthcare delivery systems. Similar trends have occurred in aviation, which

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/effectiveness-health-informatics/42702

Related Content

Hospital Service Quality from Patients Perspective: A Case of Indonesia

Puspa Sandhyaduhita, Haya Rizqi Fajrina, Ave Adriana Pinem, Achmad Nizar Hidayanto, Putu Handayani and Kasiyah Junus (2016). *International Journal of E-Health and Medical Communications* (pp. 48-61).

www.irma-international.org/article/hospital-service-quality-from-patients-perspective/167845

Applying Automatic Data Collection Tools for Real-Time Patient Management

Richard J. Puerzer (2005). *Creating Knowledge-Based Healthcare Organizations* (pp. 65-77).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/applying-automatic-data-collection-tools/7227

Utilisation of Health Information Systems for Service Delivery in the Namibian Environment

Ronald Karon (2018). *Health Care Delivery and Clinical Science: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 869-883).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/utilisation-of-health-information-systems-for-service-delivery-in-the-namibian-environment/192709

Health Information Systems (HIS) Privacy Restrictions for GDPR: Assessing Initial Impacts Perceived by Patients and Healthcare Professionals

Marcelo Carvalho, Paulo Bandiera-Paiva, Eduardo Marques and José Manuel Machado (2021). *International Journal of Reliable and Quality E-Healthcare* (pp. 4-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/health-information-systems-his-privacy-restrictions-for-gdpr/274980

Understanding Computerised Information Systems Usage in Community Health

Farideh Yaghmaei (2010). *Health Information Systems: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1388-1399).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/understanding-computerised-information-systems-usage/49938