## Chapter 10

# Privacy Revisited: From Lady Godiva's Peeping Tom to Facebook's Beacon Program

#### **Kirsten Martin**

The Catholic University of America, USA

#### **ABSTRACT**

The underlying concept of privacy has not changed for centuries, but our approach to acknowledging privacy in our transactions, exchanges, and relationships must be revisited as our technological environment — what we can do with information — has evolved. The goal of this chapter is to focus on the debate over the definition of privacy as it is required for other debates and has direct implications to how we recognize, test, and justify privacy in scholarship and practice. I argue privacy is best viewed as the ability of an individual to control information within a negotiated zone. I illustrate this view of privacy through an analysis of Facebook's Beacon program and place the case in the context of both privacy violations and successful business strategies. I find privacy zones are illuminating for situations from 10<sup>th</sup> century England to current social networking programs and are useful in identifying mutually beneficial solutions among stakeholders.

#### INTRODUCTION

After months of Lady Godiva's lobbying for tax reform for their village of Coventry, her husband Leofic, Earl of Mercia, exasperatingly challenged Lady Godiva to ride naked through the town center before all the people in order to get her wished tax relief. Lady Godiva immediately contacted the great magistrates of the city and informed them of

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-61520-615-5.ch010

Leofic's challenge. Given the dire economic status of the town, these community leaders agreed to have all citizens of Coventry return to their homes and remain behind closed doors so as to not lay eyes upon Lady Godiva during her ride through the center of town. At noon on the appointed day, Lady Godiva let down her hair which covered her in a semi-modest degree, mounted her horse and, accompanied by two knights, rode through town. As was agreed upon, the roads were clear and the market was eerily quiet from the absence of barter

and negotiations. Suddenly, Lady Godiva was notified of an errant young man peeping through a window by her horse's neigh. The knights soon realized that the young man was Tom the Tailor who was immediately struck blind as some sort of divine punishment but not before he was able to turn and tell his tale to others in the house.<sup>1</sup>

While the notion of privacy has been a focus of concern for centuries, recent developments have changed how we approach acknowledging privacy. Peeping Tom violated privacy norms by peering through a window to view a disrobed Lady Godiva riding through town, whereas current privacy concerns are more likely to arise in a virtual world such as SecondLife rather than in a township, or by compromised by a cyber-voyeur, hacker, on computer program rather than by an individual peering through a window. Two related trends have necessitated a revisiting of how we acknowledge privacy. First, information is increasingly the basis for an organization's value proposition and, for some, the entire business model. While business has always relied upon consumer information to complete transactions, more of that information is stored or being repurposed for behavioral marketing or custom recommendations. All organizations have stakeholders such as employees, suppliers, governing bodies, and communities who share information in increasingly important ways.

Second, our information is both greased and sticky. By becoming separated from us, our information is 'greased' in the words of technologist James H. Moor (1997). Information can slip quickly from protected, legitimate storage to an unprotected, illegitimate individual through the click of a mouse. At the same time, I argue that information is 'sticky' in that organizations can link and aggregate information which was previously separated and compartmentalized. As such, our information is increasingly in permanent records which are searchable rather than only observable (Lessig, 1998, 1999). Where department stores previously recorded customers' preferences on

index cards to notify them of upcoming sales, grocery chains now store previous and current purchases to customize coupons and Amazon. com monitors browsing and purchases to suggest additional products. Where Lady Godiva briefly rode through town at noon on an appointed day, Facebook retains information to be linked to, searched, revealed, or repurposed for an indeterminate amount of time. Perhaps the type of information requested and stored is similar, but the format of the information is different in important ways.

These shifts make the information both *valu*able and vulnerable to organizations and individuals: valuable by facilitating customized services and lowering transaction costs and vulnerable by being identifiable and searchable thereby creating a larger target for illegal hacking or unintended violations of privacy. As I will argue, these trends point to the control view of privacy as being increasingly useful and applicable: when our information was 'attached' to us as in the case of Lady Godiva in the beginning of this chapter, the ongoing control of information was not important to our conception of privacy as we controlled our information by controlling who has access to ourselves. This is not the case now. Now, our information is not contained by physical barriers but rather negotiated through virtual barriers: the equivalent to asking a friend to turn their back while you change or asking your child to cover their ears while you talk to another adult.<sup>2</sup>

The goal of this chapter is to develop an understanding of privacy for business ethics as a common concern among stakeholders. To do so, I focus on the debate over the definition of privacy as it is required for other debates and has direct implications to how we recognize, test, and justify privacy in scholarship and in practice. I argue that privacy is best viewed as the ability of an individual to control information within a negotiated zone or space. I illustrate this view of privacy through the case of Facebook's Beacon program and analyze the case in the context of

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/privacy-revisited-lady-godiva-peeping/43077

#### Related Content

#### Mapping Innovation in the Digital Transformation Era: The Role of Technology Convergence

Elona Marku, Manuel Castriotta, Maria Chiara Di Guardoand Michela Loi (2019). *Business Transformations in the Era of Digitalization (pp. 160-178)*.

www.irma-international.org/chapter/mapping-innovation-in-the-digital-transformation-era/220657

#### Mobile Health and Wellness Applications: A Business Model Ontology-Based Review

Shahrokh Nikouand Harry Bouwman (2017). *International Journal of E-Business Research (pp. 1-24)*. www.irma-international.org/article/mobile-health-and-wellness-applications/169842

#### Semantic Knowledge Transparency in E-Business Processes

Fergle D'Aubeterre, Rahul Singhand Lakshmi Iyer (2009). *Electronic Business: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 2432-2454).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/semantic-knowledge-transparency-business-processes/9419

#### Two Faces of Mobile Shopping: Self-Efficacy and Impulsivity

Thaemin Lee, Cheol Parkand Jongkun Jun (2014). *International Journal of E-Business Research (pp. 15-32).* 

www.irma-international.org/article/two-faces-of-mobile-shopping/110931

### To Bid or to Buy?: Online Shoppers' Preferences for Online Purchasing Channels

Fan-Chen Tseng (2010). International Journal of E-Business Research (pp. 1-20).

www.irma-international.org/article/bid-buy-online-shoppers-preferences/42133